



Work-Product

UNITED STATES AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NUMBER: BC-2024-04269

Work-Product

COUNSEL: NONE

HEARING REQUESTED: YES

APPLICANT'S REQUEST

His extension of enlistment be canceled and his date of separation (DOS) be recalculated for his reenlistment.

APPLICANT'S CONTENTIONS

He was advised by AFPC that no corrections can be made to his contract. His prior base has no record of his AF Form 1411, *Extension of Enlistment in the Air Force*, and he was advised it had been removed. However, the military personnel flight (MPF) failed to cancel the extension after he was informed it was canceled and removed. The extension should be canceled and his DOS should be recalculated based on his reenlistment.

He received an overseas assignment and completed the extension for the permanent change of station (PCS). However, his wife was not medically cleared and his assignment was canceled. He then decided to cross train. He was advised the extension had been removed so he could reenlist for the retraining. After arriving at his new base, he was advised by email that the extension was updated. They were somehow unaware he had reenlisted. He was informed the extension could not be canceled as he had already entered it. He is now required to serve out the extension and reenlistment. It was requested he sign a new contract with the updated time that he did not agree to by raising his right hand.

The applicant's complete submission is at Exhibit A.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

The applicant is a currently serving Air Force staff sergeant (E-5).

On 29 May 18, the applicant enlisted in the Air Force for a period of 6 years.

AF Form 1411, *Extension of Enlistment in the Air Force*, dated 30 Nov 22 reflects the applicant extended his enlistment for 37 months for the purpose of a PCS assignment.

DD Form 4, *Enlistment/Reenlistment Document-Armed Forces of the United States*, dated 12 Oct 23, reflects the applicant reenlisted for a period of 3 years and 7 months for his approved retraining for Air Force Specialty Code (AFSC) 1C1X1, Air Traffic Controller.

AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2024-04269

Work-Product

Controlled by: SAF/MRB

Work-Product

Limited Dissemination Control: N/A

POC: SAF.MRBC.Workflow@us.af.mil

The Military Personnel Data System (MilPDS) reflects the applicant's DOS is 11 Jun 30.

For more information, see the excerpt of the applicant's record at Exhibit B and the advisory at Exhibit C.

AIR FORCE EVALUATION

AFPC/DP2SSM (Reenlistments) recommends denial. The applicant provided no evidence he wanted to cancel the extension within 30 days of the assignment being canceled. It is clear the applicant knew his DOS was 28 Jun 27 as it was sent for his review during his retraining process and it had been reflected in his records for over 11 months when he reenlisted.

The applicant enlisted in the Air Force on 29 May 18 for 6 years, establishing an Expiration Term of Service (ETS) and DOS of 28 May 24. On 28 Nov 22, he extended for 37 months for assignment retainability, establishing a DOS of 28 Jun 27; his ETS would stay 28 May 24 until he entered the extension on 29 May 24, when it would flip to his DOS of 28 Jun 27.

His assignment was canceled sometime in Jan or Feb 23. Per Section III (Extension Counseling), the applicant was counseled he could request cancellation of the extension if he had not entered it and that he must request cancellation within 30 days. Failure to cancel the extension within 30 calendar days is considered a willingness to serve out the extension. The applicant provided no proof he requested cancellation of the extension after his assignment was canceled.

The applicant applied for retraining in Apr 23. He was also approved for a base of preference (BOP) assignment in conjunction with his retraining, which required him to reenlist. He reenlisted on 12 Oct 23 for an erroneous period of reenlistment of 3 years and 7 months. The applicant, on his reenlistment date, had 3 years and 8 months of obligated service. Although not authorized to do so, his personnel office erroneously manipulated the personnel system to remove the extension without authority. AFPC caught the error as his personnel office could not update the finance system because the reenlistment period was not authorized. Thus, the system rejected the update. The applicant's 12 Oct 23 reenlistment should have been for a period of 2 years and 44 months obligated service, which was his only option. Additionally, if he had canceled his 37 month extension, he would have had to reenlist for 4 years and 7 months. Airmen must reenlist for at least 4 years and obligated service, unless restricted by high year of tenure, which he was not.

The complete advisory opinion is at Exhibit C.

APPLICANT'S REVIEW OF AIR FORCE EVALUATION

The Board sent a copy of the advisory opinion to the applicant on 2 Apr 25 for comment (Exhibit D) but has received no response.

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSION

1. The application was timely filed.
2. The applicant exhausted all available non-judicial relief before applying to the Board.

3. After reviewing all Exhibits, the Board concludes the applicant is not the victim of an error or injustice. The Board concurs with the rationale and recommendation of AFPC/DP2SSM and finds a preponderance of the evidence does not substantiate the applicant's contentions. The Board notes the applicant contends he was misinformed by his MPF that his extension of enlistment was canceled; however, he has provided insufficient evidence to substantiate this to be the case. The AF Form 1411 clearly indicates a member may request cancellation of an extension of enlistment within 30 days should the reason for the extension be canceled. In this respect, there is no evidence the applicant requested cancellation of his extension of enlistment and the updated DOS for the extension was reflected in his records for 11 months before his reenlistment. Accordingly, the Board finds the applicant's reenlistment for his retraining and BOP assignment does not nullify his prior acceptance of his extension of enlistment. Therefore, the Board recommends against correcting the applicant's records.

4. The applicant has not shown a personal appearance, with or without counsel, would materially add to the Board's understanding of the issues involved.

RECOMMENDATION

The Board recommends informing the applicant the evidence did not demonstrate material error or injustice, and the Board will reconsider the application only upon receipt of relevant evidence not already presented.

CERTIFICATION

The following quorum of the Board, as defined in Department of the Air Force Instruction (DAFI) 36-2603, *Air Force Board for Correction of Military Records (AFBCMR)*, paragraph 2.1, considered Docket Number BC-2024-04269 in Executive Session on 30 May 25:

Work-Product	, Panel Chair
Work-Product	Panel Member
Work-Product	Panel Member

All members voted against correcting the record. The panel considered the following:

- Exhibit A: Application, DD Form 149, w/atchs, dated 12 Dec 24.
- Exhibit B: Documentary evidence, including relevant excerpts from official records.
- Exhibit C: Advisory Opinion, AFPC/DP2SSM, dated 14 Mar 25.
- Exhibit D: Notification of Advisory, SAF/MRBC to Applicant, dated 2 Apr 25.

Work-Product

Taken together with all Exhibits, this document constitutes the true and complete Record of Proceedings, as required by DAFI 36-2603, paragraph 4.12.9.

6/14/2025

Work-Product

Board Operations Manager, AFBCMR
Signed by: USAF

AFBCMR Docket Number BC-2024-04269

Work-Product