ADDENDUM TO RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

IN THE MATTER OF: DOCKET NUMBER: BC-2005-03883

COUNSEL: NONE

HEARING DESIRED: YES

APPLICANT REQUESTS THAT:

His officer performance report (OPR) rendered for the period 6 Dec 96 through 1 Jul 97 be expunded from his record.

STATEMENT OF FACTS:

A similar appeal was considered and denied by the board on 18 Apr 06. For an accounting of the facts and circumstances surrounding the applicant's separation, and, the rationale of the earlier decision by the Board, see the Record of Proceedings at Exhibit E.

Through his Member of Congress, the applicant requested that additional information be considered in his case to have the contested report removed. However, on 8 Jun 11, the Board denied reconsideration of his request on the basis that the applicant has pending litigation action in Federal court.

On 30 May 11, the applicant requests reconsideration of his appeal and provides additional evidence.

In support of his appeal, the applicant provides a personal statement; copies of letter from his Member of Congress, including a redacted document/testimony from his former rater.

The applicant's complete submission, with attachments, is at Exhibit F.

THE BOARD CONCLUDES THAT:

In an earlier finding, the Board determined there was insufficient evidence to warrant corrective action. We reviewed the additional evidence in judging the merits of the case; however, while we note the applicant has presented new evidence to corroborate his claim, we did not find that it overcomes the rationale expressed in the previous decision. In this respect,

we note that the basis of the applicant's appeal suggests that feedback was not accomplished or was not accomplished on the date listed on the contested report. However, the governing instructions notes that while feedback is important and required, the lack of feedback, in and of itself, does not invalidate a report. Further, while the applicant may disagree, in our view, it appears that the comments in the additional documents indicate not that feedback was not accomplished, but that the date of the feedback may have been in error. Therefore, we are not convinced that the new documentation establishes the contested report is an inaccurate assessment of his performance. In view of the above, we again find no basis upon which to recommend favorable consideration of the applicant's request.

The applicant's case is adequately documented and it has not been shown that a personal appearance with or without counsel will materially add to our understanding of the issue(s) involved. Therefore, the request for a hearing is not favorably considered.

THE BOARD DETERMINES THAT:

The applicant be notified that the evidence presented did not demonstrate the existence of material error or injustice; that the application was denied without a personal appearance; and that the application will only be reconsidered upon the submission of newly discovered relevant evidence not considered with this application.

The following members of the Board considered AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2005-03883 in Executive Session on 28 February 2012 under the provisions of AFI 36-2603:

The following documentary evidence was considered:

Exhibit E. Record of Proceedings, dated 10 May 06, with exhibits.

Exhibit F. Letter, Applicant, dated 30 Nov 11, with attachments.

Panel Chair