



**DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY**  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001  
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

■  
Docket No: 8765-22  
Ref: Signature Date

Dear ■■■■■

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to Section 1552 of Title 10, United States Code. After careful and conscientious consideration of relevant portions of your naval record and your application, the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board) found the evidence submitted insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. Consequently, your application has been denied.

Although your application was not filed in a timely manner, the Board found it in the interest of justice to waive the statute of limitations and consider your application on its merits. A three-member panel of the Board, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 14 December 2022. The names and votes of the panel members will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of the Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies, to include the 25 July 2018 guidance from the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness regarding equity, injustice or clemency determinations (Wilkie Memo).

You enlisted in the Marine Corps and began a period of active duty on 28 November 1995. On 9 September 1996, you received non-judicial punishment (NJP) for two specifications of unauthorized absence (UA) totaling 10 days. On 11 December 1996, you were convicted by a special court-martial (SPCM) of UA totaling 25 days. As punishment, you were sentenced to confinement and reduction in rank. On 24 February 1997, you received your second NJP for larceny. On 25 February 2000, you submitted a written request for separation in lieu of trial (SILT) by court-martial for two specifications of UA totaling 116 days. Prior to submitting this request, you conferred with a military lawyer at which time you were advised of your rights and warned of the probable adverse consequences of accepting such a discharge. As part of this discharge request, you admitted your guilt to the foregoing offenses and acknowledged that your characterization of service upon discharge would be Other Than Honorable (OTH) conditions. The separation authority approved your request and directed your commanding officer to

discharge you with an OTH characterization of service. On 27 March 2000, you were discharged from the Marine Corps with an OTH characterization of service by reason of separation in lieu of trial by court-martial.

The Board carefully considered all potentially mitigating factors to determine whether the interests of justice warrant relief in your case in accordance with the Wilkie Memo. These included, but were not limited to, your desire to change your discharge character of service and contentions that you requested leave to the end of your enlistment, you were told your leave request was granted, later found out that you were UA, and you turned yourself in. For purposes of clemency and equity consideration, the Board noted you did not provide supporting documentation describing post-service accomplishments or advocacy letters.

After thorough review, the Board concluded your potentially mitigating factors were insufficient to warrant relief. Specifically, the Board determined that your misconduct, as evidenced by your two NJPs, SPCM, and SILT request, outweighed these mitigating factors. In making this finding, the Board considered the seriousness of your misconduct and concluded that it showed a complete disregard of military authority and regulations. The Board noted that the misconduct that led to your request for SILT was substantial and, more likely than not, would have resulted in a punitive discharge and extensive punishment. As a result, the Board concluded you already received significant clemency from being allowed to separate with an OTH character of service instead of risking greater punishment at a court-martial. The Board concluded you were appropriately discharged pursuant to your SILT request. Based on these factors, the Board determined your conduct constituted a significant departure from that expected of a Marine and continues to warrant an OTH characterization. While the Board appreciates your expression of remorse, even in light of the Wilkie Memo and reviewing the record holistically, the Board did not find evidence of an error or injustice that warrants upgrading your characterization of service or granting clemency in the form of an upgraded characterization of service. Accordingly, given the totality of the circumstances, the Board determined your request does not merit relief.

You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new matters, which will require you to complete and submit a new DD Form 149. New matters are those not previously presented to or considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

1/11/2023

█

Executive Director

Signed by: █