

Work-Pr...

Work-Product

Work-Product

**UNITED STATES AIR FORCE  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS**

Work-Product

**RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

**DOCKET NUMBER:** BC-2023-00678

Work-Product

**COUNSEL:** Work-Product

**HEARING REQUESTED:** Work...

Work-Product

**APPLICANT'S REQUEST**

1. Correction to a Military Personnel Appropriation (MPA) tour order to reflect tour dates of 19 Apr 15 – 19 Jun 15.
2. To be issued a DD Form 214, *Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty*, to document combat service dates (16-19 May 15) in support of Operation Work-Product.

**APPLICANT'S CONTENTIONS**

He performed duty in a combat zone while on Temporary Duty (TDY) orders that were connected to a MPA order. Air Reserve Personnel Center (ARPC) is unable to create a DD Form 214 for him because the MPA order does not state contingency Operation Work-Product.

The applicant's complete submission is at Exhibit A.

**STATEMENT OF FACTS**

The applicant is a retired Air Force Reserve technical sergeant (E-6).

On 19 Apr 15, according to AF Form 938, *Request and Authorization for Active Duty Training/Active Duty Tour*, dated 11 Apr 15, provided by the applicant, the applicant was ordered to Military Personnel Appropriation for 43 days.

On 4 May 15, according to AROWS-R printout, provided by applicant, his AF Form 938, dated 11 Apr 15 was amended to extend his Military Personnel Appropriation from 19 Apr 15 – 31 May 15 (43 days) to 19 Apr 15 - 30 Jun 15 (73 days).

According to DD Form 1610, *Request and Authorization for TDY Travel of DoD Personnel*, dated 5 May 15, provided by the applicant, the applicant was issued TDY orders with a proceed on/about date of 11 May 15, for approximately 28 days for the purpose of Special Mission Travel. The itinerary included travel from Work-Product to Work-Product and back to Work-Product.

According to DFAS Form 702, provided by the applicant, the applicant received Hostile Fire pay from 16 – 19 May 15.

**AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2023-00678**

Work-Product

Work-Product

Work-P...

According to AF Form 910, *Enlisted Performance Report (AB thru TSgt)*, dated 11 Jul 15, the applicant “displayed high level of diplomatic skill working thru technical issues w/Afghan mx personnel on critical insp.”

According to Meritorious Service Medal, dated 19 Jul 18, the applicant deployed to Afghanistan in support of Operation **Work-Product** while assigned to the 109<sup>th</sup> Maintenance Squadron.

On 31 Aug 18, according to NGB Form 22, *Report of Separation and Record of Service*, the applicant was transferred to the Reserve Retired List.

For more information, see the excerpt of the applicant’s record at Exhibit B and the advisories at Exhibit C and Exhibit E.

### **APPLICABLE AUTHORITY/GUIDANCE**

Title 10, United States Code, Section 1168: Discharge or release from active duty: limitation; DoDI 1336.01, AFI 36-3202, DD Form 214 Total Force Personnel Services Delivery Guide. The DD Form 214 is prepared in accordance with the aforementioned publications and is used to record qualifying active-duty service.

Air Reserve Component (ARC) members on continuous active duty for 90 calendar days or more will be issued a DD Form 214. A DD Form 214 may also be issued to ARC members for completion of less than 90 continuous calendar days of active duty for the following reasons: (1) completion of an initial active duty for training (IADT) regardless of length of time; (2) ordered or called to active duty for support of DoD named Contingency Operation for 30 days or more; (3) or separating for cause (for ARC Airman).

### **AIR FORCE EVALUATION**

ARPC/DPTS recommends denying the application. After careful review of the applicant’s record, they could not find any source documentation to reflect the applicant was TDY in support of a DoD named contingency operation, per AFI 36-3202, Table A2.1.

The complete advisory opinion is at Exhibit C.

### **APPLICANT’S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION**

The Board sent a copy of the advisory opinion to the applicant on 5 May 23 for comment (Exhibit D), and the applicant replied on 8 May 23. In his response, the applicant contended he has provided orders as well as flight logs and statements from his commander documenting his service. In addition, he has provided the final amended order for the MPA duty he was on. He cannot provide a travel voucher since he is retired and no longer has access to the system.

The applicant’s complete response is at Exhibit E.

### **ADDITIONAL AIR FORCE EVALUATION**

ARPC/DPTS recommends denying the application. After careful review of the applicant’s record, they were unable to make the requested update due to lack of sufficient supporting documentation.

The active-duty orders provided by the applicant does not specify any type of contingency operation, they are MPA orders, and they were modified from 43 days to 73 days. Per AFI 36-3202, dated 22 Nov 05, Table 2, members are required to complete 90 continuous calendar days or more active duty.

### APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF ADDITIONAL AIR FORCE EVALUATION

The Board sent a copy of the advisory opinion to the applicant on 10 Oct 23 for comment (Exhibit D), but has received no response.

### FINDINGS AND CONCLUSION

1. The application was not timely filed. The Board notes the applicant did not file the application within three years of discovering the alleged error or injustice, as required by Section 1552 of Title 10, United States Code, and Department of the Air Force Instruction 36-2603, *Air Force Board for Correction of Military Records (AFBCMR)*. The Board does not find it in the interest of justice to waive the three-year filing requirement.
2. The applicant exhausted all available non-judicial relief before applying to the Board.
3. After reviewing all Exhibits, the Board concludes the applicant is not the victim of an error or injustice. The Board concurs with the rationale and recommendation of ARPC/DPTS and finds a preponderance of the evidence does not substantiate the applicant's contentions. Therefore, the Board recommends against correcting the applicant's records.

### RECOMMENDATION

The Board recommends informing the applicant the application was not timely filed; it would not be in the interest of justice to excuse the delay; and the Board will reconsider the application only upon receipt of relevant evidence not already presented.

### CERTIFICATION

The following quorum of the Board, as defined in Department of the Air Force Instruction (DAFI) 36-2603, *Air Force Board for Correction of Military Records (AFBCMR)*, paragraph 2.1, considered Docket Number BC-2023-00678 in Executive Session on 5 Dec 23:

Work-Product, Panel Chair  
 Work-Product, Panel Member  
 Work-Product, Panel Member

All members voted against correcting the record. The panel considered the following:

- Exhibit A: Application, DD Form 149, w/atchs, dated 22 Feb 23.
- Exhibit B: Documentary evidence, including relevant excerpts from official records.
- Exhibit C: Advisory Opinion, ARPC/DPTS, dated 27 Apr 23.
- Exhibit D: Notification of Advisory, SAF/MRBC to Applicant, dated 5 May 23.
- Exhibit E: Applicant's Response to Advisory, dated 8 May 23.
- Exhibit F: Additional Advisory Opinion, ARPC/DPTS, dated 10 Oct 23

Work-...

*Work-Product*

Exhibit G: Notification of Additional Advisory, SAF/MRBC to Applicant, dated 10 Oct 23.  
Taken together with all Exhibits, this document constitutes the true and complete Record of Proceedings, as required by DAFI 36-2603, paragraph 4.12.9.

9/1/2025

X

*Work-Product*

Board Operations Manager, AFBCMR

Signed by:

*Work-Product*

**AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2023-00678**

*Work-Product*