RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

IN THE MATTER OF: DOCKET NUMBER: BC-2010-02950

 XXXXXXX COUNSEL: NONE

 HEARING DESIRED: NO

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

APPLICANT REQUESTS THAT:

His Reentry (RE) code of 2C (Entry level separation without characterization of service) be changed so he may reenlist.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

APPLICANT CONTENDS THAT:

His RE code should be changed so he can reenlist in the Air Force and continue his service where he left off. He suffered from enuresis during basic military training (BMT) due to the stress of the new environment. He sought treatment for the condition, but to no avail. He wanted to try stronger medication, but was not allowed to do so. He was given the choice to continue training, or go home. He was too embarrassed by his condition to continue with training, so he chose to be discharged. To this day, he regrets leaving BMT. He has not suffered the symptoms of enuresis since leaving the Air Force and the stresses of his current profession in corrections has not caused him to have another episode.

In support of his request, the applicant provides an expanded statement and documentation from his attending physician.

The applicant’s complete submission, with attachments, is at Exhibit A.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

STATEMENT OF FACTS:

The relevant facts pertaining to this application are contained in the letters prepared by the appropriate offices of the Air Force. Accordingly, there is no need to recite these facts in this Record of Proceedings.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

AETC/SGPS recommends denial, indicating there is no evidence of an error or injustice. The applicant contends he only had a few episodes associated with enuresis while he was in BMT. He indicates he no longer suffers from these symptoms and, as such, is fit for reenlistment in the Air Force. However, a review of his records reveals he has suffered these symptoms since the age of six, with four to five episodes per week. During BMT, he suffered two to three episodes in his sleep, and one to two episodes while he was awake. He was seen at the Reid clinic and prescribed medication which proved to be ineffective. Since the condition is not compatible with military service, he was processed for release and separated.

A complete copy of the AETC/SGPS evaluation is at Exhibit C.

AFPC/DPSOA recommends denial, indicating there is no evidence of an error or injustice. The applicant was involuntarily discharged with an entry level separation and uncharacterized service on 28 Oct 09, after serving 28 days on active duty. He received an RE code of 2C as required by AFI 36-2606, *Reenlistments in the US Air Force*, based on his entry level separation with uncharacterized service. The applicant does not provide any evidence of an error or injustice in reference to is RE code and HQ AETC/SGPS found his separation for enuresis appropriate and does not support his reentry into the Air Force.

A complete copy of the AFPC/DPSOA evaluation is at Exhibit D.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION:

Copies of the Air Force evaluations were forwarded to the applicant on 7 Jan 11 for review and comment within 30 days. As of this date, no response has been received by this office (Exhibit F).

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

THE BOARD CONCLUDES THAT:

1.  The applicant has exhausted all remedies provided by existing law or regulations.

2.  The application was timely filed.

3.  Insufficient relevant evidence has been presented to demonstrate the existence of an error or injustice. After careful consideration of the applicant's request and the available evidence of record, we find insufficient evidence of an error or injustice to warrant corrective action. We rely on the advisory opinions as the basis for our opinion. Absent persuasive evidence the applicant was denied rights to which he was entitled, appropriate regulations were not followed, or appropriate standards were not applied, we find no basis to disturb the existing record.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

THE BOARD DETERMINES THAT:

The applicant be notified the evidence presented did not demonstrate the existence of material error or injustice; the application was denied without a personal appearance; and the application will only be reconsidered upon the submission of newly discovered relevant evidence not considered with this application.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

The following members of the Board considered AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2010-02950 in Executive Session on 22 Mar 11, under the provisions of AFI 36-2603:

The following documentary evidence was considered:

 Exhibit A. DD Form 149, dated 2 Aug 10, w/atchs.

 Exhibit B. Applicant's Master Personnel Records.

 Exhibit C. Letter, AETC/SGPS, dated 31 Aug 10.

 Exhibit D. Letter, AFPC/DPSOA, undated.

 Exhibit E. Letter, SAF/MRBR, dated 7 Jan 11.