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|  **AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL DOCUMENT**  | CASE NUMBER FD-2020-00426 |
|  GENERAL: The applicant was discharged on 29 Jan 13 in accordance with AFI 36-3208 with a General discharge for Misconduct (Drug Abuse). The applicant appealed for an upgrade of his discharge characterization to Honorable. The board was conducted on 23 Jul 20. The applicant was offered a personal appearance before the Discharge Review Board (DRB), but declined and requested the board be completed based on a records only review. The applicant was not represented by counsel. The attached examiner’s brief (provided to applicant only), extracted from available service records, contains pertinent data regarding the circumstances and character of the applicant’s military service.  FINDING: The DRB voted unanimously to deny the applicant’s request to upgrade his discharge characterization to Honorable.DISCUSSION: The DRB, under its responsibility to examine the propriety and equity of an applicant’s discharge, is authorized to change the characterization of service and the narrative reason for discharge if such changes are warranted. If applicable, the board can also change the applicant’s reenlistment eligibility code. In reviewing discharges, the board presumes regularity in the conduct of governmental affairs unless there is substantial credible evidence to rebut the presumption, to include evidence submitted by the applicant. The board completed a thorough review of the circumstances that led to the discharge and the discharge process to determine if the discharge met the pertinent standards of equity and propriety. The applicant’s record of service included one Article 15, one vacation of suspended nonjudicial punishment, three Letters of Reprimand, and two Letters of Counseling. His misconduct included: drunk and disorderly; physically controlled a vehicle while drunk; failure to follow technical order; failure to remain in quarters for medical purposes; incapacitation for performance of duties through prior wrongful indulgence in intoxicating liquor; failure to go at time prescribed to appointed place of duty; failure to follow proper procedures endangering the safety of another airman. The applicant made no contentions that the discharge was inequitable or improper. The applicant states that he did not fight to stay in the military at the time of his discharge because he was working on obtaining full custody of his daughter. The applicant is requesting the upgrade so that he can move forward with his life.After reviewing the service record, the DRB found no evidence to indicate the applicant was unaware of the Air Force policy of zero tolerance for illegal drug use. The board found the negative aspects of the applicant’s willful misconduct outweighed the positive aspects of his military service. CONCLUSION: The board found insufficient evidence of an inequity or impropriety that would warrant a change to the applicant’s discharge. Therefore, the discharge received by the applicant was deemed to be appropriate and his request was not approved. The DRB results were approved by the board president on 30 Jul 20. If desired, the applicant can request a list of the board members and their votes by writing to: Air Force Review Boards AgencyAttn: Discharge Review Board3351 Celmers LaneJoint Base Andrews, NAF Washington, MD 20762-6602 Attachment:Examiner's Brief (Applicant Only) |