

## **RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

**DOCKET NUMBER:** BC-2022-00304

XXXX X. XXXX

**COUNSEL:** NONE

**HEARING REQUESTED:** YES

### **APPLICANT'S REQUEST**

She be reimbursed for personally procuring the shipment of her Privately Owned Vehicle (POV) in the amount of \$2,945.00 or up to the allowable rate.

### **APPLICANT'S CONTENTIONS**

The Vehicle Processing Center (VPC) denied the shipment of her POV on 1 Nov 21 from XX to XX, due to missing accounting information on her orders. She did not have the time to wait for order modification and correction to allow for shipment by the VPC. The average processing time for Air National Guard (ANG) order publication is two to eight hours. She was scheduled to fly from XX to XX less than four hours after her appointment to drop off her POV to the VPC. Her dependents had already flown to XX hours prior and were awaiting her arrival to start the drive to her Permanent Change of Station (PCS) location. She paid for the shipment of her dependent's vehicle that was to be driven for relocation w/out expectation of reimbursement. She called to notify her new leadership of the shipment issue and made them aware that she was able to pay a commercial vendor to ship her vehicle and fly out as scheduled. The Servicing Accession Point of Contact (POC) and Supervisor told her, the order would be modified to allow for reimbursement, after she in-processed at her PCS location. Her order was modified and now includes the missing information, and is included in her application.

The applicant's complete submission is at Exhibit A.

### **STATEMENT OF FACTS**

The applicant is a currently serving ANG senior master sergeant (E-8).

On 22 Sep 21, Order Number XXXX, provided by the applicant, shows she was assigned to XXXX, XXXX, with a report not later than date of 12 Nov 21 and an effective date of duty as 1 Nov 21.

On 1 Nov 21, the applicant's Report on Individual Personnel reflects her Effective Duty Date at XXXX, XXXX.

On 1 Dec 21, Order Number XXXX, provided by the applicant, shows the order was modified to include POV Shipment/Transportation is authorized IAW with Joint Travel Regulation (JTR).

For more information, see the excerpt of the applicant's record at Exhibit B and the advisories at Exhibits C and D.

## **AIR FORCE EVALUATIONS**

PPA HQ/LHE recommends denying reimbursement of the personally procured POV shipment. The applicant arrived at the VPC with an order that did not have the proper line of accounting (LOA) for shipment of her POV. The applicant stated she did not have enough time to request an order modification from her ANG unit due travel constraints. The applicant elected to arrange the transport of her POV through a commercial vendor to prevent a change or delay in her flight.

Based on the documentation provided by the applicant and analysis of the facts, there is no evidence of an error or injustice on the transportation community part. From PPA HQ/LHE's review of the BCMR application the applicant's ANG unit failed to provide the applicant with the proper LOA for the movement of her POV.

The complete advisory opinion is at Exhibit C.

NGB/A4RDT recommends granting the application. The applicant is seeking reimbursement of a vehicle shipment made commercially instead of through an authorized VPC. The applicant's orders were missing the Transportation Account Code (TAC) that is used to authorize and pay for a vehicle shipment. The applicant did attend required counseling, however not enough details were provided to assist with shipping a POV through the VPC for the first time. The applicant was unaware the TAC code was not included on her orders until the day of her port call (01 Nov 2021) which was also the same day as her VPC appointment to ship her vehicle.

According to JTR 0530, Para 053001.B.1.c. and Table 5-64, Item 1, the applicant is authorized to ship a POV from her Outside the Continental United States (OCONUS) location to Continental United States (CONUS) location. The TAC should have been included on her orders, which would have authorized her to utilize the VPC in order to ship her vehicle to her CONUS location. This error was an administrative oversight made by the unit who created the PCS orders. The applicant should be reimbursed \$2,135.29 for the amount that it would have cost the government to ship her POV.

The complete advisory opinion is at Exhibit D.

## **APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION**

The Board sent a copy of the advisory opinion to the applicant on 30 Mar 22 for comment (Exhibit E), but has received no response.

## **FINDINGS AND CONCLUSION**

1. The application was timely filed.
2. The applicant exhausted all available non-judicial relief before applying to the Board.
3. After reviewing all Exhibits, the Board concludes the applicant is the victim of an error or injustice. The Board concurs with the rationale and recommendation of NGB/A4RDT and finds a preponderance of the evidence substantiates the applicant's contentions. The Board notes the applicant was authorized to ship a POV from OCONUS to CONUS, however, due to an administrative oversight the TAC was missing from her orders. Therefore, the Board recommends correcting the applicant's records as indicated below.
4. The applicant has not shown a personal appearance, with or without counsel, would materially add to the Board's understanding of the issues involved.

## **RECOMMENDATION**

The pertinent military records of the Department of the Air Force relating to APPLICANT be corrected to show she was reimbursed \$2,135.29, the Government constructive cost to ship her POV.

## **CERTIFICATION**

The following quorum of the Board, as defined in Air Force Instruction (AFI) 36-2603, *Air Force Board for Correction of Military Records (AFBCMR)*, paragraph 1.5, considered Docket Number BC-2022-00304 in Executive Session on 31 May 22:

, Panel Chair  
, Panel Member  
, Panel Member

All members to correct the record. The panel considered the following:

Exhibit A: Application, DD Form 149, w/atchs, dated 10 Dec 21.  
Exhibit B: Documentary evidence, including relevant excerpts from official records.  
Exhibit C: Advisory opinion, PPA HQ/LHE, w/atch, dated 23 Feb 22.  
Exhibit D: Advisory opinion, NGB/A4RDT, w/atch, dated 30 Mar 22.  
Exhibit E: Notification of advisory, SAF/MRBC to applicant, dated 30 Mar 22.

Taken together with all Exhibits, this document constitutes the true and complete Record of Proceedings, as required by AFI 36-2603, paragraph 4.11.9.

**X**

---

Board Operations Manager, AFBCMR