

CUI//SP-MIL/SP-PRVCY

UNITED STATES AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NUMBER: BC-2022-01475

Work-Product

COUNSEL: NONE

HEARING REQUESTED: NO

APPLICANT'S REQUEST

His general (under honorable conditions) discharge be upgraded to honorable.

APPLICANT'S CONTENTIONS

He was an immature and inexperienced young man who joined the Air Force to serve his country and gain discipline and maturity in his life. He has learned a valuable lesson from his youthful indiscretion and strives to be an upstanding and productive member of his community. He positively influences youth as a teacher, sports official, and volunteer.

In support of his request for clemency, the applicant provides a civil servant verification letter and several character statement letters.

The applicant's complete submission is at Exhibit A.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

The applicant is a former Air Force airman (E-2).

On 5 Apr 76, the applicant requested discharge from the Air Force under the provisions of Air Force Manual (AFM) 39-12, *Discharge for Unsuitability*, paragraph 2-78, for the good of service.

On 7 Apr 76, the applicant's commander recommended the applicant be discharged from the Air Force, under the provisions of AFM 39-12, paragraph 2-78, for the good of service in lieu of court-martial.

On 8 Apr 76, the deputy staff judge advocate found the discharge action legally sufficient.

On 8 Apr 76, the discharge authority directed the applicant be discharged under the provisions of AFM 39-12, chapter 2, section F, paragraph 2-78, with a general (under honorable conditions) service characterization without the offer of probation and rehabilitation.

On 12 Apr 76, the applicant received a general (under honorable conditions) discharge. His authority and reason for discharge is AFM 39-12 SPD "KFS," which denotes request for discharge in lieu of trial by court-martial. He was credited with 9 months and 13 days of total active service.

AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2022-01475 CUI//SP-MIL/SP-PRVCY

CUI//SP-MIL/SP-PRVCY

On 16 Nov 77, the applicant submitted a request to the Air Force Discharge Review Board (AFDRB) for an upgrade to his discharge.

On 16 Feb 78, the AFDRB concluded the discharge was consistent with the procedural and substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the discretion of the discharge authority and the applicant was provided full administrative due process.

For more information, see the excerpt of the applicant's record at Exhibit B.

POST-SERVICE INFORMATION

On 5 Dec 22, the Board sent the applicant a request for any additional post-service information he may wish the Board to consider; however, he has not replied (Exhibit C). The applicant did, however, provide a civil servant verification letter and several character statement letters with his initial application (Exhibit A).

APPLICABLE AUTHORITY/GUIDANCE

On 25 Jul 18, the Under Secretary of Defense issued supplemental guidance to military corrections boards in determining whether relief is warranted based on equity, injustice, or clemency. These standards authorize the board to grant relief in order to ensure fundamental fairness. Clemency refers to relief specifically granted from a criminal sentence and is a part of the broad authority Boards have to ensure fundamental fairness. This guidance applies to more than clemency from sentencing in a court-martial; it also applies to any other corrections, including changes in a discharge, which may be warranted on equity or relief from injustice grounds. This guidance does not mandate relief, but rather provides standards and principles to guide Boards in application of their equitable relief authority. Each case will be assessed on its own merits. The relative weight of each principle and whether the principle supports relief in a particular case, are within the sound discretion of each Board. In determining whether to grant relief on the basis of equity, an injustice, or clemency grounds, the Board should refer to the supplemental guidance, paragraphs 6 and 7.

On 5 Dec 22, the Board staff provided the applicant a copy of the liberal consideration guidance (Exhibit C).

AFI 36-3208, Administrative Separation of Airmen, describes the types of service characterization:

Honorable. The quality of the airman's service generally has met Air Force standards of acceptable conduct and performance of duty or when a member's service is otherwise so meritorious that any other characterization would be inappropriate.

Under Honorable Conditions (General). If an airman's service has been honest and faithful, this characterization is warranted when significant negative aspects of the airman's conduct or performance of duty outweigh positive aspects of the airman's military record.

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSION

1. The application was timely filed. Given the requirement for passage of time, all clemency requests are technically untimely. However, it would be illogical to deny a clemency application as untimely, since the Board typically looks for over 15 years of good conduct post-service. Therefore, the Board declines to assert the three-year limitation period established by 10 U.S.C. § 1552(b).

AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2022-01475 CUI//SP-MIL/SP-PRVCY

CUI//SP-MIL/SP-PRVCY

2. The applicant exhausted all available non-judicial relief before applying to the Board.

3. After reviewing all Exhibits, the Board concludes the applicant is not the victim of an error or injustice. It appears the discharge was consistent with the substantive requirements of the discharge regulation and was within the commander's discretion. Nor was the discharge unduly harsh or disproportionate to the offenses committed. In the interest of justice, the Board considered upgrading the discharge based on clemency; however, given the evidence presented, and insufficient post-service information, the Board finds no basis to do so. Therefore, the Board recommends against correcting the applicant's record.

RECOMMENDATION

The Board recommends informing the applicant the evidence did not demonstrate material error or injustice, and the Board will reconsider the application only upon receipt of relevant evidence not already presented.

CERTIFICATION

The following quorum of the Board, as defined in Department of the Air Force Instruction (DAFI) 36-2603, *Air Force Board for Correction of Military Records (AFBCMR)*, paragraph 2.1, considered Docket Number BC-2022-01475 in Executive Session on 16 Mar 23:



All members voted against correcting the record. The panel considered the following:

Exhibit A: Application, DD Form 149, w/atchs, dated 25 Apr 22.

Exhibit B: Documentary Evidence, including relevant excerpts from official records.

Exhibit C: Letter, SAF/MRBC, w/atchs (Post-Service Request and Liberal Consideration Guidance), dated 5 Dec 22.

Taken together with all Exhibits, this document constitutes the true and complete Record of Proceedings, as required by DAFI 36-2603, paragraph 4.12.9.

	5/2/2023
Work-Product	
Board Operations Manager, AFBCMR	
Signed by:	Work-Product

AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2022-01475 CUI//SP-MIL/SP-PRVCY