



Attorney-Client

UNITED STATES AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NUMBER: BC-2024-02563

Attorney-Client

COUNSEL: NONE

HEARING REQUESTED: NO

APPLICANT'S REQUEST

He be awarded Air Force Specialty Code (AFSC) 1N3X1 (Crypto Language Analyst).

APPLICANT'S CONTENTIONS

He was wrongfully disqualified based on someone's intuition causing him to lose a guaranteed training slot into the 1N3X1 AFSC as part of his enlistment contract; therefore, the Air Force's commitment to the agreement should be honored. His disqualification was based on an error in the adjudication process where the adjudicator believed he would not be able to obtain a Top-Secret clearance due to not knowing his mother-in-law's citizenship status. DoDD 5220.6 provides guidance for this security concern as well as mitigation and these were not followed. Since discovering the error, he has diligently sought resolution through multiple channels; however, there has been no resolution.

The applicant's complete submission is at Exhibit A.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

The applicant is a currently serving Air Force senior airman (E-4).

According to the Retrieval Applications Web (RAW), the applicant's duty, primary and control AFSC reflects 2T251. His duty title reflects Apex Load Director.

For more information, see the excerpt of the applicant's record at Exhibit B and the advisory at Exhibit C.

AIR FORCE EVALUATION

AFPC/DP3DW recommends denying the application. Based on the documentation provided by the applicant and analysis of the facts, there is no evidence of an error or injustice. 1N3X1 requires Career Field Manager concurrence and approval to retrain into the career field. Additionally, the career field does not have the capability to accept on-the-job training applicants due to the extensive course requirements needed to award an airman with the AFSC. The courses take two years to complete to be awarded the initial 3 skill level. Upon further review of the applicant's record, his assignment availability code (AAC) – 37 "pending medical review board" has an expiration date of 31 July 2025. This code renders him ineligible for retraining.

AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2024-02563

Attorney-Client

Controlled by: SAF/MRB

Attorney-Client

Limited Dissemination Control: N/A

POC: SAF.MRBC.Workflow@us.af.mil

On 3 May 2022, the applicant applied for all Special Warfare (SPECWAR) Career Fields 1T0X1, 1Z1X1, 1Z2X1, 1Z3X1, 1Z4X1 using the SPECWAR Exception to Policy (ETP); but within the communication thread asked to apply for 1N3X1. Airmen cannot use the SPECWAR ETP to apply for AFSCs that are not SPECWAR. He was informed he could not utilize the SPECWAR ETP to apply for other career fields and must submit an ETP to apply early outside of his career job reservation (CJR) window. After he provided this information, he withdrew his application.

He requested an ETP on 14 September 2022 and was advised on ETP requirements and to submit the ETP within the retraining request (outside of the CJR window).

On 21 September 2022, the applicant applied for 1Z4X1, 1Z2X1, 1N3X1 using the SPECWAR ETP and was advised he was only eligible for SPECWAR with the SPECWAR ETP. He then withdrew his application. He submitted another ETP request on 22 September 2022 and was provided instructions on how to submit the ETP within the retraining request (outside of the CJR window).

On 30 October 2022, the applicant submitted a retraining request using the SPECWAR ETP. He applied for 1Z1X1, 1Z2X1 and 1B4X1. He claimed he obtained an ETP in the past for approval to apply outside of his window. However, up until this point he had not submitted an ETP request for early release to retrain. His only requests were what the ETP requirements were and how to submit. He was then advised he was only eligible to apply for SPECWAR with the SPECWAR ETP. After the information was provided the applicant withdrew his application.

The applicant submitted a retraining request and was provided his CJR window information on 2 June 2023 and was advised to submit an ETP.

On 2 June 2023, the applicant applied for all SPECWAR career fields 1T0X1, 1Z1X1, 1Z2X1, 1Z3X1, 1Z4X1 using the SPECWAR ETP but then within the communication thread asked to apply for 1N3X1. Once informed he needed to submit an ETP for his CJR window he withdrew his application.

On 15 September 2023, the applicant submitted a retraining request but did not provide the career fields, the HAF/AIPT First Term Airman (FTA) ETP applied to the applicant; his CJR opened in March 2024.

The retraining team requested five career fields on 6 October 2023; the applicant responded to the myFSS e-mail on 6 October, but the email did not trigger an update in myFSS for the team to coord.

The applicant responded to the myFSS case on 14 November 2023 triggering the case update for the team and the case was closed 12 December 2023 and a new case was created to afford the applicant the opportunity to apply.

On 12 December 2023, a case created with 1B4X1, 1N0X1, and 6C0X1. 1N3X1 had availability but he did not apply for 1N3X1. He was advised on eligibility for all three and provided the information on what documents needed to be submitted to apply for each AFSC. The case was updated so the applicant could apply.

On 24 January 2024, the applicant stated he was waiting on an AF Form 422.

On 27 February 2024, the case was closed due to non-availability of quotas for 1N0, 1B4, and 6C0.

The complete advisory opinion is at Exhibit C.

APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION

The Board sent a copy of the advisory opinion to the applicant on 13 September 2024 for comment (Exhibit D), but has received no response.

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSION

1. The application was timely filed.
2. The applicant exhausted all other available administrative remedies before applying to the Board.
3. After reviewing all Exhibits, the Board concludes the applicant is not the victim of an error or injustice. The Board concurs with the rationale and recommendation of AFPC/DP3DW and finds a preponderance of the evidence does not substantiate the applicant's contentions. The Board finds no evidence of an injustice or error in the applicant's request to warrant granting relief. Therefore, the Board recommends against correcting the applicant's records.

RECOMMENDATION

The Board recommends informing the applicant the evidence did not demonstrate material error or injustice, and the Board will reconsider the application only upon receipt of relevant evidence not already presented.

CERTIFICATION

The following quorum of the Board, as defined in Department of the Air Force Instruction (DAFI) 36-2603, *Air Force Board for Correction of Military Records (AFBCMR)*, paragraph 2.1, considered Docket Number BC-2024-02563 in Executive Session on 19 December 2024:

Attorney-Client

Panel Chair

Attorney-Client

Panel Member

Attorney-Client

Panel Member

All members voted against correcting the record. The panel considered the following:

- Exhibit A: Application, DD Form 149, w/atchs, dated 15 July 2024.
- Exhibit B: Documentary evidence, including relevant excerpts from official records.
- Exhibit C: Advisory Opinion, AFPC/DP3DW, w/atchs, dated 12 September 2024.
- Exhibit D: Notification of Advisory, SAF/MRBC to Applicant, dated 13 September 2024.

Taken together with all Exhibits, this document constitutes the true and complete Record of Proceedings, as required by DAFI 36-2603, paragraph 4.12.9.

9/28/2025

X

Attorney-Client

Attorney-Client

Associate Director, AFBCMR

Signed by: USAF

AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2024-02563

Attorney-Client