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|  **AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL DOCUMENT**  | CASE NUMBER FD-2019-00475 |
|  GENERAL: The applicant was discharged on 11 Aug 15 in accordance with AFI 36-3209 with an Honorable discharge for Expiration of Enlistment. The applicant appealed for a change to the reenlistment eligibility code. The board was conducted on 7 Jan 20. The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB), but declined and requested the board be completed based on a records only review. The applicant was not represented by counsel. The attached examiner’s brief (provided to applicant only), extracted from available service records, contains pertinent data regarding the circumstances and character of the applicant’s military service.  FINDING: The DRB voted unanimously to *deny* the applicant’s request to change the reenlistment eligibility code to 3K.DISCUSSION: The DRB, under its responsibility to examine the propriety and equity of an applicant’s discharge, is authorized to change the characterization of service and the narrative reason for discharge if such changes are warranted. If applicable, the board can also change the applicant’s reenlistment eligibility code. In reviewing discharges, the board presumes regularity in the conduct of governmental affairs unless there is substantial credible evidence to rebut the presumption, to include evidence submitted by the applicant. The board completed a thorough review of the circumstances that led to the discharge and the discharge process to determine if the discharge met the pertinent standards of equity and propriety. The applicant’s record of service did not include any disciplinary actions. The applicant contended the reenlistment code was improper because she was honorably discharged. The applicant states the “Y” code that reflects in Defense Enrollment Eligibility Reporting System (DEERS) and in a recruitment system is a mistake. She is requesting the change so that she can return to military service. The DRB determined the “Y” code identified by the applicant is not a reenlistment code used by the Air Force, and does not deny reentry to military service. The DRB discovered that Airmen who separate honorably from the Air Force Reserve do not receive a reenlistment code. Therefore, the DRB found no evidence of impropriety or inequity to warrant any changes to the discharge. CONCLUSION: The board found insufficient evidence of an inequity or impropriety that would warrant a change to the applicant’s discharge. Therefore, the reenlistment code received by the applicant was deemed to be appropriate and her request was not approved. The DRB results were approved by the board president on 10 Feb 20. If desired, the applicant can request a list of the board members and their votes by writing to: Air Force Review Boards AgencyAttn: Discharge Review Board3351 Celmers LaneJoint Base Andrews, NAF Washington, MD 20762-6602 Attachment:Examiner's Brief |