



Work-Product

UNITED STATES AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IN THE MATTER OF:

Work-Product

DOCKET NUMBER: BC-2024-00198

COUNSEL: Work-Product

HEARING REQUESTED: YES

APPLICANT'S REQUEST

His enlistment contract length be changed from four (4) years to six (6) years.

APPLICANT'S CONTENTIONS

On 5 Jan 24, he enlisted in the Vermont Air National Guard (VANG) committing to a four year contract. He has come to realize that he is fully committed to serving as a part-time member of the ANG for the next six years, instead of the originally agreed upon four year commitment. In addition to his unwavering dedication, a six year enlistment will grant him access to educational benefits not available with a four year contract. He humbly requests his enlistment contract be amended to six years

The applicant's complete submission is at Exhibit A.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

The applicant is an ANG airman first class (E-3).

On , 5 Jan 24 according to DD Form 4, *Enlistment/Reenlistment Document – Armed Forces of the United States*, the applicant enlisted in the VANG for a period of four years.

For more information, see the excerpt of the applicant's record at Exhibit B and the advisory at Exhibit C.

APPLICABLE AUTHORITY/GUIDANCE

Air Force Instruction 36-2606, *Reenlistment and Extension of Enlistment in the United States Air Force*, 20 Sep 19:

12.7. Erroneous Enlistment/Reenlistment Documents Returned for Correction. An erroneous enlistment/reenlistment document is administrative, involving typographical errors, strikeouts, misspellings, erroneous computation of required retainability, etc.

12.7.3.2. Re-accomplish the DD Form 4 and AF Form 901 if there is no ARMS copy or the Airman does not have a copy.

13.5.2. Voluntary Extension of Enlistment. 13.5.2.4. Extension will not be granted in order to qualify for the ANG Incentive Program.

AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2024-00198

Work-Product

Work-Product

Department of Defense Instruction (DoDI) 1322.17, *Montgomery GI Bill – Selected Reserve (MGIB-SR)*, dated 15 Jan 15, Enclosure 3, *Procedures*, 1. *Basic Entitlement*. a. A Service member of the Selected Reserve is entitled to MGIB-SR basic educational assistance in accordance with section 16132 of Reference (c) if he or she: (1) Enlists, reenlists, or extends an enlistment in an RC for service in the Selected Reserve on or after July 1, 1985, for a period of not less than 6 years or, in the case of an officer, agrees to serve in the Selected Reserve for 6 years besides any other period of obligated service in the Selected Reserve to which the officer may be subject

AIR FORCE EVALUATION

NGB/A1PP recommends denying the request. Based on the documentation provided by the applicant and analysis of the facts, there is no evidence of an error or injustice. NGB/A1PP and NGB/A1Y contacted the VANG and verified the process and procedures taken in accomplishment of the applicant's DD Form 4 were accurately accomplished and compliant with Headquarters Air Force policy. The applicant voluntarily entered a contract for four years. According to AFI 36-2606, paragraph 12.7, enlistment or reenlistment documents can be re-accomplished if there are errors within the form. In the applicant's case, there is no evidence of discrepancies within the contract. Paragraph 12.7.3.2 states re-accomplishment of the DD Form 4 can occur if there is no copy of it in the member's records or if the member does not have a copy. In the member's case, the DD Form 4 has been verified in the member's military records. Finally, per paragraph 13.5.2.4, an extension may not be granted to qualify for the ANG Incentive Program.

The complete advisory opinion is at Exhibit C.

APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION

The Board sent a copy of the advisory opinion to the applicant on 26 Mar 24 for comment (Exhibit D), but has received no response.

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSION

1. The application was timely filed.
2. The applicant exhausted all available non-judicial relief before applying to the Board.
3. After reviewing all Exhibits, the Board concludes the applicant is not the victim of an error or injustice. The Board concurs with the rationale and recommendation of NGB/A1PP and finds a preponderance of the evidence does not substantiate the applicant's contentions. While the Board applauds the applicant's commitment to serve, the Board finds no evidence of a discrepancy within the initial contract and, in accordance with the governing directive, the Board determines there is no cause to re-accomplish the enlistment contract. Therefore, the Board recommends against correcting the applicant's records.
4. The applicant has not shown a personal appearance, with or without counsel, would materially add to the Board's understanding of the issues involved.

RECOMMENDATION

The Board recommends informing the applicant the evidence did not demonstrate material error or injustice, and the Board will reconsider the application only upon receipt of relevant evidence not already presented.

CERTIFICATION

The following quorum of the Board, as defined in Department of the Air Force Instruction (DAFI) 36-2603, *Air Force Board for Correction of Military Records (AFBCMR)*, paragraph 2.1, considered Docket Number BC-2024-00198 in Executive Session on 24 Sep 24:

Work-Product Panel Chair
Work-Product Panel Member
Work-Product Panel Member

All members voted against correcting the record. The panel considered the following:

- Exhibit A: Application, DD Form 149, w/atch, dated 9 Jan 24.
- Exhibit B: Documentary evidence, including relevant excerpts from official records.
- Exhibit C: Advisory Opinion, NGB/A1PP, w/atchs, dated 12 Mar 24.
- Exhibit D: Notification of Advisory, SAF/MRBC to Applicant, dated 26 Mar 24.

Taken together with all Exhibits, this document constitutes the true and complete Record of Proceedings, as required by DAFI 36-2603, paragraph 4.12.9.

1/3/2025

X Work-Product
Work-Product
Board Operations Manager, AFBCMR
Signed by: Work-Product