



**DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY**  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001  
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

█  
Docket No. 8099-22  
Ref: Signature Date

█  
█  
█  
  
Dear Petitioner:

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to Section 1552 of Title 10, United States Code. After careful and conscientious consideration of relevant portions of your naval record and your application, the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board) found the evidence submitted insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. Consequently, your application has been denied.

Although your application was not filed in a timely manner, the Board found it in the interest of justice to waive the statute of limitations and consider your case on its merits. A three-member panel of the Board, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 12 December 2022. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies, as well as the 21 October 2022 decision by the Marine Corps Performance Evaluation Review Board (PERB), and the 28 July 2022 Advisory Opinion (AO) provided to the PERB by the Manpower Management Division Records and Performance Branch (MMRP-30). The PERB decision and the AO were provided to you on 21 October 2022. Although you were afforded an opportunity to submit a rebuttal, you did not do so.

The Board carefully considered your request to modify your 15 March 2011 to 30 April 2011 Fitness Report by changing the reviewing Officer (RO) comparative assessment from the "4" block to the "5" block. The Board considered your contention that your RO did not accurately capture your performance and that the marking is not in accordance with policy.

The Board, however, substantially concurred with the AO and the PERB decision that the fitness report is valid as written and filed, in accordance with the applicable Performance Evaluation System (PES) Manual guidance. In this regard, the Board noted that although the RO did reduce the comparative assessment on the contested report, the preceding evaluation was written by a different Reporting Senior (RS) and was also a combat report, as opposed to the previous evaluation. The Board further noted that the PES Manual does not include a stipulation that the

RO must determine that the Marine Reported On (MROs) performance remained constant simply based upon the RS gradation. Finally, the Board noted that the RO's endorsement letter omits any new facts that were unknown at report processing. The Board thus concluded that your request is lacking in sufficient evidence of error or injustice of this fitness report established in 2011.

You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new matters, which will require you to complete and submit a new DD Form 149. New matters are those not previously presented to or considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

1/5/2023

