

Work-Product

UNITED STATES AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NUMBER: BC-2024-02215

Work-Product

HEARING REQUESTED: NO

COUNSEL: NONE

APPLICANT'S REQUEST

His Fiscal Year (FY) 2019 Aviation Bonus (AvB) extension with an additional agreement length of 24 additional months at the annual rate of \$35,000 be approved.

APPLICANT'S CONTENTIONS

He was a qualified aviator eligible for a \$35,000 AvB bonus for the period of 4 Nov 19 through 4 Nov 24. The bonus agreement covers a span of five years, with five equal payments of \$35K paid out each November until the five-year term is complete. As of Jun 24, he has served honorably for more than 4.5 of the five years, fulfilling the obligations defined in the contract with the Air Force Reserve (AFR), who also believed he had entered a valid contract. The most recent installment is past due since Nov 23 with no plan in place to pay him in Nov 24. Despite having a contract with accompanying orders, the Defense Finance and Accounting Service (DFAS) has not recognized the remaining two payments owed. He wishes to receive the remaining two installments of his bonus of \$35K each.

The applicant's complete submission is at Exhibit A.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

The applicant is an AFR lieutenant colonel (O-5).

According to the documents provided by the applicant and Air Reserve Personnel Center (ARPC)/DPAT:

On 4 Nov 19, the applicant signed an "Aviation Bonus (AvB) Program Agreement" for FY19 and requested a 36-month AvB at the annual rate of \$35,000. On 21 Nov 19, the AvB agreement was approved by ARPC with a start date of 4 Nov 19, but shows an amended agreement length of 60 months and an end date of Nov 24. The amended AvB notes that "the Exception to Policy (ETP) approved by A3 to extend current contract to match new orders."

On 21 Oct 19, Special Order *Work-Product* was published and ordered the applicant to extended active duty in accordance with 10 USC 12310 effective 4 Nov 19 and gave him a date of separation (DOS) of 30 Nov 23.

On 18 Dec 19, Special Order *Work-Product* was published and reassigned the applicant to continue serving on extended active duty with a new assignment date of 27 Dec 19 and a DOS of 30 Nov 23.

AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2024-02215

Work-Product

Work-Product

On 26 Sep 22, AFRC/A3 issued a "Blanket Exception to Policy for AvB Extension to Match AGR Order Extension" memorandum. The memorandum served as a blanket ETP approval for any AGR personnel requesting to extend their current three- or four-year AvB agreement by a minimum of 12 months beyond the current AvB agreement expiration date. It further states members must have AGR tour extension orders in hand at the time of the request.

On 28 Dec 22, Special Order *Work-Product* was amended to extend the applicant's active duty assignment with a DOS of 30 Nov 24.

According to a printout from the Military Personnel Data System (MilPDS), the Point Credit Accounting and Reporting System (PCARS) reflects the applicant was credited with active duty service beginning on Retention and Retirement (R/R) Year 28 May 19 and has remained on active duty through his most recent R/R Year ending on 27 May 24.

For more information, see the excerpt of the applicant's record at Exhibit B and the advisory at Exhibit C.

AIR FORCE EVALUATION

ARPC/DPAT, recommends granting the application. The applicant requests he be awarded payment of \$35K for his FY23 AvB agreement for which he was supposed to receive on 4 Nov 23. ARPC/DPATI acknowledges the injustice on behalf of the applicant, who, through his unwavering dedication and service, were eligible for payment under the AvB program. However, due to Air Force errors he did not receive the AvB payments.

The AvB program, designed to retain and recruit fully qualified and experienced aviators for time served in the AFR, was not able to honor several aviators as intended. In Sep 23, the Defense Finance and Accounting Service (DFAS) notified ARPC/DPATI they could not make payments under flawed AvB contract extensions that incorporated the terms of an existing contract into a contract for future service. In response, DPATI conducted an internal audit of records for the AFR AvB program. As a result of this audit, DPATI identified multiple aviators who have been adversely affected. These individuals, despite their belief in the validity of their contracts, have experienced denial of payment.

ARPC's audit identified three categories of errors relating to the AvB program, for which DFAS refuses to make AvB payments. These categories are as follows:

1. The aviator attempted to extend/renegotiate an AvB but signed a new contract after the prior contract had expired.

2. The aviator requested an AvB extension under the authority of an ETP from AFRC/A3.

3. ARPC committed administrative errors when reviewing and approving AvB contracts.

Based on ARPC's internal audit and analysis of the facts, there is evidence an error or injustice has occurred. These highly skilled aviators successfully and faithfully executed their assigned duties. They remained qualified for AvB contract extensions, but DFAS denied payment due to ARPC's errors in administering the AvB contract extension process. Granting the AvB payment will ensure both the applicant and the AFR receive what was originally intended: a well-deserved bonus paid to these critically needed aviators for a term of service to which both parties believed they had agreed.

The complete advisory opinion is at Exhibit C.

AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2024-02215 Work-Product

2

APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION

The Board sent a copy of the advisory opinion to the applicant on 4 Sep 24 for comment (Exhibit D), but has received no response.

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSION

1. The application was timely filed.

2. The applicant exhausted all available non-judicial relief before applying to the Board.

3. After reviewing all Exhibits, the Board concludes the applicant is the victim of an error or injustice. The Board concurs with the rationale and recommendation of ARPC/DPAT and finds a preponderance of the evidence substantiates the applicant's contentions. The Board notes in good faith the applicant extended his AGR tour and in exchange for his extended service the Air Force agreed and informed the applicant he would receive a bonus. However, due to procedural and administrative errors with the execution of the AvB program, the applicant has not received the bonus for which he has earned. Furthermore, the Board notes the purpose of the AvB program is to retain our talented and skilled Airmen and denying the applicant a bonus he earned would not only be a detriment to the applicant, but the Air Force as well. Therefore, the Board recommends correcting the applicant's records as indicated below.

RECOMMENDATION

The pertinent military records of the Department of the Air Force relating to APPLICANT be corrected to show:

a) The applicant's Fiscal Year (FY) 2019 Aviation Bonus (AvB) be amended to reflect an agreement length of 60 months with effective dates 4 November 2019 through 3 November 2024 and a competent authority approved the agreement at the annual rate of \$35,000.

b) He receives all associated payments not already received based on the amended Aviation Bonus (AvB) agreement.

CERTIFICATION

The following quorum of the Board, as defined in Department of the Air Force Instruction (DAFI) 36-2603, *Air Force Board for Correction of Military Records (AFBCMR)*, paragraph 2.1, considered Docket Number BC-2024-02215 in Executive Session on 8 Oct 24:



All members voted to correct the record. The panel considered the following:

Exhibit A: Application, DD Form 149, w/atchs, dated 19 Jun 24. Exhibit B: Documentary evidence, including relevant excerpts from official records. Exhibit C: Advisory opinion, ARPC/DPAT, w/atchs, dated 9 Aug 24. Exhibit D: Notification of advisory, SAF/MRBC to applicant, dated 4 Sep 24.

AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2024-02215 Work-Product

Taken together with all Exhibits, this document constitutes the true and complete Record of Proceedings, as required by DAFI 36-2603, paragraph 4.12.9.

3/17/2025



AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2024-02215 Work-Product