



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

█
Docket No. 3186-23
Ref: Signature Date



Dear Petitioner:

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to Title 10, United States Code, Section 1552. After careful and conscientious consideration of relevant portions of your naval record and your application, the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board) found the evidence submitted insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. Consequently, your application has been denied.

Although you did not file your application in a timely manner, the statute of limitation was waived in accordance with the 25 August 2017 guidance from the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness (Kurta Memo). A three-member panel of the Board, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 2 October 2023. The names and votes of the panel members will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of the Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of your naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies, to include the Kurta Memo, the 3 September 2014 guidance from the Secretary of Defense regarding discharge upgrade requests by veterans claiming post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD)(Hagel Memo), and the 25 July 2018 guidance from the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness regarding equity, injustice, or clemency determinations (Wilkie Memo). As part of the Board's review, a qualified mental health professional reviewed your request and provided the Board with an Advisory Opinion (AO) on 16 August 2023. Although you were afforded an opportunity to submit a rebuttal, you chose not to do so.

The Board determined that your personal appearance, with or without counsel, would not materially add to their understanding of the issues involved. Therefore, the Board determined that a personal appearance was not necessary and considered your case based on the evidence of record.

During your enlistment processing you disclosed minor traffic citations/infractions and were granted an enlistment waiver. You subsequently enlisted in the U.S. Marine Corps and began a

period of active service on 22 April 1996. On 23 July 1997, you received nonjudicial punishment (NJP) for the wrongful use of marijuana. On 24 July 1997, you were evaluated and diagnosed as a drug abuser and recommended for administrative separation. You were subsequently notified of your pending administrative separation processing by reason of misconduct due to drug abuse, at which time you waived your right to consult with counsel and have your case heard before an administrative discharge board. On 16 October 1997, the separation authority directed you be discharged with an Other Than Honorable (OTH) characterization of service for drug abuse. On 24 October 1997, you were so discharged.

The Board carefully considered all potentially mitigating factors to determine whether the interests of justice warrant relief in your case in accordance with the Kurta, Hagel, and Wilkie Memos. These included, but were not limited to, your desire to upgrade your characterization of service and your contentions that you incurred PTSD and other mental health concerns during military service, you had no problems within the course of your enlistment contract, you have many physical and mental health conditions that you believe stemmed from your time in service and [are] related to your OTH, you had knee surgery at █, and your teeth were removed during basic training. For purposes of clemency and equity consideration, the Board noted you provided copies of medical documents, driver's license, and social security number card.

Based on your assertions that you incurred PTSD and other mental health concerns during military service, which might have mitigated the circumstances of your separation, a qualified mental health professional reviewed your request for correction to your record and provided the Board with an AO. The AO stated in pertinent part:

There is no evidence that he was diagnosed with a mental health condition in military service, or that he exhibited any psychological symptoms or behavioral change indicative of a diagnosable mental health condition. Post-service, he has received a mental health diagnosis that is temporally remote to his military service and appears unrelated. Unfortunately, available records are not sufficiently detailed to establish clinical symptoms in service or provide a nexus with his misconduct. Additional records (e.g., post-service mental health records describing the Petitioner's diagnosis, symptoms, and their specific link to his misconduct) may aid in rendering an alternate opinion.

The AO conclude, "it is my clinical opinion there is insufficient evidence of a diagnosis of PTSD or another mental health condition that may be attributed to military service. There is insufficient evidence to attribute his misconduct to PTSD or another mental health condition."

After thorough review, the Board concluded these potentially mitigating factors were insufficient to warrant relief. Specifically, the Board determined your misconduct, as evidenced by your NJP, outweighed these mitigating factors. In making this finding, the Board considered the seriousness of your misconduct and the fact that it included a drug offense. The Board determined that illegal drug use by a service member is contrary to military core values and policy, renders such members unfit for duty, and poses an unnecessary risk to the safety of their fellow service members. The Board noted marijuana use in any form is still against Department of Defense regulations and not permitted for recreational use while serving in the military.

Additionally, the Board agreed with the AO that there is insufficient evidence of a diagnosis of PTSD or another mental health condition that may be attributed to military service or misconduct. As explained in the AO, your post-discharge mental health diagnosis is temporally remote to your military service and appears unrelated. Further, available records are not sufficiently detailed to establish clinical symptoms in service or provide a nexus with your misconduct. As a result, the Board concluded your conduct constituted a significant departure from that expected of a service member and continues to warrant an OTH. While the Board carefully considered the evidence you submitted in mitigation, even in light of the Kurta, Hagel, and Wilkie Memos and reviewing the record liberally and holistically, the Board did not find evidence of an error or injustice that warrants granting you the relief you requested or granting relief as a matter of clemency or equity. Ultimately, the Board concluded the mitigation evidence you provided was insufficient to outweigh the seriousness of your misconduct. Accordingly, given the totality of the circumstances, the Board determined that your request does not merit relief.

You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon the submission of new matters, which will require you to complete and submit a new DD Form 149. New matters are those not previously presented to or considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity is attached to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

10/17/2023

