## CASE NUMBER FD-2023-00722

## AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL DOCUMENT

**SUMMARY:** The applicant was discharged on 28 June 2010 in accordance with Air Force Instruction 36-3208, Administrative Separation of Airmen, with a General Discharge for Misconduct (Minor Infraction). The applicant appealed for a change to the discharge narrative reason and a change to the reentry code.

The applicant appeared and testified before the Discharge Review Board (DRB), without counsel, via video teleconference using Zoom on 09 April 2024. No witnesses were present to testify on the applicant's behalf.

The attached examiner's brief (provided to applicant only), extracted from available service records, contains pertinent data regarding the circumstances and character of the applicant's military service.

**DISCUSSION**: The Discharge Review Board (DRB), under its responsibility to examine the propriety and equity of an applicant's discharge, is authorized to change the characterization of service and the narrative reason for discharge if such changes are warranted. If applicable, the Board can also change the applicant's reentry code. In reviewing discharges, the Board presumes regularity in the conduct of governmental affairs unless there is substantial credible evidence to rebut the presumption, to include evidence submitted by the applicant. The Board completed a thorough review of the circumstances that led to the discharge and the discharge process to determine if the discharge met the pertinent standards of equity and propriety.

The applicant's record of service included an Article 15, Letter of Reprimand, and multiple Letters of Counseling. His misconduct included: Driving While Intoxicated; An arrest by civilian law enforcement for public drunkenness and failing to obey directions; Failure to go and adhere to personal appearance standards; Failure to follow a direct order, attempting to drive on base while driving privileges were suspended; and Failure to meet the minimum end of course score on his career development course twice.

The documentary evidence the Board considered as part of the review includes, but is not limited to the DD Form 293, *Application for the Review of Discharge from the Armed Forces of the United States*, and any additional documentation submitted by applicant and/or counsel; the applicant's personnel file from the Automated Records Management System (ARMS); and the DRB Brief detailing the applicant's service information and a summary of the case.

The applicant requested clemency from the Board. He expressed regret for the decisions that led to his discharge and have since consistently worked to be a productive citizen. He has been a real estate agent for over five years and also certified in assisting military members with securing a home. He made this request to receive benefits to better assist his family.

The DRB noted that the applicant does not contest any impropriety or inequity in his discharge. He provided the Board his resume, post-service real estate license and certificate, a real estate recognition certificate and award, and one character letter. He also testified that he was assisting in the youth sports program at his current installation. While the DRB may consider post-service factors in recharacterizing a discharge, the applicant served a little over two years in service with repeated misconduct as well as failing to meet training standards. The Board commends the applicant for his post-service record but ultimately concluded his testimony and the documentation provided did not merit a change to his original discharge decision.

Additionally, the Board considered the factors laid out in the attachment to the Under Secretary of Defense memorandum, *Guidance to Military Discharge Review Boards and Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records Regarding Equity, Injustice, or Clemency Determinations*, dated 25 June 2018, known as the

"Wilkie Memo." The Board considered the factors listed in paragraphs (6)(a)-(6)(l) and (7)(a)-(7)(r) of this memorandum and found no evidence of inequity or impropriety.

**FINDING**: The DRB voted unanimously to *deny* the applicant's request to upgrade his discharge characterization, to change the discharge narrative reason, and to change the reentry code.

Should the applicant wish to appeal this decision, the applicant must seek relief before the Air Force Board for Correction of Military Records (AFBCMR) in accordance with DAFI 36-2603, *Air Force Board for Correction of Military Records*.

**CONCLUSION:** After a thorough review of the available evidence, to include the Applicant's issues, summary of service, service/medical record entries, and discharge process, the Board found the discharge was proper and equitable. Therefore, the awarded characterization of service shall remain "General," the narrative reason for separation shall remain "Misconduct (Minor Infraction)," and the reentry code shall remain "2B." The Air Force DRB (AFDRB) results were approved by the Presiding Officer on 9 May 2024. If desired, the applicant can request a list of the Board members and his votes by writing to:

Air Force Review Boards Agency Attn: Discharge Review Board 3351 Celmers Lane Joint Base Andrews, NAF Washington, MD 20762-6602

Instructions on how to appeal an AFDRB decision can be found at https://afrbaportal.azurewebsites.us

Attachment: Examiner's Brief (Applicant Only)