

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IN THE MATTER OF:

XXXXXXXXXXXXXX

DOCKET NUMBER: BC-2022-01663

COUNSEL: NONE

HEARING REQUESTED: NO

APPLICANT'S REQUEST

His AF Form 2631, *Palace Chase Statement of Understanding*, dated 27 Jan 21 be corrected to show his correct service commitment obligation date is 8 Jan 23.

APPLICANT'S CONTENTIONS

His AF Form 2631 is incorrect based on the program he signed up for per the PSDM 21-04 FY21 *Enlisted Voluntary Force Management Program*, which stated his contract would be 1 to 1. The correct obligation date is 8 Jan 23. The Reserve recruiter stated he would serve the remaining time he had on active duty in the Reserve component.

The applicant's complete submission is at Exhibit A.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

The applicant is a senior airman (E-4) in the Air Force Reserve.

The applicant's DD Form 4/1, *Enlistment/Reenlistment Document Armed Forces of United States*, shows he enlisted in the Air Force Reserve (delayed enlistment) on 7 Jan 19. The DD 4/1 shows four years would be served in the active duty. However, DD 4/3, *Discharge from Delayed Entry for Enlistment*, shows he enlisted in the Regular Air Force on 9 Apr 19 for a period six years.

Per AF Form 2631 dated 27 Jan 21, the applicant applied for Palace Chase under the FY21 Force Management Program. The Remarks section of the AF Form 2631 shows his service obligation would be reduced to a "1 for 1 exchange."

On 15 Jun 21, the applicant was released from active duty with a narrative reason for separation of Intradepartmental Transfer.

For more information, see the excerpt of the applicant's record at Exhibit B and the advisory at Exhibit C.

AIR FORCE EVALUATION

AFPC/DP2SSR recommends denial. The AF Form 2631 in his record is correct as indicated. His original DOS was 8 Apr 25 when he chose to apply for Palace Chase under the FY21 Force Management Program. The 1 for 1 meant his DOS of 8 Apr 25 would remain firm, which meant no additional obligated service time would be added to his contract. Furthermore, the applicant signed a DD Form 4, contract to enlist on active duty for six years, which made his DOS 8 Apr 2025, upon entering extended active duty on 9 Apr 19.

The complete advisory opinion is at Exhibit C.

APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION

The Board sent a copy of the advisory opinion to the applicant on 23 Sep 22 for comment (Exhibit D) but has received no response.

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSION

1. The application was timely filed.
2. The applicant exhausted all available non-judicial relief before applying to the Board.
3. After reviewing all Exhibits, the Board concludes the applicant is not the victim of an error or injustice. The Board concurs with the rationale and recommendation of AFPC/DP2SSR and finds a preponderance of the evidence does not substantiate the applicant's contentions. Therefore, the Board recommends against correcting the applicant's records.

RECOMMENDATION

The Board recommends informing the applicant the evidence did not demonstrate material error or injustice, and the Board will reconsider the application only upon receipt of relevant evidence not already presented.

CERTIFICATION

The following quorum of the Board, as defined in Air Force Instruction (AFI) 36-2603, *Air Force Board for Correction of Military Records (AFBCMR)*, paragraph 1.5, considered Docket Number BC-2022-01663 in Executive Session on 21 Dec 22:

, Panel Chair
, Panel Member
, Panel Member

All members voted against correcting the record. The panel considered the following:

- Exhibit A: Application, DD Form 149, w/atchs, dated 13 Jun 22.
- Exhibit B: Documentary evidence, including relevant excerpts from official records.
- Exhibit C: Advisory Opinion, AFPC/DP2SSR, w/atchs, dated 22 Sep 22.
- Exhibit D: Notification of Advisory, SAF/MRBC to Applicant, dated 23 Sep 22.

Taken together with all Exhibits, this document constitutes the true and complete Record of Proceedings, as required by AFI 36-2603, paragraph 4.11.9.

X

Work-Product

Board Operations Manager, AFBCMR