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|  **AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL DOCUMENT**  | CASE NUMBER FD-2019-00512 |
|  GENERAL: The applicant was discharged on 06 Dec 2011 in accordance with AFI 36-3208 with a(n) General discharge for Misconduct. The applicant appealed for an upgrade of his discharge characterization to Honorable and a change in his reenlistment code. The board was conducted on 29 Oct 2019. The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB), but declined and requested the board be completed based on a records only review. The applicant was not represented by counsel. The attached examiner’s brief (provided to applicant only), extracted from available service records, contains pertinent data regarding the circumstances and character of the applicant’s military service.  FINDING: The DRB voted unanimously to *deny* the applicant’s request to upgrade his discharge characterization to Honorable and change his reenlistment code to *3K*.DISCUSSION: The DRB, under its responsibility to examine the propriety and equity of an applicant’s discharge, is authorized to change the characterization of service and the narrative reason for discharge if such changes are warranted. If applicable, the board can also change the applicant’s reenlistment eligibility code. In reviewing discharges, the board presumes regularity in the conduct of governmental affairs unless there is substantial credible evidence to rebut the presumption, to include evidence submitted by the applicant. The board completed a thorough review of the circumstances that led to the discharge and the discharge process to determine if the discharge met the pertinent standards of equity and propriety. The applicant’s record of service included an Article 15 and a Record of Individual Counseling. His misconduct included: willfully failed to take actions to prevent/report theft and failure to report on time.The applicant made no contentions that the discharge was inequitable/improper. The applicant believed he deserves a second chance despite his misconduct in order to have the opportunity to serve in the military again. His current reenlistment code is preventing The DRB determined that, through the administrative actions taken by the chain of command in this case, the applicant had opportunities to change his negative behavior. It found the seriousness of the applicant’s willful misconduct offset the positive aspects of his service. CONCLUSION: The board found insufficient evidence of an inequity or impropriety that would warrant a change to the applicant’s discharge. Therefore, the discharge received by the applicant was deemed to be appropriate and his request was not approved. The DRB results were approved by the board president on 14 Apr 20. If desired, the applicant can request a list of the board members and their votes by writing to: Air Force Review Boards AgencyAttn: Discharge Review Board3351 Celmers LaneJoint Base Andrews, NAF Washington, MD 20762-6602 Attachment:Examiner's Brief (Applicant Only) |