



**DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY**  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS  
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001  
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

■  
Docket No. 711-23  
Ref: Signature Date

From: Chairman, Board for Correction of Naval Records  
To: Secretary of the Navy

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD ICO [REDACTED], USNR,  
XXX-XX-[REDACTED]

Ref: (a) Title 10 U.S.C. § 1552  
(b) Title 38 U.S.C. Chp 33  
(c) BUPERSNOTE 1780  
(d) MILPERSMAN 1160-120

Encl: (1) DD Form 149 w/attachments  
(2) Subject's naval record

1. Pursuant to the provisions of reference (a), Subject, hereinafter referred to as Petitioner, filed enclosure (1) with the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board), requesting that her naval record be corrected to establish eligibility to transfer Post-9/11 GI Bill education benefits to eligible dependents.

2. The Board, consisting of [REDACTED], [REDACTED], and [REDACTED] reviewed Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice on 3 May 2023 and pursuant to its regulations, determined that the corrective action indicated below should be taken on the available evidence of record. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of the enclosures, relevant portions of Petitioner's naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations and policies.

3. The Board, having reviewed all the facts of record pertaining to Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice, found that, before applying to this Board, she exhausted all administrative remedies available under existing law and regulations within the Department of the Navy. The Board made the following findings:

a. The Post-9/11 Veterans Educational Assistance Act (Post-9/11 GI Bill, Public Law 110-252) was signed into law on 30 June 2008 and became effective on 1 August 2009. The bill provides financial support for education and housing for service members with at least 90 days of service on or after 11 September 2001. The act also includes provision for qualifying service members to transfer education benefits to their eligible dependents. General descriptions of the essential components of the law were widely available beginning in summer 2008 but specific implementing guidance was not published until summer 2009.

b. In accordance with reference (c), the option to transfer a Service member's unused education benefits to an eligible dependent required a 4-year additional service obligation at the

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD ICO [REDACTED], USNR,  
XXX-XX-[REDACTED]

time of election. Additionally, enlisted personnel were required to have sufficient time on contract to meet the additional service requirement prior to initiating their electronic transfer election. Furthermore, the policy directed members to periodically check the status of their application; denied transfer of education benefits (TEB) applications required members to take corrective action and reapply with a new service obligation end date.

c. Per reference (d), High Year Tenure (HYT) for Sailors in paygrade E-6 was 20 years length of service.

d. Petitioner's Active Duty Service Date was 28 October 1997.

e. On 1 December 2010, Petitioner reenlisted for a term of 6 years and subsequently executed an 11-month extension.

f. On 19 April 2013, Petitioner signed a "Post 9/11 Transfer to Dependents" NAVPERS 1070/613, Administrative Remarks acknowledging the 4-year obligation from time of TEB request.

g. On 11 December 2013, Petitioner submitted TEB application. The Service rejected the application indicating, Petitioner "has not committed to the required additional service time."

h. Petitioner reached his HYT gate on 28 October 2017 and transferred to the Fleet Reserve effective 1 November 2017.

## CONCLUSION

Upon review and consideration of all the evidence of record, the Board finds the existence of an injustice warranting the following corrective action. Petitioner met the basic eligibility criteria to transfer Post-9/11 GI Bill education benefits but failed to complete the administrative requirements outline in reference (c). Although Petitioner did not complete the appropriate administrative requirements, the Board concluded that had he received proper counseling, he would have been able to transfer unused education benefits to eligible dependents upon reenlisting on 1 December 2010. Moreover, the Board determined Petitioner completed over 8 years of active duty service after the inception of the ability to TEB, thereby meeting the spirit and intent of reference (b). Therefore, the Board agreed, under these circumstances, relief is warranted.

## RECOMMENDATION

That Petitioner's naval record be corrected, where appropriate, to show that:

Petitioner elected to transfer unused education benefits to [REDACTED]/36-months through the MilConnect TEB portal on 1 December 2010.

Commander, Navy Personnel Command (PERS-314) reviewed Petitioner's TEB application and it was approved on 1 December 2010 with a 4-year service obligation.

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD ICO [REDACTED], USNR,  
XXX-XX-[REDACTED]

A copy of this Report of Proceedings will be filed in Petitioner's naval record.

4. It is certified that a quorum was present at the Board's review and deliberations, and that the foregoing is a true and complete record of the Board's proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

5. Pursuant to the delegation of authority set out in Section 6(e) of the revised Procedures of the Board for Correction of Naval Records (32 Code of Federal Regulations, Section 723.6(e)), and having assured compliance with its provisions, it is hereby announced that the foregoing corrective action, taken under the authority of the reference, has been approved by the Board on behalf of the Secretary of the Navy.

5/12/2023

[REDACTED]

Deputy Director

Signed by: [REDACTED]