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|  **AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL DOCUMENT**  | CASE NUMBER FD-2019-00758 |
|  The applicant was discharged on 12 Jul 2005 in accordance with AFI 36-3208 with an Entry Level/Uncharacterized discharge for Failed Medical/Physical Procurement Standards. The applicant appealed for an upgrade of her discharge characterization to Honorable, a change to the discharge narrative reason, and a change to the reenlistment eligibility code. The board was conducted on 5 Mar 2020. The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB), but declined and requested the board be completed based on a records only review. The applicant was not represented by counsel. The attached examiner’s brief (provided to applicant only), extracted from available service records, contains pertinent data regarding the circumstances and character of the applicant’s military service.  FINDING: The DRB voted unanimously to *deny* the applicant’s request to upgrade her discharge characterization to Honorable, to change the discharge narrative reason, and to change the reenlistment eligibility.DISCUSSION: The DRB, under its responsibility to examine the propriety and equity of an applicant’s discharge, is authorized to change the characterization of service and the narrative reason for discharge if such changes are warranted. If applicable, the board can also change the applicant’s reenlistment eligibility code. In reviewing discharges, the board presumes regularity in the conduct of governmental affairs unless there is substantial credible evidence to rebut the presumption, to include evidence submitted by the applicant. The board completed a thorough review of the circumstances that led to the discharge and the discharge process to determine if the discharge met the pertinent standards of equity and propriety. The applicant contended the discharge was improper because her reenlistment code is incorrect and the grounds for her discharge was erroneous. She states during the enlistment process she alerted MEPS personnel she was diagnosed with asthma at age 2 and that she received a waiver to join the Air Force. She further states military personnel that processed her discharge did not review her full record prior to her discharge and did not listen to her pleas regarding the matter.A thorough review of the service record by the board’s medical officer revealed the applicant had been treated for asthma after the age of 13, which information was not known by MEPS personnel. Medical professionals at Basic Military Training became aware of this information after further reviewing her medical records and determined she did not meet accession standards. This pre-existing medical condition was deemed unfitting and a waiver was not granted. Therefore, the DRB determined the discharge was appropriate. Furthermore, in accordance with AFI 36-3208, Airmen are in entry level status during the first 180 days of continuous active military service. If separated during this time, they normally receive an Entry Level Separation that is not characterized. Because it is Air Force policy to not characterize this type of separation, the applicant’s request to “upgrade” it to Honorable could not be approved.CONCLUSION: The board found insufficient evidence of an inequity or impropriety that would warrant a change to the applicant’s discharge. Therefore, the discharge received by the applicant was deemed to be appropriate and her request was not approved. The DRB results were approved by the board president on 23 Mar 20. If desired, the applicant can request a list of the board members and their votes by writing to: Air Force Review Boards AgencyAttn: Discharge Review Board3351 Celmers LaneJoint Base Andrews, NAF Washington, MD 20762-6602 Attachment:Examiner's Brief (Applicant Only) |