



DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS
701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001
ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

██████████
Docket No. 4229-23
Ref: Signature Date

████████████████████
████████████████
████████████████████

Dear ██████████

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to Section 1552 of Title 10, United States Code. After careful and conscientious consideration of relevant portions of your naval record and your application, the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board) found the evidence submitted insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. Consequently, your application has been denied.

A three-member panel of the Board, sitting in executive session, considered your application on 8 February 2024. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your application, together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations, and policies. In addition, the Board considered the advisory opinion contained in Navy Personnel Command memorandum 1900 PERS-312/SA of 28 December 2023 and your response to the opinion.

You requested your DD Form 214N, Report of Separation from Active Duty ending 4 January 1977 reflect the date you entered Naval Service as a Midshipman attending the ██████████ beginning 28 June 1967. You also requested the addition of service while attending the USNA including training tours aboard ██████████ in 1968, ██████████ in 1970 wartime flight operations during ██████████ conflict, and temporary active duty to ██████████ in 1970 during ██████████ conflict. The Board, in its review of your entire record and application, carefully weighed all potentially mitigating factors, to include your assertions. However, the Board concluded that at the time of your release from active duty, Bureau of Naval Personnel Instruction 1900.2E was the governing policy for the preparation and distribution of the DD Form 214N. Specifically, the policy indicates the DD Form 214N is issued to cover periods of service on active duty, some periods of temporary active duty and active duty for training, and certain periods terminated by a change of status not concurrent with separation from service. Additionally, the policy specifies the DD Form 214N will not be issued in the case of personnel: who are released from active duty for training less than 90 days unless separated for physical

disability; those who are released from temporary active duty of less than 30 days unless separated for physical disability; or those who are Midshipmen ██████████
██████████, accepting a permanent commission in the U.S. Navy or U.S. Naval Reserve.

A review of your record indicates you attended the USNA from June 1967 to June 1971. Thereafter, you accepted an active duty commission on 9 June 1971 and entered the Service. You requested to resign your active duty commission on 17 September 1976 and was released from active duty and transferred to the Naval Reserve on 4 January 1977. On 27 October 1980, you requested to resign your Naval Reserve commission; your resignation was accepted with an effective date of 24 November 1980. The Board could not find, nor did you provide evidence of meeting the criteria outlined in the aforementioned policy to amend your DD Form 214N. Therefore, the Board determined that a change to your record is not warranted.

You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new matters, which will require you to complete and submit a new DD Form 149. New matters are those not previously presented to or considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.

Sincerely,

2/20/2024

