



Work-Product

## UNITED STATES AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

---

---

### RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NUMBER: BC-2024-02456

Work-Product

COUNSEL: NONE

HEARING REQUESTED: NO

---

---

### APPLICANT'S REQUEST

He be commissioned in the Air Force Reserve (AFR) as a captain (O-3).

### APPLICANT'S CONTENTIONS

He came from being commissioned as an ordinance officer in the Army to the Nurse Corp in the Air Force and should be credited time in grade/service or constructive credit from his professional experience. He has over eight years' experience as a nurse, a Master of Science in Nursing (MSN), and an abundance of years as a first lieutenant in the Army National Guard.

The applicant's complete submission is at Exhibit A.

### STATEMENT OF FACTS

The applicant is a currently serving AFR first lieutenant (O-2).

Dated 10 Jan 24, Special Orders 15 AR, provided by the applicant, indicates he was honorably discharged from the Army National Guard, effective 14 Dec 23 in the grade of first lieutenant.

Dated 15 Aug 24, the applicant's Personal Data Summary indicates his grade as a first lieutenant with a date of rank as 7 Mar 18, in the Air Force Specialty Code (AFSC) 46N3, Clinical Nurse.

For more information, see the excerpt of the applicant's record at Exhibit B and the advisory at Exhibit C.

### AIR FORCE EVALUATION

ARPC/DPAR recommends denying the applicant's request to be directly commissioned into the AFR as a captain (O-3) finding no error or injustice with the applicant's grade calculation. Members who are inter-service transfers (IST) are appointed to the grade held in the parent service and are ineligible to receive further credit per AFMAN 36-2032, *Military Recruiting and Accessions*, Table 5.10, Rule 8, which states the date of rank is the date of rank held in the parent

service adjusted for any periods of inactive status and the total federal commissioned service date is the same as that held in the parent service. The total years' service date and date of rank cannot place the officer beyond mandatory phase points for promotion to the next higher reserve grade. Also noted in Table 5.7, commissioned service on active duty or in an active status in any of the uniformed services, in the corps or professional specialty in which being appointed, one year for each year served is credited on appointment or designation as a Biomedical Sciences Corps, Medical Service Corps, or Nurse Corps Officer with no additional credit authorized for a master's level degree in the same or related field.

The complete advisory opinion is at Exhibit C.

### **APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION**

The Board sent a copy of the advisory opinion to the applicant on 19 Feb 25 for comment (Exhibit D) but has received no response.

### **FINDINGS AND CONCLUSION**

1. The application was timely filed.
2. The applicant exhausted all other available administrative remedies before applying to the Board.
3. After reviewing all Exhibits, the Board concludes the applicant is not the victim of an error or injustice. The Board concurs with the rationale and recommendation of ARPC/DPAR and finds a preponderance of the evidence does not substantiate the applicant's contentions. Specifically, the Board finds the applicant is not eligible for service credit for his professional degrees or time spent as a medical professional because he was previously commissioned in the Army National Guard and is considered an inter-service transfer. The Board finds no evidence of an injustice or error in the applicant's record to warrant granting relief. Therefore, the Board recommends against correcting the applicant's records.

### **RECOMMENDATION**

The Board recommends informing the applicant the evidence did not demonstrate material error or injustice, and the Board will reconsider the application only upon receipt of relevant evidence not already presented.

### **CERTIFICATION**

Work-Product

The following quorum of the Board, as defined in Department of the Air Force Instruction (DAFI) 36-2603, *Air Force Board for Correction of Military Records (AFBCMR)*, paragraph 2.1, considered Docket Number BC-2024-02456 in Executive Session on 29 May 25:

Work-Product Panel Chair  
Work-Product Panel Member  
Work-Product Panel Member

All members voted against correcting the record. The panel considered the following:

- Exhibit A: Application, DD Form 149, w/atchs, dated 15 Jul 24.
- Exhibit B: Documentary evidence, including relevant excerpts from official records.
- Exhibit C: Advisory Opinion, ARPC/DPAR, dated 10 Jan 25.
- Exhibit D: Notification of Advisory, SAF/MRBC to Applicant, dated 19 Feb 25.

Taken together with all Exhibits, this document constitutes the true and complete Record of Proceedings, as required by DAFI 36-2603, paragraph 4.12.9.

9/28/2025

Work-Product

Work-Product

GS-15, DAF

Associate Director, AFBCMR

Signed by: USAF

**AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2024-02456**

Work-Product

Controlled by: SAE/MRB  
CUI Categories: Work-Product  
Limited Dissemination Control: N/A  
POC: [SAF.MRBC.Workflow@us.af.mil](mailto:SAF.MRBC.Workflow@us.af.mil)