

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

**UNITED STATES AIR FORCE  
BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS**

---

**RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS**

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

**DOCKET NUMBER:** BC-2024-02402

**COUNSEL:** [REDACTED]

**HEARING REQUESTED:** [REDACTED]

---

**APPLICANT'S REQUEST**

To have his Air Force Specialty Code (AFSC) prefix data reflected in Block 12, *Specialty*, of his DD Form 214, *Certificate of Uniformed Service* and to remove 11F1 and 92T0.

**APPLICANT'S CONTENTIONS**

Q11M3K (Flight Examiner Mobility Pilot) and K11M3K (Instructor Mobility Pilot) are specialties he earned and performed as his primary duties while on active service. Additionally, as a pilot, all potential future employers highly value the flight examiner and instructor specialties, in addition to just being a pilot, which increases his chances to secure employment. As a veteran, a majority of potential employers ask for a copy of his DD Form 214. These corrections were requested before he separated and not made correctly.

The applicant's complete submission is at Exhibit A.

**STATEMENT OF FACTS**

The applicant is a former Air Force major (O-4).

On 3 July 24, according to the DD Form 214, the applicant was separated from the Air Force. He was credited with 11 years, 8 months and 2 days of total active service. He was also credited with 11M3, - Mobility Pilot, 11F1 - Fighter Pilot and 92T0 - Pilot Trainee in Block 12, *Specialty*.

According to the applicant's Duty History Report, dated 1 Aug 24, he was awarded the following DAFSCs:

- 92T0 – Duty Title: Student Pilot Training, effective 2 Nov 12.
- 11F1F – Duty Title: USAF F-15 Initial Qualification Student, effective 16 Feb 15.
- K11M3K – Duty Title: Chief Innovation, IAC, effective 14 Apr 21 – 27 Nov 22.
- Q11M3K – Duty Title: Assistant Chief of Development/EAC, C-17A, effective 28 Nov 22 – 25 Sept 23.

According to the applicant's Single Unit Retrieval Format, dated 1 Aug 24, his primary AFSC was documented as Q11M3K and his secondary AFSC was K11M3K.

For more information, see the excerpt of the applicant's record at Exhibit B and the advisory opinions at Exhibit C.



  


## AIR FORCE EVALUATION

AFPC/DPMSSR (Military Retirements and Separations) recommends denying the applicant's request to have his AFSC prefix data reflected in Block 12 of his DD Form 214. In accordance with the Total Force DD Form 214 Personnel Services Delivery Guide (TF PSD Guide) *DD Form 214, Certificate of Uniformed Service*, "List the Primary AF Specialty Code or Duty AF Specialty Code the service member is currently serving with full years and months (at least 12 or more months). Do not list separate entries based on AFSC prefix and/or suffix. Exception, only the officer "C" (Commander) prefix with the functional AFSC may be identified when it is held. In each AFSC, show the highest qualification level (officers) in which the service member performed duties. For each AFSC give the official AFSC title (not duty title) in which the service member performed duty in full years and months of service."

AFPC/DPPDW (Classifications) recommends denying the applicant's request to include the "K" and "Q" prefix to 11M3. On 2 Jul 24, the applicant separated from the Air Force and was issued a DD Form 214. The DD Form 214, Block 12, reflects the applicant's primary specialty title, years and months in specialty as: "11M3, Mobility Pilot, 8 years; 11F1, Fighter Pilot, 1 year and 4 months; 92T0, Pilot Trainee, 2 years and 4 months.

In accordance with the TF PSD Guide, specific to Block 12 for the DD Form 214, "List the Primary AF Specialty Code or Duty AF Specialty Code the service member is currently serving with full years and months (at least 12 or more months). Do not list separate entries based on AFSC prefix and/or suffix. Exception, only the officer "C" (Commander) prefix with the functional AFSC may be identified when it is held. In each AFSC, show the highest qualification level (officers) in which the service member performed duties. For each AFSC give the official AFSC title (not duty title) in which the service member performed duty in full years and months of service." Therefore, the K and Q prefix will not be listed within Block 12.

Additionally, referencing the AFPC/DPMOE (Rated Support) Advisory, there was not an error or injustice with listing the 11F1 or 92T0 specialties within Block 12.

AFPC/DPMOE (Rated Support) recommends denying the applicant's request to have his AFSC prefix data reflected in Block 12 of his DD Form 214 and removal of the 92T0 Reporting Identifier (RI). After a thorough review of Section E of the DD Form 214 TF PSD Guide, it states "List the Primary AF Specialty Code or Duty AF Specialty Code the service member is currently serving with full years and months (at least 12 or more months). Do not list separate entries based on AFSC prefix and/or suffix. Exception, only the officer "C" (Commander) prefix with the functional AFSC may be identified when it is held. In each AFSC, show the highest qualification level (officers) in which the service member performed duties. For each AFSC give the official AFSC title (not duty title) in which the service member performed duty in full years and months of service." The official AFSC title is found within the Officer Air Force Classification Directory. Therefore, the K and Q prefix will not be listed within Block 12.

Additionally, in accordance with Department of the Air Force Manual (DAFMAN) 36-2100, *Military Utilization and Classification*, paragraph 2.2.1, Classifying Newly Accessed Commissioned Line Officers: "Total Force officer training will select and designate candidates for flying using the following RIs: 92T0 (Pilot trainee); 92T1 (Navigator or Combat Systems Officer trainee); 92T2 (Air Battle Manager trainee); and 92T3 (Remotely Piloted Aircraft Pilot trainee). When these Regular Air Force individuals complete training, the Force Support Squadron (FSS)

[REDACTED]

will award the appropriate entry-level AFSC.” Upon completion of training, the FSS properly awarded the applicant the 11F1 AFSC.

Furthermore, Table 2.8 outlines when to award officer rated AFSC’s. Rule 1 states that “if newly commissioned and selected for Pilot training or is undergoing Basic Pilot training, then the officer is qualified for award of 92T0.” According to the aforementioned guidance, there was not an error or injustice with listing the 11F1 or 92T0 specialties within Block 12.

The complete advisory opinions are at Exhibit C.

### **APPLICANT’S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION**

The Board sent a copy of the advisory opinion to the applicant on 5 Nov 24 for comment (Exhibit D) but has received no response.

### **FINDINGS AND CONCLUSION**

1. The application was timely filed.
2. The applicant exhausted all other available administrative remedies before applying to the Board.
3. After reviewing all Exhibits, the Board concludes the applicant is not the victim of an error or injustice. The Board concurs with the rationale and recommendations of AFPC/DPMSSR, AFPC/DPPDW, and AFPC/DPMOE and finds a preponderance of the evidence does not substantiate the applicant’s contentions. In view of the foregoing and in the absence of persuasive evidence to the contrary, the Board notes the applicant did not provide any evidence nor was there any evidence in his record that confirms an error or injustice in the recording of his AFSCs exist. Therefore, the Board recommends against correcting the applicant’s records.

### **RECOMMENDATION**

The Board recommends informing the applicant the evidence did not demonstrate material error or injustice, and the Board will reconsider the application only upon receipt of relevant evidence not already presented.

### **CERTIFICATION**

The following quorum of the Board, as defined in Department of the Air Force Instruction (DAFI) 36-2603, *Air Force Board for Correction of Military Records (AFBCMR)*, paragraph 2.1, considered Docket Number BC-2024-02402 in Executive Session on 27 Mar 25:

[REDACTED], Panel Chair  
[REDACTED], Panel Member  
[REDACTED], Panel Member

All members voted against correcting the record. The panel considered the following:

- Exhibit A: Application, DD Form 149, w/atchs, dated 8 Jul 24.
- Exhibit B: Documentary evidence, including relevant excerpts from official records.

[Redacted]

- Exhibit C: Advisory Opinion, AFPC/DPMSSR, w/atchs, dated 5 Aug 24.  
Advisory Opinion, AFPC/DPPDW, dated 30 Oct 24.  
Advisory Opinion, AFPC/DPMOE, w/atchs, dated 4 Nov 24.
- Exhibit D: Notification of Advisory, SAF/MRBC to Applicant, dated 5 Nov 24.

Taken together with all Exhibits, this document constitutes the true and complete Record of Proceedings, as required by DAFI 36-2603, paragraph 4.12.9.

10/23/2025

X [Redacted]

---

[Redacted]

Associate Director, AFBCMR  
Signed by: USAF

[Redacted]

[Redacted]