

SUMMARY: The Applicant was discharged on May 2, 2025 in accordance with Department of the Air Force Instruction 36-3211, Military Separations, with an Uncharacterized / Entry Level Separation Service Characterization for Misconduct (Minor Infractions). The Applicant appealed for an upgrade of the Reentry Code.

The Applicant requested the Board be completed based on a Record Review. The Board was conducted on 26 February 2026. The Applicant was not represented by counsel.

The attached examiner's brief (provided to applicant only), extracted from available service records, contains pertinent data regarding the circumstances and character of the Applicant's military service.

DISCUSSION: The Discharge Review Board (DRB), under its responsibility to examine the propriety and equity of an Applicant's discharge, is authorized to change the Characterization of Service and the Narrative Reason for discharge if such changes are warranted. If applicable, the Board can also change the Applicant's Reentry Code. In reviewing discharges, the Board presumes regularity in the conduct of governmental affairs unless there is substantial credible evidence to rebut the presumption, to include evidence submitted by the Applicant. The Board completed a thorough review of the circumstances that led to the discharge and the discharge process to determine if the discharge met the pertinent standards of equity and propriety.

The documentary evidence the Board considered as part of the review includes, but is not limited to the DD Form 293, *Application for the Review of Discharge from the Armed Forces of the United States*, and any additional documentation submitted by Applicant and/or counsel; the Applicant's personnel file from the Automated Records Management System (ARMS); and the DRB Brief detailing the Applicant's service information and a summary of the case.

The Applicant request a reconsideration of their reenlistment code based on equity and clemency and belief in personal growth. They were separated under honorable conditions due to minor infractions—falling asleep in class and occasional tardiness. While immature habits and poor sleep discipline contributed to this, they take full responsibility. They have taken deliberate and sustained action to improve and ensure this does not happen again. Since separation, a structured daily routine that reinforces better sleep habits and self-discipline is followed. They have already met with a recruiter to explore the steps necessary to serve again, even if that means starting over. They have opened multiple paths to ensure they continue moving forward. They have been accepted into one of their top-choice colleges, where they will be majoring in nursing. Plus, they have reconnected with a home church and resumed volunteering to stay engaged in the community. They will dedicate afternoons to an elementary Vacation Bible School program, and every Monday and Wednesday will actively participate in church activities.

The DRB was pleased to see that the Applicant has been successful since leaving the Air Force. However, the Board reviewed the Applicant's entire service record and found no evidence of impropriety or inequity to warrant any changes to the discharge. Also, the DRB recognized the Applicant was 18 years old when the discharge took place but noted they were no younger than the vast majority of first-term Airmen who properly adhere to Air Force standards of conduct.

Additionally, the Board considered the factors laid out in the attachment to the Under Secretary of Defense memorandum, *Guidance to Military Discharge Review Boards and Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records Regarding Equity, Injustice, or Clemency Determinations*, dated 25 June 2018, known as the "Wilkie Memo." The Board considered the factors listed in paragraphs (6)(a)-(6)(l) and (7)(a)-(7)(r) of this memorandum and found no evidence of inequity or impropriety.

FINDING AND CONCLUSION: After a thorough review of the available evidence, to include the Applicant's issues, summary of service, service/medical record entries, and discharge process, the Board found the discharge was proper and equitable. The DRB voted unanimously to *deny* the Applicant's request. Therefore, the awarded Service Characterization shall remain "Uncharacterized / Entry Level Separation," the Narrative Reason for separation shall remain Misconduct (Minor Infractions), and the Reentry Code shall remain 2C. The DRB results were approved by the Presiding Officer on February 27, 2026.

Should the Applicant wish to appeal this decision, the Applicant must request a personal appearance before this Board before applying for relief to the Air Force Board for Correction of Military Records (AFBCMR). In accordance with DAFI 36-2603, *Air Force Board for Correction of Military Records*, all Applicants before the AFBCMR must first exhaust available administrative avenues of relief before applying to the AFBCMR, otherwise their AFBCMR case will be administratively closed until such time that the Applicant avails themselves of the available avenue of relief. Therefore, should the Applicant wish to appeal this decision, they must first exercise their right to make a personal appearance before the AFDRB.

Instructions on how to appeal an AFDRB decision can be found at <https://afrbportal.azurewebsites.us>

If desired, the Applicant can request a list of the Board members and their votes by writing to:

Air Force Review Boards Agency
Attn: Discharge Review Board
3351 Celmers Lane
Joint Base Andrews, MD 20762-6435

Attachment:
Examiner's Brief (Applicant Only)