

## AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL DOCUMENT

FD-2023-00124

**SUMMARY:** The applicant was discharged on 10 October 2019 in accordance with Air Force Instruction 36-3208, *Administrative Separation of Airman* with a General Discharge for Entry Level Performance and Conduct. The applicant appealed a change to the reenlistment eligibility code.

The applicant was not represented by counsel.

The applicant requested the board be completed based on a records only review. The Board was conducted on 01 June 2023.

The attached examiner's brief (provided to applicant only), extracted from available service records, contains pertinent data regarding the circumstances and character of the applicant's military service.

**DISCUSSION:** The Air Force Discharge Review Board (AFDRB), under its responsibility to examine the propriety and equity of an applicant's discharge, is authorized to change the characterization of service and the narrative reason for discharge if such changes are warranted. If applicable, the board can also change the applicant's reenlistment eligibility code. In reviewing discharges, the board presumes regularity in the conduct of governmental affairs unless there is substantial credible evidence to rebut the presumption, to include evidence submitted by the applicant. The Board completed a thorough review of the circumstances that led to the discharge and the discharge process to determine if the discharge met the pertinent standards of equity and propriety.

The applicant's record of service included an Article 15, , multiple Letters of Reprimand, and a Letter of Counseling. His misconduct included: on divers occasions between on or about 08 August 2019 and on or about 21 August 2019, without authority, failed to go at the time prescribe to the appointed place of duty; Stalled progression phase for not meeting room standards; was out of his room and off-base over the weekend without proper authorization; Used fake transition card to get back inside the dorms; was in civilian clothes and two (2) hours past curfew; had his phone on his person during the duty day; Failed to provide his mandatory study sheet when prompted to do so; he was given until the next duty day to turn it in; when turned in, it was determined that he had forged the signature of the approver; and failed block IV unit 2 exam with a score of 65%; failed the block V unit 2 exam with a score of 63%.

The documentary evidence the Board considered as part of the review includes, but is not limited to the DD form 293, *Application for the Review of Discharge from the Armed Forces of the United States* and any additional documentation submitted by applicant and/or counsel; the applicant's personnel file from the Automated Records Management System (ARMS); and the Discharge Review Board (DRB) Brief detailing the applicant's service information and a summary of the case.

The applicant requested an upgrade to the reentry code so that he may reenlist. He explained that during tech school, he was so concentrated on helping everyone else out, that he didn't provide for himself, which resulted in failing tests and not meeting basic requirements.

The Board reviewed the applicant's entire service record and found no evidence of impropriety or inequity to justify making any changes to the discharge. The Board concluded the negative aspects of the applicant's service outweighed the positive contributions he made during his time in service.

**FINDING:** The DRB voted unanimously to *deny* the applicant's request to upgrade his discharge characterization, to change the discharge narrative reason, and to change the reenlistment eligibility code.

Should the applicant wish to appeal this decision, the applicant must request a personal appearance before this Board before applying for relief to the Air Force Board for Correction of Military Records (AFBCMR). In accordance with DAFI 36-2603, *Air Force Board for Correction of Military Records*, all applicants before the AFBCMR must first exhaust available administrative avenues of relief before applying to the AFBCMR, otherwise their AFBCMR case will be administratively closed until such time that the applicant avails themselves of the available avenue of relief. Therefore, should the applicant wish to appeal this decision, they must first exercise their right to make a personal appearance before the AFDRB.

**CONCLUSION:** After a thorough review of the available evidence, to include the Applicant's issues, summary of service, service/medical record entries, and discharge process, the Board found the discharge was proper and equitable. Therefore, the awarded characterization of service shall remain "General," the narrative reason for separation shall remain "Entry Level Performance or Conduct," and the reentry code shall remain to "2B." The AFDRB results were approved by the board president on 06 July 2023.

If desired, the applicant can request a list of the board members and their votes by writing to:

Air Force Review Boards Agency  
Attn: Discharge Review Board  
3351 Celmers Lane  
Joint Base Andrews, NAF Washington, MD 20762-6602

Instructions on how to appeal an AFDRB decision can be found at  
<https://afrbaportal.azurewebsites.us>

Attachment:  
Examiner's Brief (Applicant Only)