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|  **AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL DOCUMENT**  | CASE NUMBER FD-2019-00103 |
|  GENERAL: The applicant was discharged on 15 Jun 16 in accordance with AFI 36-3208 with a General discharge for Fraudulent Entry. The applicant appealed for an upgrade of his discharge characterization to Honorable and Reenlistment Code. The board was conducted on 20 Aug 19. The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB), but declined and requested the board be completed based on a records only review. The applicant was not represented by counsel. The attached examiner’s brief (provided to applicant only), extracted from available service records, contains pertinent data regarding the circumstances and character of the applicant’s military service.  FINDING: The DRB voted unanimously to deny the applicant’s request to upgrade his discharge characterization to Honorable.DISCUSSION: The DRB, under its responsibility to examine the propriety and equity of an applicant’s discharge, is authorized to change the characterization of service and the narrative reason for discharge if such changes are warranted. If applicable, the board can also change the applicant’s reenlistment eligibility code. In reviewing discharges, the board presumes regularity in the conduct of governmental affairs unless there is substantial credible evidence to rebut the presumption, to include evidence submitted by the applicant. The board completed a thorough review of the circumstances that led to the discharge and the discharge process to determine if the discharge met the pertinent standards of equity and propriety. The applicant’s record of service included one Letter of Reprimand for failure to disclose several civilian conviction prior to enlistment. The applicant made no contentions that the discharge was inequitable/improper. He contends that the recruiter told him not to disclose his civilian convictions and his dual citizenship at MEPS. He didn’t feel he had a reason to question the recruiter, who is no longer in the military. The DRB reviewed the evidence and determined that the applicant made the choice to withhold the information on the DD 2807-1. The DRB determined if he disclosed the information during the initial MEPS process a waiver could have been initiated to determine the applicant’s capability to serve in the military.CONCLUSION: The board found insufficient evidence of an inequity or impropriety that would warrant a change to the applicant’s discharge. Therefore, the discharge received by the applicant was deemed to be appropriate and his request was not approved. The DRB results were approved by the board president on 29 Aug 19. If desired, the applicant can request a list of the board members and their votes by writing to: Air Force Review Boards AgencyAttn: Discharge Review Board1500 West Perimeter Road, Suite 3700Joint Base Andrews, NAF Washington, MD 20762-6602 Attachment:Examiner's Brief |