

**SUMMARY:** The Applicant was discharged on 04 April 2014 in accordance with Air Force Instruction 36-3208, Administrative Separation of Airmen, with an Uncharacterized discharge for Discharge Failed Medical/Physical Procurement Standards. The Applicant appealed for a change to the reentry code.

The Applicant requested the Board be completed based on a records only review. The Board was conducted on 27 March 2025. The Applicant was not represented by counsel.

The attached examiner's brief (provided to applicant only), extracted from available service records, contains pertinent data regarding the circumstances and character of the Applicant's military service.

**DISCUSSION:** The Discharge Review Board (DRB), under its responsibility to examine the propriety and equity of an applicant's discharge, is authorized to change the characterization of service and the narrative reason for discharge if such changes are warranted. If applicable, the Board can also change the Applicant's reentry code. In reviewing discharges, the Board presumes regularity in the conduct of governmental affairs unless there is substantial credible evidence to rebut the presumption, to include evidence submitted by the Applicant. The Board completed a thorough review of the circumstances that led to the discharge and the discharge process to determine if the discharge met the pertinent standards of equity and propriety.

The Applicant's record of service did not include any documented misconduct leading up to their discharge.

The documentary evidence the Board considered as part of the review includes, but is not limited to the DD Form 293, *Application for the Review of Discharge from the Armed Forces of the United States*, and any additional documentation submitted by applicant and/or counsel; the Applicant's personnel file from the Automated Records Management System (ARMS); and the DRB Brief detailing the Applicant's service information and a summary of the case.

The Applicant contends their discharge, based on an eczema diagnosis, was in error. They argue the skin irritation experienced during basic training likely resulted from their dorm's environmental factors, as the rashes resolved after they were transferred.

The Discharge Review Board (DRB) determined the Applicant's discharge was proper and equitable. Review of the Applicant's records revealed evaluations by two dermatologists, both concurring on the diagnosis of eczema, a disqualifying condition per AFI 48-123 and DoDI 6130-03. While acknowledging environmental allergies as a possible trigger, the Board noted the Applicant provided no supporting evidence, such as medical documentation refuting the eczema diagnosis. Per DAFI 36-2023, *Secretary of the Air Force Personnel Counsel*, paragraph 3.2.4 "The DRB is not an investigative body and presumes regularity in the conduct of governmental affairs unless there is substantial credible evidence to overcome this presumption. The presumption of regularity dictates that, absent evidence to the contrary, commanders, supervisors, and other officials involved with an action acted fairly and in good faith. The Applicant bears the burden of providing evidence to overcome this presumption, and the board will only grant relief if it determines there is sufficient evidence to conclude the Applicant's discharge was not proper or equitable." Therefore, the Board denied the Applicant request to change their reentry code.

Additionally, the Board considered the factors laid out in the attachment to the Under Secretary of Defense memorandum, *Guidance to Military Discharge Review Boards and Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records Regarding Equity, Injustice, or Clemency Determinations*, dated 25 June 2018, known as the "Wilkie Memo." The Board considered the factors listed in paragraphs (6)(a)-(6)(l) and (7)(a)-(7)(r) of this memorandum and found no evidence of inequity or impropriety.

**FINDING:** The DRB voted unanimously to *deny* the Applicant's request to change the reentry code.

**CONCLUSION:** After a thorough review of the available evidence, to include the Applicant's issues, summary of service, service/medical record entries, and discharge process, the Board found the discharge was proper and equitable. Therefore, the awarded characterization of service shall remain "Uncharacterized," the narrative reason for separation shall remain "Discharge Failed Medical/Physical Procurement Standards," and the reentry code shall remain "4C." The DRB results were approved by the Presiding Officer on 4 April 2025. If desired, the Applicant can request a list of the Board members and their votes by writing to:

Air Force Review Boards Agency  
Attn: Discharge Review Board  
3351 Celmers Lane  
Joint Base Andrews, MD 20762-6435

Attachment:  
Examiner's Brief (Applicant Only)