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| **AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL DOCUMENT** | CASE NUMBER FD-2019-00274 |
| GENERAL: The applicant was discharged on 16 Mar 12 in accordance with AFI 36-3208 with an Honorable discharge for Completion of Required Active Service. The applicant appealed to change the reenlistment eligibility code. The board was conducted on 17 Sep 19.  The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB), but declined and requested the board be completed based on a records only review. The applicant was not represented by counsel.  The attached examiner’s brief (provided to applicant only), extracted from available service records, contains pertinent data regarding the circumstances and character of the applicant’s military service.    FINDING: The DRB voted unanimously to deny the applicant’s request to change the reenlistment eligibility code to 3K.  DISCUSSION: The DRB, under its responsibility to examine the propriety and equity of an applicant’s discharge, is authorized to change the characterization of service and the narrative reason for discharge if such changes are warranted. If applicable, the board can also change the applicant’s reenlistment eligibility code. In reviewing discharges, the board presumes regularity in the conduct of governmental affairs unless there is substantial credible evidence to rebut the presumption, to include evidence submitted by the applicant. The board completed a thorough review of the circumstances that led to the discharge and the discharge process to determine if the discharge met the pertinent standards of equity and propriety.  The applicant’s record of service included a civilian conviction for assault and battery.  The applicant contended the discharge was inequitable because he was retained by an Administrative Discharge Board (ADB). The applicant stated that he completed a 52 week anger management course, and received a promotion endorsement on his final evaluation.  The board reviewed the applicant’s entire record and found the ADB elected to retain the applicant for the remainder of that enlistment, and had no jurisdiction on whether the applicant could reenlist. The DRB determined that, through the administrative actions taken by the chain of command in this case, this is not a matter of inequity or impropriety which would warrant an upgrade.  CONCLUSION: The board found insufficient evidence of an inequity or impropriety that would warrant a change to the applicant’s discharge. Therefore, the discharge received by the applicant was deemed to be appropriate and his request was not approved.  The DRB results were approved by the board president on 20 Sep 19. If desired, the applicant can request a list of the board members and their votes by writing to:  Air Force Review Boards Agency  Attn: Discharge Review Board  1500 West Perimeter road, Suite 3700  Joint Base Andrews, NAF Washington, MD 20762-6602  Attachment: Examiner's Brief | |