



Work-Product

## UNITED STATES AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

### RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NUMBER: BC-2023-00869

Work-Product

COUNSEL: NONE

HEARING REQUESTED: NOT INDICATED

### APPLICANT'S REQUEST

The place of entry into active duty (PLEAD) and home of record (HOR) on her DD Form 214, *Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty*, dated 31 Aug 19, be changed to Work-Product

### APPLICANT'S CONTENTIONS

She completed her oath of office while residing in Wor...

The applicant's complete submission is at Exhibit A.

### STATEMENT OF FACTS

The applicant is a retired Air Force major (O-4).

On 25 Jan 93, according to DD Form 4, *Enlistment/Reenlistment Document – Armed Forces of the United States*, the applicant enlisted in the Regular Air Force. Her place of enlistment and HOR are identified as Work-Product

On 11 Jul 07, AF IMT 766, *Extended Active Duty Order*, was published ordering the applicant to extended active duty as a commissioned officer, effective on or after 17 Aug 07. Her HOR is identified as Work...

On 17 Aug 07, according to DD Form 214, the applicant was discharged from active duty with a separation code and corresponding narrative reason for separation of KGM, *Commissioned or Warrant in Same Branch of Service*. Her PLEAD and HOR are identified as Work...

On 31 Aug 19, the applicant was released from active duty for the purpose of retirement and issued a DD Form 214 for the period 18 Aug 07 to 31 Aug 19. Her PLEAD and HOR are listed as Work...

For more information, see the excerpt of the applicant's record at Exhibit B.

### AIR FORCE EVALUATION

AFPC/DPMLT (Accessions) recommends denying the application. The Joint Travel Regulations (JTR) states, "The place recorded as the individual's home when reinstated, reappointed, or reenlisted remains the same as that recorded when commissioned, appointed, enlisted, or inducted or ordered into the tour of active duty unless there is a break in service of more than one full day.

AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2023-00869

Work-Product

Work-Product

Only if a break in service exceeds one full day may the member change the HOR.” Additionally, the JTR states, “Travel and transportation allowances are based on the officially corrected recording in those instances when, through a bona fide error, the place originally named at time of current entry into the Service was not in fact the actual home. Any such correction must be fully justified and the home, as corrected, must be the member’s actual home upon entering the Service, and not a different place selected for the member’s convenience.”

According to the DD Form 214 Personnel Services Delivery Guide, the place of entry is the place of acceptance in the current enlistment, commission, or appointment of an active service member when enlisted, commissioned, or appointed for immediate active duty. The place of entry changes only if there is a break in service exceeding one full day, in which case it is the place of entry in the new period of service.

Based on the documentation provided and analysis of the facts, there is no evidence of an error or injustice. The applicant’s DD Form 4 reflects she enlisted with her PLEAD and HOR recorded as Work... Accordingly, the DD Form 214, dated 17 Aug 07, issued for her initial enlistment period also reflects her PLEAD and HOR as Work... The applicant did not have a break in service; therefore, the PLEAD and HOR on her final DD Form 214, dated 31 Aug 19, cannot be changed.

The complete advisory opinion is at Exhibit C.

## **APPLICANT’S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION**

The Board sent a copy of the advisory opinion to the applicant on 30 Mar 23 for comment (Exhibit D) but has received no response.

## **FINDINGS AND CONCLUSION**

1. The application was not timely filed.
2. The applicant exhausted all available non-judicial relief before applying to the Board.
3. After reviewing all Exhibits, the Board concludes that the applicant is not the victim of an error or injustice. The Board concurs with the rationale and recommendation of AFPC/DPMLT and finds a preponderance of the evidence does not substantiate the applicant’s contentions. Therefore, the Board recommends against correcting the applicant’s records. The Board also notes the applicant did not file the application within three years of discovering the alleged error or injustice, as required by Section 1552 of Title 10, United States Code, and Department of the Air Force Instruction (DAFI) 36-2603, *Air Force Board for Correction of Military Records (AFBCMR)*. The Board does not find it in the interest of justice to waive the three-year filing requirement and finds the application untimely.
4. The applicant has not shown a personal appearance, with or without counsel, would materially add to the Board’s understanding of the issues involved.

## **RECOMMENDATION**

The Board recommends informing the applicant the application was not timely filed; it would not be in the interest of justice to excuse the delay; and the Board will reconsider the application only upon receipt of relevant evidence not already presented.

## CERTIFICATION

The following quorum of the Board, as defined in DAFI 36-2603, paragraph 2.1, considered Docket Number BC-2023-00869 in Executive Session on 14 Dec 24:

Work-Product	Panel Chair
Work-Product	Panel Member
Work-Product	Panel Member

All members voted against correcting the record. The panel considered the following:

- Exhibit A: Application, DD Form 149, w/atchs, dated 20 Mar 23.
- Exhibit B: Documentary Evidence, including relevant excerpts from official records.
- Exhibit C: Advisory, AFPC/DPMLT, w/atchs, dated 30 Mar 23.
- Exhibit D: Notification of Advisory, SAF/MRBC to Applicant, dated 30 Mar 23.

Taken together with all Exhibits, this document constitutes the true and complete Record of Proceedings, as required by DAFI 36-2603, paragraph 4.12.9.

6/21/2024

Work-Product