## AIR FORCE DISCHARGE REVIEW BOARD DECISIONAL DOCUMENT

CASE NUMBER FD-2025-00236

**SUMMARY:** The Applicant was discharged on February 10, 2024 in accordance with Department of the Air Force Instruction 36-3211, *Military Separations*, with an Uncharacterized / Entry Level Separation Service Characterization for Erroneous Entry. The Applicant appealed for a change to the Narrative Reason for separation and a change to the Reentry Code.

The Applicant requested the Board be completed based on a Record Review. The Board was conducted on July 11, 2025. The Applicant was not represented by counsel.

The attached examiner's brief (provided to applicant only), extracted from available service records, contains pertinent data regarding the circumstances and character of the Applicant's military service.

**DISCUSSION**: The Discharge Review Board (DRB), under its responsibility to examine the propriety and equity of an Applicant's discharge, is authorized to change the Characterization of Service and the Narrative Reason for discharge if such changes are warranted. If applicable, the Board can also change the Applicant's Reentry Code. In reviewing discharges, the Board presumes regularity in the conduct of governmental affairs unless there is substantial credible evidence to rebut the presumption, to include evidence submitted by the Applicant. The Board completed a thorough review of the circumstances that led to the discharge and the discharge process to determine if the discharge met the pertinent standards of equity and propriety.

The Applicant's record of service did not include any documented misconduct leading up to their discharge.

The documentary evidence the Board considered as part of the review includes, but is not limited to the DD Form 293, *Application for the Review of Discharge from the Armed Forces of the United States*, and any additional documentation submitted by Applicant and/or counsel; the Applicant's personnel file from the Automated Records Management System (ARMS); and the DRB Brief detailing the Applicant's service information and a summary of the case.

The Applicant disclosed a previous ankle injury to their recruiter prior to enlisting in the Air Force. During technical school training, damaged equipment/rucksack led to back pain. They sought medical attention for back pain and reported a swollen ankle. After being placed in x-ray flight for rehabilitation exercises, they were discharged due to slow recovery. The Applicant reports full recovery through continued rehabilitation and seeks to re-enlist. However, they are currently disqualified due to their reentry code and the narrative reason for their discharge.

The DRB determined the discharge was improper. Review of the Applicant's record confirmed disclosure of a 2019 right ankle fracture, yet they were allowed to enlist and successfully completed Basic Military Training. The Applicant provided substantial supporting documentation, including a doctor's note from 2018 documenting the original injury and notes from November 2023 documenting right ankle and lower back pain during technical training. Based on this evidence, the Board concluded the Applicant was forthcoming about their injury, rendering the narrative reason for discharge inaccurate. The Board further determined that an injury sustained at the age of 14 should not bar the Applicant from the opportunity to re-enlist. Consequently, the Board approved the Applicant's request to change their narrative reason and reentry code.

Additionally, the Board considered the factors laid out in the attachment to the Under Secretary of Defense memorandum, *Guidance to Military Discharge Review Boards and Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records Regarding Equity, Injustice, or Clemency Determinations*, dated 25 June 2018, known as the "Wilkie Memo." The Board considered the factors listed in paragraphs (6)(a)-(6)(l) and (7)(a)-(7)(r) of this memorandum.

**FINDING AND CONCLUSION:** After a thorough review of the available evidence, to include the Applicant's issues, summary of service, service/medical record entries, and discharge process, the Board found the discharge was improper. The DRB voted unanimously to *approve* the Applicant's request. Therefore, the awarded Service Characterization shall remain "Uncharacterized / Entry Level Separation," the Narrative Reason for separation shall change to Secretarial Authority, and the Reentry Code shall change to 3K. The DRB results were approved by the Presiding Officer on July 30, 2025.

Should the Applicant wish to appeal this decision, the Applicant must request a personal appearance before this Board before applying for relief to the Air Force Board for Correction of Military Records (AFBCMR). In accordance with DAFI 36-2603, *Air Force Board for Correction of Military Records*, all Applicants before the AFBCMR must first exhaust available administrative avenues of relief before applying to the AFBCMR, otherwise their AFBCMR case will be administratively closed until such time that the Applicant avails themselves of the available avenue of relief. Therefore, should the Applicant wish to appeal this decision, they must first exercise their right to make a personal appearance before the AFDRB.

Instructions on how to appeal an AFDRB decision can be found at https://afrbaportal.azurewebsites.us

If desired, the Applicant can request a list of the Board members and their votes by writing to:

Air Force Review Boards Agency Attn: Discharge Review Board 3351 Celmers Lane Joint Base Andrews, MD 20762-6435

Attachment: Examiner's Brief (Applicant Only)