



Work-Product

UNITED STATES AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

Work-Product

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NUMBER: BC-2024-00399

Work-Product

COUNSEL: NONE

HEARING REQUESTED: NO

APPLICANT'S REQUEST

His Place of Entry into Active Duty (PLEAD) be changed from **Work-Product** to Joint Base **Work-Product** **duct**

APPLICANT'S CONTENTIONS

His AF Form 133, *Oath of Office (Military Personnel)*, states he was commissioned in the Air Force Reserve (AFR) on 7 June 2016 and his AF Form 778, *Reserve Appointment Order*, supports this date. The AF IMT 766, *Extended Active Duty Order*, shows his effective date of duty was on 10 June 2016. He provided a copy of his apartment lease, dated 2 June 2016, showing he was in **Work-Product** when he entered active duty.

The applicant's complete submission is at Exhibit A.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

The applicant is a former Air Force major (O-4).

On 12 April 2012, according to ARPC IMT 92, *Appointment Order*, the applicant was appointed as a Reserve of the Air Force officer to attend **Work-Pr...** University - **Work-Product** while under the Health Professions Scholarship Program (HPSP).

On 2 June 2016, the applicant entered a residential lease contract (provided by the applicant) in **Work-Product** located at his first active duty permanent duty station.

On 6 June 2016, the applicant graduated from **Work-Pr...** University. On this same date, according to AF Form 778, the applicant was appointed as a Reserve of the Air Force to the grade of captain. His address reflected on the form shows **Work-Product**.

According to AF IMT 766, the applicant's effective date of duty is on or after 10 June 2016. The address from which he departed for active duty reflects **Work-Product**.

On 10 June 2016, according to DD Form 214, *Certificate of Uniformed Service*, the applicant entered active duty. On 8 June 2024, the applicant was honorably discharged in the grade of major. He served 7 years, 11 months and 29 days total active service and was credited with 8 days of Foreign Service. His narrative reason for separation reflects "Completion of Required Active Service." The applicant's PLEAD reflects **Work-Product**.

AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2024-00399

Work-Product

Controlled by: SAF/MRB

CUI Categories: **Work-Product**

Limited Dissemination Control: N/A

POC: SAF.MRBC.Workflow@us.af.mil

For more information, see the excerpt of the applicant's record at Exhibit B.

APPLICABLE AUTHORITY/GUIDANCE

The Joint Travel Regulations (JTR), Appendix A, defines the Place of Entry into Active Duty (PLEAD) as the place of acceptance in current enlistment, commission, or appointment of an active service member, or of a Reserve Component (RC) member when enlisted, commissioned, or appointed for immediate active duty. In the case of an RC member who is not enlisted, commissioned, or appointed for immediate active duty, the place to which an order to active duty is addressed.

Effective 1 January 1983: In the case of a non-prior service midshipman or cadet at a Service academy or a civilian college or university, the place at which the member attains a military status or at which the member enters the Service. *NOTE: Generally, this is the academic institution and not the member's HOR (60 Comp. Gen. 142 (1980)).*

NOTE: The PLEAD changes only if there is a break in service exceeding one full day, in which case it is the place of entry into the new period of service.

AIR FORCE EVALUATION

AFPC/DP2LT recommends denying the application. Based on the documentation provided by the applicant and analysis of the facts, there is no evidence of an error or injustice. Recommend applicant's place from which called/ordered to active duty remain as [Work-Product] as recorded on commissioning documents when he entered onto active duty on 10 June 2016.

On 9 June 2016, AFPC Medical Officer Accession Branch processed his AF IMT 766, reflecting the PLEAD address as [Work-Product]. On 10 June 2016, the applicant entered onto extended active duty and the Air Force Personnel Center (AFPC) was not informed by the applicant to correct the PLEAD address to reflect [Work-Product]. In June 2016, the applicant traveled from [Work-Product] and reported to his first permanent duty station at Joint Base [Work-Product] as recorded on his DD Form 1351-2, *Travel Voucher*. The applicant also recorded his current address as [Work-Product] at the top of his travel voucher. The applicants Extended Active-Duty Order reflects the from which individual will depart for active-duty address as [Work-Product] (block 1) and the unit to which assigned as [Work-Product] (block 22), [Work-Product] his first permanent duty station upon accessing to active duty.

In accordance with the Joint Federal Travel Regulation, Volume I, Appendix A, the place from which called/ordered to active duty (PLEAD) is the place of acceptance in current commission or appointment of an active service member, the place at which the member attains a military status. The PLEAD changes only if there is a break in service exceeding one full day, in which case it is the place of entry into the new period of service.

The complete advisory opinion is at Exhibit C.

APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION

The Board sent a copy of the advisory opinion to the applicant on 19 August 2024 for comment (Exhibit D), but has received no response.

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSION

1. The application was timely filed.
2. The applicant exhausted all available non-judicial relief before applying to the Board.
3. After reviewing all Exhibits, the Board concludes the applicant is not the victim of an error or injustice. The Board concurs with the rationale and recommendation of AFPC/DPMN and finds a preponderance of the evidence does not substantiate the applicant's contentions. The applicant's PLEAD was established in accordance with the JTR. Therefore, the Board recommends against correcting the applicant's records.
4. The applicant has not shown a personal appearance, with or without counsel, would materially add to the Board's understanding of the issues involved.

RECOMMENDATION

The Board recommends informing the applicant the evidence did not demonstrate material error or injustice, and the Board will reconsider the application only upon receipt of relevant evidence not already presented.

CERTIFICATION

The following quorum of the Board, as defined in Department of the Air Force Instruction (DAFI) 36-2603, *Air Force Board for Correction of Military Records (AFBCMR)*, paragraph 2.1, considered Docket Number BC-2024-00399 in Executive Session on 8 October 2024:

Work-Product Panel Chair
Work-Product Panel Member
Work-Product Panel Member

All members voted against correcting the record. The panel considered the following:

- Exhibit A: Application, DD Form 149, w/atchs, dated 31 January 2024.
- Exhibit B: Documentary Evidence, including relevant excerpts from official records.
- Exhibit C: Advisory, AFPC/DPMN, w/atchs, dated 9 August 2024.
- Exhibit D: Notification of Advisory, SAF/MRBC to Applicant, dated 19 August 2024.

Taken together with all Exhibits, this document constitutes the true and complete Record of Proceedings, as required by DAFI 36-2603, paragraph 4.12.9.

1/16/2025

X

Work-P...

Work-Product

Board Operations Manager, AFBCMR

Signed by: Work-Product

AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2024-00399

Work-Product