

## **DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY**

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001 ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

> Docket No. 70-23 Ref: Signature Date

From: To:	Chairman, Board for Correction of Naval Records Secretary of the Navy
Subj:	REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD ICO
Ref:	(a) Title 10 U.S.C. § 1552 (b) BUPERSINST 1430.16G (c) NAVADMIN 326/20
Encl:	<ul><li>(1) DD Form 149 w/attachments</li><li>(2) Advisory opinion by Branch Head, Enlisted Career Progression (PERS-803), 10 Jan 23</li><li>(3) Subject's naval record</li></ul>
(1) wit	suant to the provisions of reference (a), Subject, hereinafter referred to as Petitioner, filed enclosure in the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board), requesting that his naval record be corrected plish authorization to receive Pass Not Advanced (PNA) points from the March 2021  Additionally, Petitioner requested to add the PNA for advancement to Cryptologic Technicians Interpretive First Class
	/E-6 if applicable.
allegati correct materia	Board, consisting of reviewed Petitioner's r
injustic	Board, having reviewed all the facts of record pertaining to Petitioner's allegations of error and tee, found that, before applying to this Board, he exhausted all administrative remedies available existing law and regulations within the Department of the Navy. The Board made the following as:
a. F	Petitioner advanced to CTI2/E-5 effective 16 December 2018.
	On 4 February 2021, Petitioner issued Temporary Duty Travel orders to proceed on 20 February or 83 days to
c. (	On 8 May 2021, Commanding Officer, certified that Petitioner served from 11 March 2021 through 8 May 2021.
Inform Petition	Petitioner's Examination Profile ation and Exam Status sheet reflects PNA points were not applied from previous cycles. ner's final multiple score (FMS) was 146.25; minimum multiple required (MMR) was 175.67, and atus: PNA-PTS 1.5/0.0.

e. In March 2022, Petitioner participated in Petitioner's Examination Profile Information and Exam Status sheet reflects 1.5 PNA points were applied from Petitioner's FMS was 149.30; MMR was 158.26, and final status: PNA-PTS 1.5/0.0.
f. In September 2022, Petitioner participated in Petitioner's Examination Profile Information and Exam Status sheet reflects 3.0 PNA points were applied from Petitioner's FMS was 162.90; MMR was 164.98, and final status: PNA-PTS 1.5/1.5.
g. On 17 February 2023, completed a manual standard score comparison of Petitioner's September 2021 to the missed March 2021 and determined his FMS of 150.77 did not exceed the MMR of 156.01. However, Petitioner earned 1.5 PNA points toward future exams. Additionally, Petitioner's earned 1.5 PNA points was added to the September 2021 March 2022 and September 2022 FMS still did not exceed the MMR for the respective cycles.
CONCLUSION
Upon review and consideration of all the evidence of record, and despite the contents of enclosure (2), the Board finds the existence of an injustice warranting the following corrective action. The Board did not consider enclosure (2) <sup>2</sup> because the Petitioner did not specifically request PNA points be applied due to being deployed in a The Board concluded Petitioner missed the March 2021 due to unusual circumstances, thereby meeting the criteria for a standard score comparison in accordance with reference (b). <sup>3</sup> Additionally, the Board determined that PNA points earned from should be applied to Therefore, under these circumstances, relief is warranted.
RECOMMENDATION
That Petitioner's naval record be corrected, where appropriate, to show that:
After comparison of September 2021 to the Petitioner received the following scores: Standard Score 79.27; PMA 4.13/63.90; Service in Pay Grade (SIPG) (0300)/00.60; Awards 03.00; Education 04.00; passed not advanced (PNA) 00.00; FMS: 150.77; and Final Status: PNA/PTS 1.50. The MMR for advancement to CTI1/E-6 for was 156.01.
Petitioner's Examination Profile Information and Exam Status Sheets from the September 2021 March 2022 and September 2022 are amended to reflect the addition of
<sup>1</sup> Reference (c) announced the guidance for administration of the March 2021 E-5 and E-6 advancement candidates were administered the utilizing testing windows vice single-day testing; 1-15 March 2021 was the testing window for E-6 exam administration.
<sup>2</sup> The advisory opinion, attached as enclosure (2), the office having cognizance over the subject matter addressed in Petitioner's application has commented to the effect that the request does not have merit due to his record not reflecting deployment in a congressionally-designated combat zone/approved contingency operations areas.
<sup>3</sup> Reference (b), Commanding Officers may request standard score comparison exception to policy (ETP) no later than 6 months after the missed exams limiting date. Additionally, candidates who missed an advancement cycle due to unusual circumstances

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD ICO

outside of their control and otherwise eligible in all respects to participate in an advancement cycle will request through their

command an ETP waiver.

Subj: R	REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD ICO
---------	----------------------------

1.5 PNA points from March 2021 Note: Petitioner's FMS did not exceed the MMR for any of the cycles.

A copy of this Report of Proceedings will be filed in Petitioner's naval record.

- 4. It is certified that a quorum was present at the Board's review and deliberations, and that the foregoing is a true and complete record of the Board's proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
- 5. Pursuant to the delegation of authority set out in Section 6(e) of the revised Procedures of the Board for Correction of Naval Records (32 Code of Federal Regulations, Section 723.6(e)), and having assured compliance with its provisions, it is hereby announced that the foregoing corrective action, taken under the authority of the reference, has been approved by the Board on behalf of the Secretary of the Navy.

