



## UNITED STATES AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

### RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

**DOCKET NUMBER:** BC-2022-02560

*Work-Product*

**COUNSEL:** NONE

**HEARING REQUESTED:** NO

### APPLICANT'S REQUEST

He receive a special board (SB) for promotion consideration to colonel (O-6) for the CY21 USAFR Line and Nonline Colonel (O-6) Promotion Selection Board (PSB).

### APPLICANT'S CONTENTIONS

On 30 Sep 21, the applicant's senior rater submitted a Promotion Recommendation Form (PRF) for consideration during the CY21 USAFR Line and Nonline O-6 PSB. The PRF submitted for consideration contained an overall recommendation of Definitely Promote, and a stratification of "#4/67 Sq CC's!". After the applicant was not selected for promotion, he received post board counseling from ARPC. According to the post board counseling document, the applicant was briefed that his PRF did not contain a stratification and the overall recommendation was Promote. The applicant is requesting a special board because the PRF reviewed by the board was incorrect and will have an adverse permanent effect on his career.

The applicant's complete submission is at Exhibit A.

### STATEMENT OF FACTS

The applicant is an Air Force Reserve lieutenant colonel (O-5).

On 30 Sep 21, according to AF Form 709, *Promotion Recommendation*, submitted by the applicant; section *IV. Promotion Recommendation* reflects "#4/67 Sq/CCs!" as a stratification, section *VI. Group Size* reflects "5/8/32" as an additional stratification, and section *IX. Overall Recommendation* reflects "Definitely Promote".

According to the *Post Board Counseling Document*, dated Jun 22, the section for PRF's reflects:

*PRFs:*

*Strat: None,*

*GRP Size: N/A (not req due to Promote recommendation)*

*Overall Recommendation: PROMOTE*

**AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2022-02560**

Work... Work-Product

Controlled by: SAF/MRB

*Work-Product*

Limited Dissemination Control: N/A

POC: [SAF.MRBC.Workflow@us.af.mil](mailto:SAF.MRBC.Workflow@us.af.mil)

*\*\*Though stratifications are not required in the 2-line, it may be at a disadvantage when competing with other PRFs. Also, 'Promote' may be at disadvantage over Definitely Promote, however at this level, it's very competitive with a small promotion quota.*

For more information, see the excerpt of the applicant's record at Exhibit B and the advisory at Exhibit C.

## **AIR FORCE EVALUATION**

ARPC/PB recommends denying the application. The correct PRF, which included the definitely promote recommendation and stratification, was in the officer's record when the board convened. Although the post board counseling provided to the applicant was in error, it was completed after the board results were released. The post board counseling was not provided to the board and had no impact on the board's decision. The board's actions were not contrary to law and the board had before it and considered all material information. On 18 Oct 21, the CY21 USAFR Line and Nonline Colonel (O-6) Promotion Selection Board (PSB) convened, and the applicant was not selected for promotion.

The complete advisory opinion is at Exhibit C.

## **APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION**

The Board sent a copy of the advisory opinion to the applicant on 3 Oct 22 for comment (Exhibit D) but has received no response.

## **FINDINGS AND CONCLUSION**

1. The application was timely filed.
2. The applicant exhausted all available non-judicial relief before applying to the Board.
3. After reviewing all Exhibits, the Board concludes the applicant is not the victim of an error or injustice. The Board concurs with the rationale and recommendation of ARPC/PB and finds a preponderance of the evidence does not substantiate the applicant's contentions. The Board notes that ARPC/PB stated that the correct PRF, which included the definitely promote recommendation and stratification, was in the officer's record when the promotion board convened. Therefore, the Board recommends against correcting the applicant's records.

## **RECOMMENDATION**

The Board recommends informing the applicant the evidence did not demonstrate material error or injustice, and the Board will reconsider the application only upon receipt of relevant evidence not already presented.

## **CERTIFICATION**

The following quorum of the Board, as defined in Air Force Instruction (AFI) 36-2603, *Air Force Board for Correction of Military Records (AFBCMR)*, paragraph 1.5, considered Docket Number BC-2022-02560 in Executive Session on 22 Nov 22:

**AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2022-02560**

Work...

Work... Work-Product

Work-Product

Panel Chair

Work-Product

Panel Member

Work-Product

Panel Member

All members voted against correcting the record. The panel considered the following:

Exhibit A: Application, DD Form 149, w/atchs, dated 13 Sep 22.

Exhibit B: Documentary evidence, including relevant excerpts from official records.

Exhibit C: Advisory Opinion, ARPC/PB, w/atchs, dated 3 Oct 22.

Exhibit D: Notification of Advisory, SAF/MRBC to Applicant, dated 17 Oct 22.

Taken together with all Exhibits, this document constitutes the true and complete Record of Proceedings, as required by AFI 36-2603, paragraph 4.11.9.

Work-Product

**AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2022-02560**

Work... Work-Product

Work...