



## UNITED STATES AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

### RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

**IN THE MATTER OF:**

**DOCKET NUMBER:** BC-2024-02793

Work-Product

**COUNSEL:** NONE

**HEARING REQUESTED:** NO

### APPLICANT'S REQUEST

The narrative reason for separation on his DD Form 214, *Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty*, be amended based on the repeal of Title 10, United States Code, Section 654 (10 U.S.C. § 654).

### APPLICANT'S CONTENTIONS

He was 20 years old at the time and was influenced by a higher-ranking person. The law on Don't Ask, Don't Tell (DADT) has changed. He would like to be able to say he served honorably and not be disgraced about his service.

The applicant's complete submission is at Exhibit A.

### STATEMENT OF FACTS

The applicant is a former Air Force airman (E-2).

On 20 Dec 83, the applicant's commander recommended the applicant be discharged from the Air Force, under the provisions of AFR 39-10, *Administrative Separation of Airmen*, for homosexual acts.

On 25 Jan 84, the Staff Judge Advocate found the discharge action legally sufficient.

On 30 Jan 84, the discharge authority directed the applicant be discharged for homosexuality with an honorable service characterization. Probation and rehabilitation was not offered.

On 31 Jan 84, the applicant received an honorable discharge with a separation code and corresponding narrative reason for separation of HRA, *Homosexuality – Acts*, and a reentry code is 2C, *involuntarily separated with an honorable discharge*. He was credited with 9 months, and 25 days of total active service.

For more information, see the excerpt of the applicant's record at Exhibit B.

### APPLICABLE AUTHORITY/GUIDANCE

**AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2024-02793**

Work-Product

Work-Product

On 20 Sep 11, with the repeal of the law commonly known as “Don’t Ask, Don’t Tell” (DADT), 10 U.S.C. § 654, the Department of Defense (DoD) issued supplemental policy guidance on correcting military records of former service members who had been discharged under that law or a precursor. The guidance applied to the following types of requests: changing the narrative reason for a discharge; re-characterizing service as honorable; changing a reentry code to one allowing immediate eligibility to reenter service. The guidance directed that such requests should normally be granted when both of the following conditions are true: (1) the original discharge was based solely on DADT or a similar policy in place prior to enactment of DADT; and (2) there were no aggravating factors in the record, such as misconduct. For meritorious cases, the guidance further directed the use of “Secretarial Authority” as the new narrative reason for separation, with Separation Program Designator (SPD) code “JFF” and reentry code “1J.” In addition, the guidance noted that while each request must be evaluated individually, an honorable or under honorable conditions (general) discharge should normally be considered to indicate the absence of aggravating factors. Finally, the issuance of a discharge under DADT or the taking of an action pursuant to DoD regulations related to a discharge under DADT should not by itself be considered to constitute an error or injustice that would invalidate an otherwise proper action taken pursuant to DADT and applicable DoD policy. Thus, remedies such as correcting a record to reflect continued service with no discharge, restoration to a previous grade or position, credit for time lost, or an increase from no separation pay to half or full separation pay or from half separation to full separation pay, would not normally be appropriate.

The complete DoD policy is at Exhibit C.

#### **APPLICANT’S REVIEW OF APPLICABLE AUTHORITY/GUIDANCE**

The Board sent a copy of the DoD policy to the applicant on 20 Aug 24 for comment (Exhibit D) but has received no response.

#### **FINDINGS AND CONCLUSION**

1. The application is timely.
2. The applicant exhausted all other available administrative remedies before applying to the Board.
3. After reviewing all Exhibits, the Board concludes the applicant is the victim of an injustice. While the Board finds no error in the original discharge process, the Board recommends relief based on the repeal of 10 U.S.C. § 654. The absence of aggravating factors in the applicant’s record meets the criteria of the DoD policy on records correction following the repeal of DADT. Therefore, the Board recommends correcting the applicant’s record.

#### **RECOMMENDATION**

The pertinent military records of the Department of the Air Force relating to APPLICANT be corrected to show the DD Form 214, *Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty*, issued on 31 Jan 84, be amended to reflect a Separation Code of JFF, a Narrative Reason for Separation of Secretarial Authority, and a Reentry code of 1J.

#### **CERTIFICATION**

The following quorum of the Board, as defined in Department of the Air Force Instruction (DAFI) 36-2603, *Air Force Board for Correction of Military Records (AFBCMR)*, paragraph 2.1, considered Docket Number BC-2024-02793 in Executive Session on 27 Mar 25:

Work-Product Panel Chair  
Work-Product Panel Member  
Work-Product Panel Member

All members voted to correct the record. The panel considered the following:

- Exhibit A: Application, DD Form 149, dated 21 Jul 24.
- Exhibit B: Documentary Evidence, including relevant excerpts from official records.
- Exhibit C: DoD Policy on Correcting Military Records after Repeal of DADT, dated 20 Sep 11.
- Exhibit D: Notification of DoD Policy, SAF/MRBC to applicant, dated 20 Aug 24.

Taken together with all Exhibits, this document constitutes the true and complete Record of Proceedings, as required by DAFI 36-2603, paragraph 4.12.9.

11/6/2025

X Work-Product

Board Operations Manager, AFBCMR  
Signed by: USAF