



**UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS**

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NUMBER: BC-2022-03081

COUNSEL: NONE

HEARING REQUESTED: NO

APPLICANT'S REQUEST

He be credited with one (1) year of satisfactory service for his retirement/retention (R/R) year 23 Jun 19 to 22 Jun 20 in the Air Force Reserve (AFR).

APPLICANT'S CONTENTIONS

The applicant was scheduled to deploy from Jul 19 to Mar 20. In anticipation of the deployment, the applicant rescheduled his Aug 19 and Sep 19 Unit Training Assembly's (UTA). The applicant's wing mandated a "super drill" which combined UTAs in April and July, to be performed in April. The applicant's Retirement and Retention (R/R) dates are 23 Jun to 22 Jun. As a result of the super drill, the UTAs for July 19 were credited in (R/R) year 23 Jun 18 to 22 Jun 19 instead of 23 Jun 19 to 22 Jun 20. In addition, in Nov 19, the applicant transferred from the Air National Guard (ANG) to the AFR. The transfer delayed the applicant's ability to perform duty while HQ AFRC gained him to the new unit, and he completed R/R year 23 Jun 18 to 22 Jun 19 with forty-seven (47) points. The applicant is requesting the four points from his Jul 19 UTA be moved to R/R year 23 Jun 19 to 22 Jun 20, so that he can obtain fifty (50) points and be credited with a satisfactory year.

The applicant's complete submission is at Exhibit A.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

The applicant is an Air Force Reserve master sergeant (E-7).

According to Special Order *Work-Product*, dated 3 Aug 18, provided by the applicant, all members assigned to the *Work...* Airlift Wing, *Work-Product* Air National Guard were ordered to attend "Super Drill" from 4 Apr 19 to 7 Apr 19. Additionally, there is "No Drill" for Jul 19.

According to Order Number *Work-Product*, dated 19 Jun 19, provided by the applicant, the applicant's deployment in support of Operation Spartan Shield from 19 Jul 19 to 3 Mar 20 was cancelled.

On 28 Nov 19, according to NGB Form 22, *Report of Separation and Record of Service*, the applicant separated from the Georgia ANG after six (6) years, seven (7) months, and twenty-four (24) days of service.

On 8 Feb 20, according to DD Form 4, *Enlistment/Reenlistment Document Armed Forces of the United States*, the applicant enlisted in the Air Force Reserve for two (2) years.

According to the PCARS report, he was credited with the following Active Duty (AD), Inactive Duty for Training (IDT), membership (MBR), and retirement points from 2019 to 2020:

R/R Year	AD	IDT	ECI	MBR	Retirement	Satisfactory Service (Year)
23 Jun 18 – 22 Jun 19	15	52	0	15	82	010000
*23 Jun 19 – 22 Jun 20	0	32	0	15	47	000000

***Unsatisfactory Years**

For more information, see the excerpt of the applicant's record at Exhibit B and the advisory at Exhibit C.

APPLICABLE AUTHORITY/GUIDANCE

DoDI 10147. Ready Reserve: training requirements

(a) Except as specifically provided in regulations to be prescribed by the Secretary of Defense, or by the Secretary of Homeland Security with respect to the Coast Guard when it is not operating as a service in the Navy, each person who is enlisted, inducted, or appointed in an armed force, and who becomes a member of the Ready Reserve under any provision of law except section 513 or 10145(b) of this title, shall be required, while in the Ready Reserve, to—

- (1) participate in at least 48 scheduled drills or training periods during each year and serve on active duty for training of not less than 14 days (exclusive of travel time) during each year; or
- (2) serve on active duty for training not more than 30 days during each year.

ANGI 36-2001, Management of Training and Operational Support within the Air National Guard

5.2. FTNGD Annual Training (FTNGD-AT) states “All members except non-prior service personnel will participate in at least 15 days of FTNGD-AT during the fiscal year unless fulfilled by another type of active service, or excused for a reason stated in paragraph 5.2 of this chapter. A written excusal will be kept on file for each member that does not perform 15 days of FTNGDAT or another type of active service which satisfies the 15 day AT requirement. No written excusal is needed if the member performs 15 days of active service as documented by pay records. Individuals may be ordered to training for periods of 1 to 15 days on an individual basis, by small teams, subsections, sections, or flights as well as squadron, group, or wing exercises that require attendance of all assigned personnel.”

AIR FORCE EVALUATION

NGB/A1PP recommends denying the application. The applicant was scheduled to deploy from Jul 19 to Mar 20. To be proactive, he rescheduled his Aug 19 and Sep 19 UTAs to be completed in Jan 19. However, later that year, the deployment requirements changed and he was removed from the deployment. On 3 Aug 18, the applicant's wing combined UTAs from Apr 19 and Jul 19 to create a “super drill” that covered both drill periods. Due to the applicant's R/R being 23 Jun to 22 Jun, the super drill combined UTAs in two different R/R years and the four points were credited to Jun 18 to Jun 19 instead of Jun 19 to Jun 20. As a result, the applicant received 82 points in R/R year Jun 18 to Jun 19, and 47 points in R/R year Jun 19 to Jun 20.

According DoDI 1215.06, enclosure 7, section 3, para 2 says, "AT is required for all members of the Selected Reserve, excluding AGR's. Units of the National Guard are required to perform fulltime military training for at least 15 days each year (including travel) pursuant to section 502 (a) of Reference (f)." ANGI 36-2001, para 5.2.2.8 further explains, "AT days authorized will be combined with performed duty to ensure that the member receives at least 15 active service days (creditable towards a good retirement year)." Based on documentation from the applicant and analysis of the facts, there is no evidence of error or injustice on the part of the Air Force because there is no evidence the applicant performed the required fifteen (15) days of Annual Training (AT).

The complete advisory opinion is at Exhibit C.

APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION

The Board sent a copy of the advisory opinion to the applicant on 12 Jan 23 for comment (Exhibit D), and the applicant replied on 9 Feb 23. In his response, the applicant contended the OPR deviates from the applicant's request and makes a recommendation contingent on his performance of AT duty within the R/R year. However, he is not required to complete fifteen (15) days of AT duty in order to receive a satisfactory year. According to his PCARS Summary, the applicant highlighted five years where he did not complete fifteen (15) days of AT duty, and still received a satisfactory year. He contends if the OPR's interpretation was correct, then he would not have received a satisfactory year for the identified years. The applicant believes he performed the adequate amount of service to be credited with a satisfactory year.

R/R Year	AD	IDT	ECI	MBR	Retirement	Satisfactory Service (Year)
23 Jun 09 – 22 Jun 10	14	48	24	15	101	010000
23 Jun 10 – 22 Jun 11	9	50	18	15	92	010000
23 Jun 14 – 22 Jun 15	5	48	17	15	85	010000
23 Jun 17 – 22 Jun 18	5	48	0	15	69	010000
23 Jun 21 – 22 Jun 22	9	40	0	15	64	010000

The applicant's complete response is at Exhibit E.

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSION

1. The application was timely filed.
2. The applicant exhausted all available non-judicial relief before applying to the Board.
3. After reviewing all Exhibits, the Board concludes the applicant is the victim of an error or injustice. While the Board notes the recommendation of NGB/A1PP against correcting the record, the Board finds a preponderance of the evidence substantiates the applicant's contentions. The Board found the cancellation of a deployment and the rescheduled super UTA likely prohibited the applicant from completing sufficient points for a satisfactory year. Furthermore, the Board found evidence of other R/R years that the applicant did not complete fifteen (15) AT points, and was still credited with a satisfactory year. In this regard, the Board finds it plausible that the

applicant's transfer from the ANG to the AFR in November of 2019 may have hindered his ability to perform his AT. Given the myriad of issues that effected the scheduling of AT and IDTs, the fact that he was only 3 points shy of a satisfactory year, and his service history that shows he has obtained a satisfactory year for all other R/R years since 2009, the Board finds the preponderance of the evidence supports his claim. Therefore, the Board recommends correcting the applicant's records as indicated below.

RECOMMENDATION

The pertinent military records of the Department of the Air Force relating to APPLICANT be corrected to show he was credited with three (3) non-paid equivalent training points in Retirement/Retention (R/R) year 23 Jun 19 to 22 Jun 20; thus receiving an additional one (1) year of career satisfactory service.

CERTIFICATION

The following quorum of the Board, as defined in Air Force Instruction (AFI) 36-2603, *Air Force Board for Correction of Military Records (AFBCMR)*, paragraph 1.5, considered Docket Number BC-2022-03081 in Executive Session on 7 Mar 23:

[REDACTED] Panel Chair
[REDACTED] Panel Member
[REDACTED] Panel Member

All members voted to correct the record. The panel considered the following:

- Exhibit A: Application, DD Form 149, w/atchs, dated 4 Nov 22.
- Exhibit B: Documentary evidence, including relevant excerpts from official records.
- Exhibit C: Advisory Opinion, NGB/A1PP, w/atchs, dated 11 Jan 23.
- Exhibit D: Notification of Advisory, SAF/MRBC to Applicant, dated 12 Jan 23.
- Exhibit E: Applicant Response, w/atchs, 9 Feb 23

Taken together with all Exhibits, this document constitutes the true and complete Record of Proceedings, as required by AFI 36-2603, paragraph 4.11.9.

7/7/2025

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2022-03081