THE NEW YORK OF THE SOLUTION O

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001 ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

> Docket No. 138-23 Ref: Signature Date

Dear :

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to Section 1552 of Title 10, United States Code. After careful and conscientious consideration of relevant portions of your naval record and your application, the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board) found the evidence submitted insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. Consequently, your application has been denied.

A three-member panel of the Board, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 6 April 2023. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies. In addition, the Board also considered the advisory opinion by Commander, Naval Supply Systems Command letter 5420 Ser SUP 04/008 of 23 February 2023, which was previously provided to you. You were afforded an opportunity to submit a rebuttal, but did not do so.

In accordance with The Joint Travel Regulations (JTR) that when Government-procured Household Goods (HHG) transportation and Non-temporary Storage (NTS) is available, but the Service member or next of kin, when appropriate, chooses to personally arrange transportation, the Monetary Allowance Method applies. Under this method, the Service member or next of kin, as appropriate, receives payment of a monetary allowance equal to 100% of the Government's constructed "Best Value" cost for the actual HHG weight transported up to the Service member's maximum authorized weight allowance. Regardless of the actual cost of the HHG shipment, a Service member receives 100% of the "Best Value." See the Internal Revenue Service's rules on the potential tax impacts.

On 13 May 2022, you signed an Officer Appointment Acceptance and Oath of Office (NAVPERS 1000/4) in the active U.S. Navy as an Ensign with a Permanent grade date/Present grade date of 27 May 2022 and a designator code of 1390 (An Unrestricted Line Officer who is in training for duty involving flying as a pilot).

On 23 August 2022, you were issued official new app	pointment orders (BUPERS order: 2352) while
stationed at	with a report not later than October 2022. Your
ultimate activity was	for duty under instruction in a
flying status with an effective date of arrival of Octob	oer 2022, with a Projected Rotation Date (PRD) of
October 2023	

(DIIDED C

On 7 September 2022, you were issued official modification to ne	w appointment orders (BUPERS order:	
2352) while stationed at	with a report not later than October	
2022. Your ultimate activity was	for duty under instruction	
in a flying status with an effective date of arrival of October 2022, with a PRD of October 2023.		
On 17 October 2022, you transferred from	and arrived to	
on 24 October 2022 for duty under instruction.		
On 18 October 2022, U-Haul issued Petitioner an equipment contract with a rental location of		
and drop off location of for total rental charges	of \$2,240.70.	
<u> </u>		

On 12 December 2022, you were issued a Repair Order Detail – Internal Copy showing that customer states CEL ON-engine replacement approved 11/13 motor arrived 12/5 missing service kit (gaskets, etc.) vehicle inoperative and on site as of 12 December 22 service kit inbound eta for service to be completed 23 December 2022. Total price of \$2,136.67 and \$588.00 respectively.

You requested to be reimbursed for rental charge of a moving truck which was required for a personally procurred move (PPM) on 31 October 2022; the Board, in its review of your entire record and application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, to include your assertions. However, the Board concluded that per the JTR, when Government-procured HHG transportation and NTS is available, but the Service member chooses to personally arrange transportation, the Monetary Allowance Method applies. Under this method, the Service member receives payment of a monetary allowance equal to 100% of the Government's constructed "Best Value" cost for the actual HHG weight transported up to the Service member's maximum authorized weight allowance. The Board determined that although you assert you did not rent a van until your car broke down, you did initially choose to drive your HHG in your personal vehicle; therefore, reimbursement of the cost of a rental van is not authorized. In this connection, the Board substantially concurred with the comments contained in the advisory opinion.

You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new matters, which will require you to complete and submit a new DD Form 149. New matters are those not previously presented to or considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

