

DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF NAVAL RECORDS 701 S. COURTHOUSE ROAD, SUITE 1001 ARLINGTON, VA 22204-2490

> Docket No. 1524-24 Ref: Signature Date



Dear Petitioner:

This is in reference to your application for correction of your naval record pursuant to Section 1552 of Title 10, United States Code. After careful and conscientious consideration of relevant portions of your naval record and your application, the Board for Correction of Naval Records (Board) found the evidence submitted insufficient to establish the existence of probable material error or injustice. Consequently, your application has been denied.

Although your application was not filed in a timely manner, the Board found it in the interest of justice to waive the statute of limitations and consider your case on its merits. A three-member panel of the Board, sitting in executive session, considered your applications on 5 March 2024. The names and votes of the members of the panel will be furnished upon request. Your allegations of error and injustice were reviewed in accordance with administrative regulations and procedures applicable to the proceedings of this Board. Documentary material considered by the Board consisted of your applications, together with all material submitted in support thereof, relevant portions of your naval record and applicable statutes, regulations and policies, as well as the 20 February 2024 Advisory Opinion (AO) provided by Navy Personnel Command (PERS-32). Although you were afforded an opportunity to submit a rebuttal, you chose not to do so.

The Board carefully considered your request to correct the Reporting Senior Cumulative Average (RSCA) in Block 43 of the Evaluation Report & Counseling Record (Eval) for the reporting period 16 March 2019 to 15 May 2020. The Board considered your contention that the correct RSCA is 3.80 and the correction should be made because it would change a few of your [advancement] exam scores. The Board also considered your claim that you would have been selected for Petty Officer First Class and you would not have had to submit a High Year Tenure (HYT) extension request, as you would have been eligible for extension/reenlistment.

The Board, however, substantially concurred with the AO. In this regard, the AO noted that upon review of the RSCA and your Performance Summary Record, the RSCA is 3.80 and Block 43 of the Eval appears to be in error. However, regarding your claims that fixing the RSCA on your Eval would change a few of your exam scores and you would have been selected for Petty Officer First Class by Cycle 259 March 2023, the Board determined the error is administrative in

nature and would not have negatively impacted your chance for promotion to the next grade. Thus, the Board concluded that there is no probable material error, substantive inaccuracy, or injustice warranting a change to the evaluation in question. Accordingly, given the totality of the circumstances, the Board determined that your request does not merit relief.

You are entitled to have the Board reconsider its decision upon submission of new matters, which will require you to complete and submit a new DD Form 149. New matters are those not previously presented to or considered by the Board. In this regard, it is important to keep in mind that a presumption of regularity attaches to all official records. Consequently, when applying for a correction of an official naval record, the burden is on the applicant to demonstrate the existence of probable material error or injustice.



Sincerely,