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|  **AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL DOCUMENT**  | CASE NUMBER FD-2020-00366 |
|  GENERAL: The applicant was discharged on 12 Oct 16 in accordance with AFI 36-3208 with an Honorable discharge for Completion of Required Active Service. The applicant appealed for a change to the reenlistment eligibility code. The board was conducted on 11 Jun 20. The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB), but declined and requested the board be completed based on a records only review. The applicant was not represented by counsel. The attached examiner’s brief (provided to applicant only), extracted from available service records, contains pertinent data regarding the circumstances and character of the applicant’s military service.  FINDING: The DRB voted unanimously to deny the applicant’s request to change the reenlistment eligibility code to 3K.DISCUSSION: The DRB, under its responsibility to examine the propriety and equity of an applicant’s discharge, is authorized to change the characterization of service and the narrative reason for discharge if such changes are warranted. If applicable, the board can also change the applicant’s reenlistment eligibility code. In reviewing discharges, the board presumes regularity in the conduct of governmental affairs unless there is substantial credible evidence to rebut the presumption, to include evidence submitted by the applicant. The board completed a thorough review of the circumstances that led to the discharge and the discharge process to determine if the discharge met the pertinent standards of equity and propriety. The applicant’s record of service included one Article 15 for failure to obey a lawful order; and wrongfully operating a motor vehicle past the parking lots adjacent to building 210 after dropping off child at child development center. The applicant contends the reenlistment eligibility code was improper because he was not serving a suspended punishment for the Article 15 at the time of the discharge. He insists that an appeal of the Article 15 was filed, but believes that it was never reviewed. The applicant states that he was not reduced in rank, or forfeited pay as a result of the NJP. The applicant believes the reenlistment eligibility code should match the narrative reason.The DRB conducted a thorough review of the record, and found the applicant was reduced in rank and other administrative actions that were suspended thorough January 2017. The board concluded there was no evidence of impropriety or inequity to justify making any changes to the reenlistment eligibility code.CONCLUSION: The board found insufficient evidence of an inequity or impropriety that would warrant a change to the applicant’s reenlistment eligibility code. Therefore, the reenlistment eligibility code received by the applicant was deemed to be appropriate and his request was not approved. The DRB results were approved by the board president on 22 Jun 20. If desired, the applicant can request a list of the board members and their votes by writing to: Air Force Review Boards AgencyAttn: Discharge Review Board3351 Celmers LaneJoint Base Andrews, NAF Washington, MD 20762-6602 Attachment:Examiner's Brief (Applicant Only) |