



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

██████████
Docket No. 3706-23
Ref: Signature Date

From: Chairman, Board for Correction of Naval Records
To: Secretary of the Navy

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD ICO ██████████, XXX-██████████

Ref: (a) Title 10 U.S.C. § 1552
(b) BUPERSINST 1430.16G

Encl: (1) DD Form 149 w/attachments
(2) Subject's naval record

1. Pursuant to the provisions of reference (a), Subject, hereinafter referred to as Petitioner, filed enclosure (1) with the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board), requesting that his naval record be corrected to reflect two education points for the ██████████ Navy Wide Advancement Examination (NWAE) and retroactive advancement to ██████████

2. The Board, consisting of ██████████, ██████████, and ██████████ reviewed Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice on 16 May 2023 and pursuant to its regulations, determined that the corrective action indicated below should be taken on the available evidence of record. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of the enclosures, relevant portions of Petitioner's naval record, and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies.

3. The Board, having reviewed all the facts of record pertaining to Petitioner's allegations of error and injustice, found that, before applying to this Board, he exhausted all administrative remedies available under existing law and regulations within the Department of the Navy. The Board made the following findings:

a. Joint Services Transcript (JST) reflects Petitioner was awarded two Associates in Science degrees from ██████████ on ██████████, and Petitioner entered active duty on ██████████.

b. Petitioner participated in the ██████████, ██████████, ██████████, and ██████████ and passed not advanced; education points were not accounted for ██████████, ██████████ or ██████████. In September 2022, Petitioner participated in the ██████████ and selected for advancement to ██████████.

c. On 13 January 2023, Commanding Officer, ██████████ submitted an exception to policy (ETP) to Director, ██████████ via Commander, ██████████; ██████████

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD ICO [REDACTED], XXX-XX-[REDACTED]

recommend approval on 18 January 2023. [REDACTED] took no action because the request was submitted beyond the cycle limiting date.

d. Petitioner advanced to [REDACTED] effective [REDACTED].

CONCLUSION

Upon review and consideration of all the evidence of record, the Board finds the existence of an injustice warranting the following corrective action. In accordance with reference (b),¹ Petitioner met the criteria to receive two education points, however, the points were not accounted for at the time Petitioner participated in the [REDACTED] NWAE. Although the proper administrative requirements were not completed, the Board felt that under these circumstances, relief is warranted.

RECOMMENDATION

That Petitioner's naval record be corrected, where appropriate, to show that:

Petitioner's Examination Profile Information and Exam Status sheet from the [REDACTED] [REDACTED] [REDACTED] is modified to read Education: "2" vice "0" and Final Multiple Score: "100.56" vice "98.56." Note: The Minimum Multiple Required was 100.01.

Petitioner advanced to [REDACTED] effective "[REDACTED]" vice "[REDACTED]" with a time in rate date of "[REDACTED]" vice "[REDACTED]."

Note: The change to the effective date of advancement to [REDACTED] may also have affected Petitioner's [REDACTED] advancement opportunity. If Petitioner was eligible for, but did not take the [REDACTED] because the above change had not occurred, the following procedures will apply to remediate that missed opportunity. Petitioner should submit an ETP to the Chief of Naval Operations (OPNAV N132) in accordance with reference (b). If all requirements are met for a missed exam and OPNAV N132 disapproves an ETP, Petitioner may reapply to this Board for consideration of advancement to [REDACTED] retroactive to the date Petitioner would have advanced on the "missed" examination cycle(s). Petitioner must submit a DD Form 149, with at least a copy of worksheet for the missed cycle(s), command endorsement/recommendation, disapproved ETP and a copy of this letter. The Board will then determine whether the request for retroactive advancement will be approved.

A copy of this Report of Proceedings will be filed in Petitioner's naval record.

4. It is certified that a quorum was present at the Board's review and deliberations, and that the

¹ Reference (b), Sailors competing for advancement to paygrades E4 through E6 will be awarded two points for an accredited Associates degree. Education points will be calculated and credited by the JST. Naval Education and Training Professional Development Center (NETPDC) will receive data from Navy College Management Information System for calculation into the candidates final multiple score. Requests for correction or addition to education points should be submitted to NETPDC and must include a copy of the JST. Additionally, Commanding Officers may request standard score comparison ETP no later than 6 months after the missed exams limiting date.

Subj: REVIEW OF NAVAL RECORD ICO [REDACTED], XXX-XX-[REDACTED]

foregoing is a true and complete record of the Board's proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

5. Pursuant to the delegation of authority set out in Section 6(e) of the revised Procedures of the Board for Correction of Naval Records (32 Code of Federal Regulations, Section 723.6(e)), and having assured compliance with its provisions, it is hereby announced that the foregoing corrective action, taken under the authority of the reference, has been approved by the Board on behalf of the Secretary of the Navy.

5/25/2023



Deputy Director

Signed by: [REDACTED]