RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NUMBER: BC-2022-01923

XXXXXXXXXXXXXX

COUNSEL: NONE

HEARING REQUESTED: NO

APPLICANT'S REQUEST

Amend his DD Form 214, Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty, to reflect service in the Kingdom of Saudia Arabia.

APPLICANT'S CONTENTIONS

While he was assigned to the 836th Supply Squadron, he served in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia from 20 Aug 90 to 20 Mar 91, in support of Operations DESERT SHIELD/STORM. However, it is not recorded on his DD Form 214. This discrepancy affects his Veterans benefits.

The applicant's complete submission is at Exhibit A.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

The applicant is an honorably discharged Air Force senior airman (E-4).

On 15 Jun 87, according to DD Form 214, the applicant entered the Regular Air Force.

On 14 Jun 91, according to DD Form 214, the applicant was released from active duty in the rank of senior airman (E-4) and transferred to the Air National Guard. He was credited with four years of active service, which did not include Foreign Service.

For more information, see the excerpt of the applicant's record at Exhibit B and the advisory at Exhibit C.

APPLICABLE AUTHORITY/GUIDANCE

Title 10, United States Code, Section 1168: Discharge or release from active duty: limitation; DoDI 1336.01, *Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty (DD Form 214/5 Series)*; AFI 36-3202, *Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty (DD Form 214/5 Series)*; DD Form 214 Total Force Personnel Services Delivery Guide. The DD Form 214 is prepared in accordance with the aforementioned publications and is used to record qualifying active duty service. Foreign Service locations are not an authorized entry on the DD Form 214. Foreign Service is annotated in increments of years, months, and days.

The Air Force Personnel Center Directorate of Assignments (AFPC/DP3AM) is responsible for determining an Air Force member's Foreign Service. Since specific locations are not annotated on the DD Form 214, they will prepare a Boots-on-Ground letter if they are able to verify a member served at a specific foreign location. This letter may be used to validate overseas service.

AIR FORCE EVALUATION

AFPC/DP3AM (Directorate of Assignments) recommends denying the application. The documentation submitted and the applicant's military personnel records failed to provide any evidence to substantiate service in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia.

The complete advisory opinion is at Exhibit C.

APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION

The Board sent a copy of the advisory opinion to the applicant on 13 Feb 23 for comment (Exhibit D) but has received no response.

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSION

- 1. The application was not timely filed.
- 2. The applicant exhausted all available non-judicial relief before applying to the Board.

3. After reviewing all Exhibits, the Board concludes the applicant is not the victim of an error or injustice. The applicant claims he served in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia from 20 Aug 90 to 20 Mar 91, in support of Operations DESERT SHIELD/STORM. However, a review of the applicant's submission and military personnel records failed to provide any evidence to substantiate service in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. Therefore, the Board concurs with the rationale and recommendation of AFPC/DP3AM and finds a preponderance of the evidence does not substantiate the applicant's contentions. The Board also notes the applicant did not file the application within three years of discovering the alleged error or injustice, as required by Section 1552 of Title 10, United States Code, and Department of the Air Force Instruction (DAFI) 36-2603, *Air Force Board for Correction of Military Records (AFBCMR)*. While the applicant asserts a date of discovery within the three-year limit, the Board does not find the assertion supported by a preponderance of the evidence. The Board does not find it in the interest of justice to waive the three-year filing requirement. Therefore, the Board finds the application untimely and recommends against correcting the applicant's records.

RECOMMENDATION

The Board recommends informing the applicant the application was not timely filed; it would not be in the interest of justice to excuse the delay; and the Board will reconsider the application only upon receipt of relevant evidence not already presented.

CERTIFICATION

The following quorum of the Board, as defined in DAFI 36-2603, paragraph 2.1, considered Docket Number BC-2022-01923 in Executive Session on 16 Nov 23:

- , Panel Chair
- , Panel Member
- , Panel Member

All members voted against correcting the record. The panel considered the following:

Exhibit A: Application, DD Form 149, w/atchs, dated 20 Jun 22. Exhibit B: Documentary evidence, including relevant excerpts from official records. Exhibit C: Advisory Opinion, AFPC/DP3AM, dated 31 Jan 23. Exhibit D: Notification of Advisory, SAF/MRBC to Applicant, dated 13 Feb 23.

Taken together with all Exhibits, this document constitutes the true and complete Record of Proceedings, as required by DAFI 36-2603, paragraph 4.12.9.

Х

Board Operations Manager, AFBCMR