

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001 ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

> Docket No. 0741-23 Ref: Signature Date



Dear Petitioner:

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to Title 10, United States Code, Section 1552. After careful and conscientious consideration of relevant portions of your naval record and your application, the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board) found the evidence submitted insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. Consequently, your application has been denied.

Although your application was not filed in a timely manner, the Board found it in the interest of justice to waive the statute of limitations and consider your application on its merits. A threemember panel of the Board, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 6 February 2023. The names and votes of the panel members will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of the Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of your naval record, applicable statutes, regulations, and policies, to include the 25 July 2018 guidance from the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness regarding equity, injustice or clemency determinations (Wilkie Memo).

Regarding your request for a personal appearance, the Board determined that a personal appearance with or without counsel will not materially add to their understanding of the issue(s) involved. Therefore, the Board determined that a personal appearance was not necessary and considered your case based on the evidence of record.

You enlisted in the Marine Corps and began a period of active duty on 23 February 1983. On 23 August 1983, you began a period of unauthorized absence (UA) which lasted four-days and resulted in nonjudicial punishment (NJP). On 23 July 1985, you received a second NJP for disobeying a lawful order. On 5 February 1986, you were convicted by special court martial (SPCM) for missing ship movement, disobeying a lawful order, and sleeping upon his post. You were sentenced to reduction the inferior grade of E-2, confinement, and forfeiture pay. On 29 December 1986, you received a third NJP for being disrespectful in language, disobeying a lawful order, and communicating a threat. As a result, on 30 January 1987, you were notified of

the initiation of administrative separation proceedings by reason of misconduct due to pattern of misconduct. On 2 February 1987, you requested a hearing by an Administrative Discharge Board (ADB). On 10 February 1987, your commanding officer recommended an Other Than Honorable (OTH) discharge characterization of service by reason of misconduct due to pattern of misconduct. On 27 March 1987, you decided to waive your right to an ADB hearing. On 6 April 1987, your administrative separation proceedings were determine to be sufficient in law and fact. On 1 May 1987, you were discharged with an OTH discharge characterization by reason of misconduct due to pattern of misconduct.

The Board carefully considered all potentially mitigating factors to determine whether the interests of justice warrant relief in your case in accordance with the Wilkie Memo. These included, but were not limited to your desire for a discharge upgrade and contentions that you were unfairly targeted by your superiors and that your decorations and citations show that you served honorably. For purposes of clemency and equity consideration, the Board noted you did not provide supporting documentation describing post-service accomplishments or advocacy letters.

After thorough review, the Board concluded these potentially mitigating factors were insufficient to warrant relief. Specifically, the Board determined that your misconduct, as evidenced by your NJPs and SPCM, outweighed these mitigating factors. In making this finding, the Board considered the seriousness of your misconduct and determined it showed a complete disregard for military authority and regulations. Further, the Board disagreed with your contentions and noted that you provided no evidence to substantiate you were treated unfairly by your chain of command. As a result, the Board concluded your conduct constituted a significant departure from that expected of a service member and continues to warrant an OTH characterization. Even in light of the Wilkie Memo and reviewing the record holistically, the Board did not find evidence of an error or injustice that warrants granting you the relief you requested or granting relief as a matter of clemency or equity. Accordingly, given the totality of the circumstances, the Board determined that your request does not merit relief.

You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon the submission of new matters, which will require you to complete and submit a new DD Form 149. New matters are those not previously presented to or considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

2/26/2023
2/20/2023
a a

Sincerely,