

SUMMARY:

The applicant was discharged on 26 August 2022 in accordance with Air Force Instruction 36-3208, *Administrative Separation of Airmen*, with a General Discharge for a Patter of Misconduct. The applicant appealed for an upgrade of his discharge characterization, a change to the discharge narrative reason, and a change to the reentry code.

The applicant was not represented by counsel.

The applicant requested the board be completed based on a records only review. The Board was conducted on 14 December 2023.

The attached examiner's brief (provided to applicant only), extracted from available service records, contains pertinent data regarding the circumstances and character of the applicant's military service.

DISCUSSION: The Discharge Review Board (DRB), under its responsibility to examine the propriety and equity of an applicant's discharge, is authorized to change the characterization of service and the narrative reason for discharge if such changes are warranted. If applicable, the board can also change the applicant's reentry code. In reviewing discharges, the board presumes regularity in the conduct of governmental affairs unless there is substantial credible evidence to rebut the presumption, to include evidence submitted by the applicant. The Board completed a thorough review of the circumstances that led to the discharge and the discharge process to determine if the discharge met the pertinent standards of equity and propriety.

The applicant's record of service included an Article 15 and a Letter of Reprimand. His misconduct included: Arrested for operating a vehicle while under the influence of alcohol; negligently failed to report the civilian arrest for driving under the influence; Drove a motor vehicle in excess of the legal speed limit of 60 miles per hour. Was recorded at a constant 100 miles per hour for the distance of ½ mile; Again operated a vehicle while under the influence of alcohol; Unlawfully struck another service member on the face with his fist.

The documentary evidence the Board considered as part of the review includes, but is not limited to the DD Form 293, *Application for the Review of Discharge from the Armed Forces of the United States*, and any additional documentation submitted by applicant and/or counsel; the applicant's personnel file from the Automated Records Management System (ARMS); and the DRB Brief detailing the applicant's service information and a summary of the case.

The applicant contended that mental and physical conditions affected his ability to make the right decisions during certain instances. He continued to explain that he was a good Airman but just didn't make the best decisions and didn't get help for his issues.

The DRB reviewed the applicant's entire service record and found no evidence of impropriety or inequity to warrant an upgrade of the discharge. The Board understood the applicant's stress of overseas military service and family issues; however, the vast majority of Airmen experience similar circumstances without committing misconduct. It found the seriousness of the applicant's willful misconduct offset the positive aspects of his service.

LIBERAL CONSIDERATION:

Due to evidence of a mental health diagnosis and/or experiences of sexual assault or sexual harassment and/or records documenting that one or more symptoms of mental health conditions and/or experiences of sexual assault or sexual harassment existed/occurred during military service found in the applicant's record, the Board considered the case based on the liberal consideration (LC) standards required by guidance from the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness and 10 USC §1553. The Board included a member who is a physician, clinical psychologist, psychiatrist or social worker with training on mental health issues connected with PTSD or TBI or other trauma. Specifically, the Board reviewed the four questions the Under Secretary of Defense provided that boards should consider when weighing evidence in requests for modification of discharges due in whole or in part to mental health conditions, including PTSD; TBI; sexual assault, and sexual harassment. The Board considered the following:

1. Did the veteran have a condition or experience that may excuse or mitigate the discharge?

The applicant checked the box for "other mental health" on the application. The applicant contended "I believe my discharge should be upgraded because during my time in the military I was going through mental and physical conditions that affected my ability to make the right decisions in certain instances/circumstances. My service medals show that I indeed was a good and achieving airman, there were just times that due to my conditions I was not making the best decisions and was not getting the help needed while in the service."

2. Did that condition exist/experience occur during military service?

There is no evidence the applicant sought or received any mental health treatment during his time in service. There is no evidence the applicant exhibited or endorsed any clinically significant features of a mental health condition during his time in service.

3. Does that condition or experience actually excuse or mitigate the discharge?

A review of the applicant's DD214 revealed the applicant was discharged with a general character of service due to a pattern of misconduct with four years, eight months, twenty-two days' time in service.

A review of the applicant's misconducts that led to his discharge revealed the applicant was charged with operating a motor vehicle under the influence of alcohol while stationed in UK on two occasions, three months apart, and an additional motor vehicle incident of speeding more than 40 mph over the speed limit. The applicant stated, in his LOR rebuttal, that his stressors included not being able to talk to his brother who is incarcerated, and his father cut off all communication with him and his family. The applicant also stated he would soon become a father. The applicant also stated, "None of the foregoing are excuses for my actions, I am only stating that I have been under a lot of pressure and that I now realize that these stressors have impacted my decision-making in the past, as I reflect on the current events." There is evidence the applicant reported stress related to state-side family issues in his rebuttals and stated he did not cope well with a lack of communication with his brother and father, but that does not constitute a mental health condition and does not mitigate the misconduct(s) that led to the applicant's discharge. There is no evidence a mental health condition caused or mitigated the misconduct(s) that led to the applicant's discharge.

The applicant submitted his VA rating as evidence in support of his claim. Based on the available evidence and records, the applicant's mental health condition as likely as not developed post-service, as a review of the applicant's post-service records revealed the applicant sought VA services for difficult transitioning out of the military. Regarding the applicant's concurrence with his VA rating, the VA, operating under a different set of laws than the military, is empowered to offer compensation for any medical or mental health condition with an established nexus to military service, without regard to its impact on a member's fitness to

serve, the narrative reason for release from service, or the length of time that has transpired since the date of discharge. The VA may also conduct periodic reevaluations for the purpose of adjusting the disability rating as the level of impairment from a given condition may improve or worsen over the life of the veteran. At the "snapshot in time" of the applicant's service, there is no evidence the applicant had a mental health condition that caused or mitigated the misconduct(s) which led to the applicant's discharge.

4. Does that condition or experience outweigh the discharge?

There is no evidence to substantiate the applicant's contention that he had a mitigating mental health condition in service. Because the applicant's discharge is not mitigated or excused, it is also not outweighed.

Additionally, the Board considered the factors laid out in the attachment to the Under Secretary of Defense memorandum, *Guidance to Military Discharge Review Boards and Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records Regarding Equity, Injustice, or Clemency Determinations*, dated 25 June 2018, known as the "Wilkie Memo." The Board considered the factors listed in paragraphs (6)(a)-(6)(l) and (7)(a)-(7)(r) of this memorandum and found no evidence of inequity or impropriety.

FINDING: The DRB voted unanimously to *deny* the applicant's request to upgrade his discharge characterization, to change the discharge narrative reason, and to change the reentry code.

Should the applicant wish to appeal this decision, the applicant must request a personal appearance before this Board before applying for relief to the Air Force Board for Correction of Military Records (AFBCMR). In accordance with DAFI 36-2603, *Air Force Board for Correction of Military Records*, all applicants before the AFBCMR must first exhaust available administrative avenues of relief before applying to the AFBCMR, otherwise their AFBCMR case will be administratively closed until such time that the applicant avails themselves of the available avenue of relief. Therefore, should the applicant wish to appeal this decision, they must first exercise their right to make a personal appearance before the AFDRB.

CONCLUSION: After a thorough review of the available evidence, to include the Applicant's issues, summary of service, service/medical record entries, and discharge process, the Board found the discharge was proper and equitable. Therefore, the awarded characterization of service shall remain "General," the narrative reason for separation shall remain "Pattern of Misconduct," and the reentry code shall remain "2B." The Air Force DRB (AFDRB) results were approved by the presiding officer on 3 January 2024. If desired, the applicant can request a list of the board members and their votes by writing to:

Air Force Review Boards Agency

Attn: Discharge Review Board

3351 Celmers Lane

Joint Base Andrews, NAF Washington, MD 20762-6602

Instructions on how to appeal an AFDRB decision can be found at

<https://afrbportal.azurewebsites.us>

Attachment:

Examiner's Brief (Applicant Only)

