

AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL DOCUMENT**SUMMARY:**

The applicant was discharged on 30 June 2016 in accordance with Air Force Instruction 36-3208, *Administrative Separation of Airman* with a General Discharge for Misconduct (Other). The applicant appealed for an upgrade of his discharge characterization.

The applicant was not represented by counsel.

The applicant requested the board be completed based on a records only review. The Board was conducted on 27 July 2023.

The attached examiner's brief (provided to applicant only), extracted from available service records, contains pertinent data regarding the circumstances and character of the applicant's military service.

DISCUSSION: The Discharge Review Board (DRB), under its responsibility to examine the propriety and equity of an applicant's discharge, is authorized to change the characterization of service and the narrative reason for discharge if such changes are warranted. If applicable, the board can also change the applicant's reenlistment eligibility code. In reviewing discharges, the board presumes regularity in the conduct of governmental affairs unless there is substantial credible evidence to rebut the presumption, to include evidence submitted by the applicant. The Board completed a thorough review of the circumstances that led to the discharge and the discharge process to determine if the discharge met the pertinent standards of equity and propriety.

The applicant's record of service included an Article 15, multiple Letters of Reprimand, and a Letter of Admonishment. His misconduct included: Caught by SFS smoking in government quarters; made a false official statement by denying being interviewed by SFS and confessing the smoking; Failed to report to appointed place of duty on time; Failed to conduct necessary follow-up actions concerning requested photos following a photoshoot; lied to an officer, stating the memory card malfunction and therefore he lost her photos, however, the truth was that he accidentally deleted them; Negligently failed to comply with the Bomb Wing (BW) Public Affairs Studio Policy letter; Was assigned to document BW/CC all call and while executing his duties at the event, he fell asleep and was observed by the BW/CV.

The documentary evidence the Board considered as part of the review includes, but is not limited to the DD Form 293, *Application for the Review of Discharge from the Armed Forces of the United States*, and any additional documentation submitted by applicant and/or counsel; the applicant's personnel file from the Automated Records Management System (ARMS); and the DRB Brief detailing the applicant's service information and a summary of the case.

The applicant requested an upgrade so that he may use the GI Bill benefits to better his situation. He indicated that he has a positive post-service record and has tried to maintain employment since then but has been laid off. The applicant would like to attend a veteran's tech program.

The DRB reviewed the applicant's entire service record and found no evidence of impropriety or inequity to warrant an upgrade of the discharge. The Board was pleased to see the applicant is trying to be successful post service. It understood the applicant's present service characterization renders him ineligible for Department of Veteran Affairs education benefits. However, this is not a matter of inequity or impropriety which would warrant an upgrade.

FINDING: The DRB voted unanimously to *deny* the applicant's request to upgrade his discharge characterization, to change the discharge narrative reason, and to change the reenlistment eligibility code.

Should the applicant wish to appeal this decision, the applicant must request a personal appearance before this Board before applying for relief to the Air Force Board for Correction of Military Records (AFBCMR). In accordance with DAFI 36-2603, Air Force Board for Correction of Military Records, all applicants before the AFBCMR must first exhaust available administrative avenues of relief before applying to the AFBCMR, otherwise their AFBCMR case will be administratively closed until such time that the applicant avails themselves of the available avenue of relief. Therefore, should the applicant wish to appeal this decision, they must first exercise their right to make a personal appearance before the AFDRB.

CONCLUSION: After a thorough review of the available evidence, to include the Applicant's issues, summary of service, service/medical record entries, and discharge process, the Board found the discharge was proper and equitable. Therefore, the awarded characterization of service shall remain "General," the narrative reason for separation shall remain "Misconduct (Other)," and the reentry code shall remain "2B." The Air Force DRB (AFDRB) results were approved by the board president on 31 July 2023. If desired, the applicant can request a list of the board members and their votes by writing to:

Air Force Review Boards Agency
Attn: Discharge Review Board
3351 Celmers Lane
Joint Base Andrews, NAF Washington, MD 20762-6602

Instructions on how to appeal an AFDRB decision can be found at
<https://afrbportal.azurewebsites.us>

Attachment:
Examiner's Brief (Applicant Only)