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|  **AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL DOCUMENT**  | CASE NUMBER FD-2019-00313 |
|  GENERAL: The applicant was discharged on 30 Sep 18 in accordance with AFI 36-3208 with an Uncharacterized discharge for Erroneous Entry. The applicant appealed for a change to her reenlistment eligibility code. The board was conducted on 7 Jan 20. The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB), but declined and requested the board be completed based on a records only review. The applicant was not represented by counsel. The attached examiner’s brief (provided to applicant only), extracted from available service records, contains pertinent data regarding the circumstances and character of the applicant’s military service.  FINDING: The DRB voted 4 to 1 *approve* the applicant’s request to change the reenlistment eligibility code to 3K.DISCUSSION: The DRB, under its responsibility to examine the propriety and equity of an applicant’s discharge, is authorized to change the characterization of service and the narrative reason for discharge if such changes are warranted. If applicable, the board can also change the applicant’s reenlistment eligibility code. In reviewing discharges, the board presumes regularity in the conduct of governmental affairs unless there is substantial credible evidence to rebut the presumption, to include evidence submitted by the applicant. The board completed a thorough review of the circumstances that led to the discharge and the discharge process to determine if the discharge met the pertinent standards of equity and propriety. The applicant’s record of service did not include disciplinary actions. The applicant contended the reenlistment eligibility code was improper because the medical condition did not exist, and medical records from her civilian care provider proving that she was not at risk were not obtained when waiver was requested. The applicant insists that she was misled in writing her rebuttal to the discharge, and was not provided the opportunity to access her phone to provide the civilian providers contact information. The applicant submitted medical examinations performed after her separation that do not show a disqualifying factor. The applicant is requesting the change in order to return to military service.The DRB reviewed the applicant’s entire record and discovered the applicant did not have three consecutive normal gynecologic cytology exams prior to military service. The Board determined the applicant received the appropriate narrative reason for discharge at the time of issuance. However, in accordance with DoDI 6130.03, paragraph 5.13. “Abnormal gynecologic cytology within the preceding 3 years, including but not limited to unspecified abnormalities of the Papanicolaou smear of the cervix…” an applicant would meet qualifications for military service. The board concluded that evidence provided by the applicant verify she did not submit an abnormal gynecologic cytology during a Papanicolaou smear of the cervix within the past 3 years, and a change to the reenlistment eligibility code is warranted. CONCLUSION: The board found neither the evidence of record, nor that provided by the applicant substantiated an impropriety. However, sufficient evidence existed to convince the board the discharge was inequitable. Therefore, the board determined the reenlistment eligibility code will change to “3K.” The DRB results were approved by the board president on 10 Feb 20. If desired, the applicant can request a list of the board members and their votes by writing to: Air Force Review Boards AgencyAttn: Discharge Review Board3351 Celmers LaneJoint Base Andrews, NAF Washington, MD 20762-6602 Attachment:Examiner's Brief |