



Work-Product

**UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS**

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NUMBER: BC-2022-01627

Work-Product

COUNSEL: NONE

HEARING REQUESTED: YES

APPLICANT’S REQUEST

- 1. His DD Form 214, *Armed Forces of the United States Report of Transfer or Discharge*, Item 12 (Last Duty Assignment and Major Command) be amended to reflect the **Work-Product** rather than the **Work-Product**.
- 2. His record be amended to include a 90-day airlift mission that was classified top secret.

APPLICANT’S CONTENTIONS

He served in the **Work-Product** from 1965 until April 1967. His DD Form 214 reflects he served in the **Work-Product**. He never served in the **Work-Product**. Further his record fails to properly identify his service and assignment during the build up to the war efforts and service in direct support of the Vietnam War. His participation in a top secret 90-day airlift mission is omitted from his record.

The applicant’s complete submission is at Exhibit A.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

The applicant is a former Air Force airman second class (E-3).

On 16 April 1963, according to DD Form 214, *Armed Forces of the United States Report of Transfer or Discharge*, the applicant enlisted in the Regular Air Force for a period of four years. On 6 April 1967, he was released from active duty and transferred to the Air Force Reserve. He served 3 years, 11 months and 21 days total active duty and was credited with 3 years, 5 months, and 10 days of Foreign and/or SEA service. The narrative reason for separation reflects “Convenience of the Government.”

For more information, see the excerpt of the applicant’s record at Exhibit B and the advisory at Exhibit C.

AIR FORCE EVALUATION

AFPC/DPMLL recommends denying the application. Based on the documentation provided by the applicant and analysis of the facts, there is no evidence of an error or injustice. The applicant’s record reflects he was assigned to the **Work-Product** which is a sub organization under the **Work-Product**. His AF Form 7, *Airman’s Military Record*, lists a chronological listing of his service which states that on 29 October 1963, he was assigned to the **Work-Product**. On 8 December 1964, the **Work-Product**

AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2022-01627

Work-Product

Work-Product

was discontinued to activate the [redacted] Work-Product with no change in duty assignment. There is also an Air Force Good Conduct Medal recommendation from the [redacted] Work-Product to the [redacted] Work-Product on 21 February 1967, requesting the applicant's commander's approval/coordination to receive a Good Conduct Medal. Furthermore, the applicant has multiple AF Form 75, *Performance Reports*, from the [redacted] Work-Product and none from the [redacted] Attorney-... [redacted] Work-Product. For the 90-day "Top Secret" mission the applicant is requesting to include in his record, unfortunately, AFPC/DPMLL cannot validate his participation and just like the current times they do not include classified information and events on records. Also, the applicant's record reflects he received a secret clearance while in the service.

The complete advisory opinion is at Exhibit C.

APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION

The Board sent a copy of the advisory opinion to the applicant on 29 November 2022 for comment (Exhibit D) but has received no response.

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSION

1. The application was not timely filed.
2. The applicant exhausted all available non-judicial relief before applying to the Board.
3. After reviewing all Exhibits, the Board concludes the applicant is not the victim of an error or injustice. The Board concurs with the rationale and recommendation of AFPC/DPMLL and finds a preponderance of the evidence does not substantiate the applicant's contentions. Therefore, the Board recommends against correcting the applicant's records.
4. The Board also notes the applicant did not file the application within three years of discovering the alleged error or injustice, as required by Section 1552 of Title 10, United States Code, and Air Force Instruction 36-2603, *Air Force Board for Correction of Military Records (AFBCMR)*. While the applicant asserts a date of discovery within the three-year limit, the Board does not find the assertion supported by a preponderance of the evidence. The Board does not find it in the interest of justice to waive the three-year filing requirement.
5. The applicant has not shown a personal appearance, with or without counsel, would materially add to the Board's understanding of the issues involved.

RECOMMENDATION

The Board recommends informing the applicant the application was not timely filed; it would not be in the interest of justice to excuse the delay; and the Board will reconsider the application only upon receipt of relevant evidence not already presented.

CERTIFICATION

The following quorum of the Board, as defined in Air Force Instruction (AFI) 36-2603, *Air Force Board for Correction of Military Records (AFBCMR)*, paragraph 1.5, considered Docket Number BC-2022-01627 in Executive Session on 28 March 2023:

- Work-Product, Panel Chair
- Work-Product, Panel Member
- Work-Product, Panel Member

All members voted against correcting the record. The panel considered the following:

- Exhibit A: Application, DD Form 149, dated 31 May 2022.
- Exhibit B: Documentary evidence, including relevant excerpts from official records.
- Exhibit C: Advisory Opinion, AFPC/DPMLL, dated 18 November 2022.
- Exhibit D: Notification of Advisory, SAF/MRBC to Applicant, dated 29 November 2022.

Taken together with all Exhibits, this document constitutes the true and complete Record of Proceedings, as required by AFI 36-2603, paragraph 4.11.9.

12/21/2024

X

Work-Product

Board Operations Manager, AFBCMR
Signed by: Work-Product