

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001 ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

> Docket No: 9053-22 Ref: Signature Date



Dear Petitioner:

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to Section 1552 of Title 10, United States Code. After careful and conscientious consideration of relevant portions of your naval record and your application, the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board) found the evidence submitted insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. Consequently, your application has been denied.

Although your application was not filed in a timely manner, the Board found it in the interest of justice to waive the statute of limitations and consider your application on its merits. A threemember panel of the Board, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 22 December 2022. The names and votes of the panel members will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of the Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies, to include the 25 July 2018 guidance from the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness regarding equity, injustice or clemency determinations (Wilkie Memo).

The Board determined that your personal appearance, with or without counsel, would not materially add to their understanding of the issues involved. Therefore, the Board determined that a personal appearance was not necessary and considered your case based on the evidence of record.


You enlisted in the Navy Reserve on 27 July 2000. During the period from October 2003 to December 2003, you accumulated 12 unexcused absence from scheduled drills. As a result, on 3 December 2003, your CO notified you by certified mail of his attentions to recommend that you be separated from the Navy Reserve due to unsatisfactory participation in scheduled drills. You failed to return the acknowledgement resulting in a waiver of your rights. Subsequently, your CO forwarded your package to the separation authority (SA) recommending your discharge due to unsatisfactory participation, with a General (Under Honorable Conditions) (GEN) characterization of service. The SA approved the recommendation and, on 12 January 2004, you were so discharged.

Unfortunately, the documents pertinent to your administrative separation are not in your official military personnel file (OMPF). Notwithstanding, the Board relies on a presumption of regularity to support the official actions of public officers and, in the absence of substantial evidence to the contrary, will presume that they have properly discharged their official duties.

The Board carefully considered all potentially mitigating factors to determine whether the interests of justice warrant relief in your case in accordance with the Wilkie Memo. These included, but were not limited to, your desire to upgrade your discharge and contention that you were not able to attend drills due to being homeless, having no job, and having marital problems. For purposes of clemency and equity consideration, the Board noted you did not provide supporting documentation describing post-service accomplishments or advocacy letters.

After thorough review, the Board concluded these potentially mitigating factors were insufficient to warrant relief. Specifically, the Board determined that your misconduct, as evidenced by your unexcused absences from drills, outweighed these mitigating factors. In making this finding, the Board considered the seriousness of your misconduct and the fact it showed a complete disregard for your military obligations. Further, the Board noted that there is no evidence in your record, and you submitted none, to support your contentions. In particular, the Board considered there was no evidence you attempted to contact your command to explain the circumstances that you now contend should mitigate your misconduct. Ultimately, the Board determined you were fortunate to receive a GEN characterization for conduct that normally results in an Other Than Honorable characterization. As a result, the Board concluded significant negative aspects of your service outweighed the positive aspects and continues to warrant a GEN characterization of service. Even in light of the Wilkie Memo and reviewing the record holistically, the Board did not find evidence of an error or injustice that warrants upgrading your characterization of service or granting an upgraded characterization of service as a matter of clemency or equity. Accordingly, given the totality of the circumstances, the Board determined that your request does not merit relief.

You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new matters, which will require you to complete and submit a new DD Form 149. New matters are those not previously presented to or considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.



Sincerely