

**SUMMARY:** The applicant was discharged on 01 March 2023 in accordance with Air Force Instruction 36-3208, *Administrative Separation of Airmen*, with an Entry Level Separation for Erroneous Entry. The applicant appealed for a change to his reentry code.

The applicant was not represented by counsel.

The applicant requested the board be completed based on a records only review. The Board was conducted on 28 September 2023.

The attached examiner's brief (provided to applicant only), extracted from available service records, contains pertinent data regarding the circumstances and character of the applicant's military service.

**DISCUSSION:** The Discharge Review Board (DRB), under its responsibility to examine the propriety and equity of an applicant's discharge, is authorized to change the characterization of service and the narrative reason for discharge if such changes are warranted. If applicable, the board can also change the applicant's reenlistment eligibility code. In reviewing discharges, the board presumes regularity in the conduct of governmental affairs unless there is substantial credible evidence to rebut the presumption, to include evidence submitted by the applicant. The Board completed a thorough review of the circumstances that led to the discharge and the discharge process to determine if the discharge met the pertinent standards of equity and propriety.

The documentary evidence the Board considered as part of the review includes, but is not limited to the DD Form 293, *Application for the Review of Discharge from the Armed Forces of the United States*, and any additional documentation submitted by applicant and/or counsel; the applicant's personnel file from the Automated Records Management System (ARMS); and the DRB Brief detailing the applicant's service information and a summary of the case.

The applicant made no contentions. He requested a change to his reentry code so that he can reenlist in the Air Force.

A review of the applicant's records revealed he was seen by mental health providers while attending Basic Military Training due to safety concerns. He was diagnosed with an adjustment disorder with depressed mood and was recommended for discharge. He did not desire to remain in training and did not pursue a waiver.

**LIBERAL CONSIDERATION:**

Due to evidence of a mental health condition found in the applicant's medical record, the Board considered the case based on the liberal consideration (LC) standards required by guidance from the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness and 10 USC §1553. The Board included a member who is a physician, clinical psychologist, or psychiatrist. Specifically, the Board reviewed the four questions the Under Secretary of Defense provided that boards should consider when weighing evidence in requests for modification of discharges due in whole or in part to mental health conditions, including post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD); Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI); sexual assault, and sexual harassment. The Board considered the following:

1. Did the veteran contend that a condition or experience may have excused or mitigated their misconduct or discharge?

*The applicant contended "I am requesting an upgrade to my reenlistment code, as I am eager to rejoin the Air Force. In the month since I left basic military training, I have been full of regret and understand how rash and impulsive my decision was. I am hopeful the board will allow me the opportunity to reenlist to prove to myself, my family, and to the Air Force that I can be a successful and proficient airman and serve my country."*

2. Did that condition exist/experience occur during military service?

*A review of the applicant's records revealed he received the diagnosis, in service, of adjustment disorder with mixed anxiety and depression.*

3. Does that condition or experience actually excuse or mitigate the discharge?

*A review of the applicant's DD214 revealed he was discharged with an uncharacterized service characterization due to erroneous entry. The applicant's records revealed he endorsed depressed mood, anxiety and suicidal ideation during his time in service. The applicant's records also revealed he reported to his leadership and medical providers during his time in service a history of a previous suicide attempt when he was in middle school and that he did not wish to continue with this military training.*

*There is evidence the applicant exhibited and endorsed difficulty adjusting to the military lifestyle and poor coping skills, resulting in his in-service diagnosis of adjustment disorder, which may explain the applicant's misconduct but does not mitigate the applicant's discharge.*

4. Does that condition or experience outweigh the discharge?

*There is no evidence the applicant's discharge was improper or did not follow the requirements of Entry Level Separations IAW 36-3208. The applicant did not complete the entry level status of 180 days of service as detailed in AFI 36-3208, thus the characterization of the applicant's service was appropriately deemed as uncharacterized with the corresponding narrative reason and re-entry code and is not outweighed by a mental health condition.*

Additionally, the Board considered the factors laid out in the attachment to the Under Secretary of Defense memorandum, *Guidance to Military Discharge Review Boards and Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records Regarding Equity, Injustice, or Clemency Determinations*, dated 25 June 2018, known as the "Wilkie Memo." The Board considered the factors listed in paragraphs (6)(a)-(6)(l) and (7)(a)-(7)(r) of this memorandum and found no evidence of inequity or impropriety.

**FINDING:** The DRB voted unanimously to *deny* the applicant's request to change his discharge reenry code. The DRB also voted unanimously to deny changing the characterization and narrative reason.

Should the applicant wish to appeal this decision, the applicant must request a personal appearance before this Board before applying for relief to the Air Force Board for Correction of Military Records (AFBCMR). In accordance with DAFI 36-2603, *Air Force Board for Correction of Military Records*, all applicants before the AFBCMR must first exhaust available administrative avenues of relief before applying to the AFBCMR, otherwise their AFBCMR case will be administratively closed until such time that the applicant avails themselves of the available avenue of relief. Therefore, should the applicant wish to appeal this decision, they must first exercise their right to make a personal appearance before the AFDRB.

**CONCLUSION:** After a thorough review of the available evidence, to include the Applicant's issues, summary of service, service/medical record entries, and discharge process, the Board found the discharge was proper and equitable. Therefore, the awarded characterization of service shall remain "Entry Level Separation," the narrative reason for separation shall remain "Erroneous Entry," and the reentry code shall remain "2C." The Air Force DRB (AFDRB) results were approved by the board president on 02 October 2023. If desired, the applicant can request a list of the board members and their votes by writing to:

Air Force Review Boards Agency  
Attn: Discharge Review Board  
3351 Celmers Lane  
Joint Base Andrews, NAF Washington, MD 20762-6602  
Instructions on how to appeal an AFDRB decision can be found at  
<https://afrbaportal.azurewebsites.us>

Attachment:  
Examiner's Brief (Applicant Only)

