

Work...



Work-Product

UNITED STATES AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NUMBER: BC-2023-01473

Work-Product

COUNSEL: NONE

HEARING REQUESTED: NO

APPLICANT'S REQUEST

His home of record (HOR) and place of entry into active duty (PLEAD) be changed from Work-Product to Work-Pr...

APPLICANT'S CONTENTIONS

He signed up for the Delayed Entry Program in Work-... Work-Product while attending school. He moved back home to Work-Pr... and remained in the area 6 months prior to entry in the Air Force. He signed his enlistment contract and took his Oath of Enlistment in Work-Pr... His active duty service began in Work-Pr...

The applicant's complete submission is at Exhibit A.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

The applicant is a former Air Force airman first class (E-3).

On 1 Jul 92, the applicant was released from active duty and issued a DD Form 214, *Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty*, for the period 9 Feb 90 to 1 Jul 92. His HOR and PLEAD are identified as Work-... Work-Product.

For more information, see the excerpt of the applicant's record at Exhibit B.

AIR FORCE EVALUATION

AFPC/DPMLT (Officer Accessions) recommends denying the application. The JTR states "the place recorded as the individual's home when reinstated, reappointed, or reenlisted remains the same as that recorded when commissioned, appointed, enlisted, or inducted or ordered into the tour of active duty unless there is a break in service of more than one full day. Only if a break in service exceeds one full day may the member change the HOR." Additionally, the JTR states "Travel and transportation allowances are based on the officially corrected recording in those instances when, through a bona fide error, the place originally named at time of current entry into the service was not in fact the actual home. Any such correction must be fully justified and the home, as corrected, must be the member's actual home upon entering the service, and not a different place selected for the member's convenience." The applicant does not have a break in service and there does not seem to be a "bona fide error;" therefore, he cannot change his HOR.

AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2023-01473

Work-Product

Controlled by: SAF/MRB

Work-Product

Limited Dissemination Control: N/A

POC: SAF.MRBC.Workflow@us.af.mil

Work...

The authority governing the PLEAD represented in the DD Form 214, Block 7a is contained in the Total Force Personnel Services Delivery Guide (PSDG) – DD Form 214, Section E (Attachment 1). The rule states “The place of acceptance in current enlistment, commission, or appointment of an active Service member, or for an ARC member, when enlisted, commissioned, or appointed for immediate active duty (address on their active duty orders). The PLEAD changes only if there is a break in service exceeding one full day, in which case it is the place of entry into the new period of service.”

Based on the documentation provided by the applicant and analysis of the facts, there is no evidence of an error or injustice. The applicant failed to provide documentary evidence to support a HOR and PLEAD of Work-Pr...

The complete advisory opinion is at Exhibit C.

APPLICANT’S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION

The Board sent a copy of the advisory opinion to the applicant on 17 Nov 23 for comment (Exhibit D) but has received no response.

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSION

1, The application was not timely filed. The Board notes the applicant did not file the application within three years of discovering the alleged error or injustice, as required by Section 1552 of Title 10, United States Code, and Department of the Air Force Instruction (DAFI) 36-2603, *Air Force Board for Correction of Military Records (AFBCMR)*. While the applicant asserts a date of discovery within the three-year limit, the Board does not find the assertion supported by a preponderance of the evidence.

2. The applicant exhausted all available non-judicial relief before applying to the Board.

3. After reviewing all Exhibits, the Board concludes the applicant is not the victim of an error or injustice. The Board concurs with the rationale and recommendation of AFPC/DPMLT and finds a preponderance of the evidence does not substantiate the applicant’s contentions. The applicant failed to provide proof his HOR and PLEAD was recorded in error or that he had a break in service for more than one day allowing a change to the HOR and PLEAD. Therefore, the Board recommends against correcting the applicant’s records.

RECOMMENDATION

The Board recommends informing the applicant the evidence did not demonstrate material error or injustice, and the Board will reconsider the application only upon receipt of relevant evidence not already presented.

CERTIFICATION

The following quorum of the Board, as defined in DAFI 36-2603, paragraph 2.1, considered Docket Number BC-2023-01473 in Executive Session on 30 May 24:

Work-Product, Panel Chair
Work-Product Panel Member
Work-Product Panel Member

AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2023-01473

Work-Product

Work...

Work-Product

All members voted against correcting the record. The panel considered the following:

Exhibit A: Application, DD Form 149, w/atchs, dated 30 Apr 23.

Exhibit B: Documentary Evidence, including relevant excerpts from official records.

Exhibit C: Advisory, AFPC/DPMLT, w/atchs, dated 9 Nov 23.

Exhibit D: Notification of Advisory, SAF/MRBC to Applicant, dated 17 Nov 23.

Taken together with all Exhibits, this document constitutes the true and complete Record of Proceedings, as required by DAFI 36-2603, paragraph 4.12.9.

6/3/2025

X

Work-Pr...

Board Operations Manager, AFBCMR

Work-Product

AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2023-01473

Work-Product

3

Work...