IN THE CASE OF:

BOARD DATE: 11 July 2024

DOCKET NUMBER: AR20230012163

<u>APPLICANT REQUESTS:</u> an upgrade of his under honorable conditions (general) discharge.

#### APPLICANT'S SUPPORTING DOCUMENT(S) CONSIDERED BY THE BOARD:

DD Form 149 (Application for Correction of Military Record)

Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) Letter, dated 8 September 2023

# FACTS:

- 1. The applicant did not file within the 3-year time frame provided in Title 10, U.S. Code, section 1552(b); however, the Army Board for Correction of Military Records (ABCMR) conducted a substantive review of this case and determined it is in the interest of justice to excuse the applicant's failure to timely file.
- 2. The applicant states he never received an Article 15 for his misconduct. The misconduct was the result of a momentary lapse in judgment and beyond that incident, his service was honorable. He has maintained an honorable character since his return to civilian life.
- 3. The applicant provides a letter from the VA which states the applicant is a resident of a transitional housing program that offers homeless Veterans an opportunity to address life skills issues and ready themselves for a successful return to the community. It is a drug and alcohol free facility that provides an array of supportive services to Veterans. The applicant has exceeded at every task required of him and has successfully addressed his substance use and mental health issues. He is overcoming his past and making a new life for himself. The applicant is described as a good person with something nice to say about staff and residents alike.
- 4. A review of the applicant's service record shows:
  - a. He enlisted in the Regular Army on 24 October 2000.

- b. A Laboratory Confirmed Biochemical Test Result received on 30 August 2001 shows the applicant tested positive for tetrahydrocannabinol (THC) on his sample submitted on 16 July 2001.
- c. The service record includes the applicant's medical evaluations, dated 17 October 2001, for the purpose of administrative separation which indicated he was generally in good health with the exception of left patellar bursitis resolving. He was marked qualified for separation.
  - Standard Form (SF) 88 (Report of Medical Examination)
  - SF 93 (Report of Medical History)
- d. On 19 October 2001, a DA Form 3822-R (Report of Mental Status Evaluations) shows the applicant underwent a mental status evaluation for the purpose of separation. The evaluation indicated he was mentally responsible for his behavior, could distinguish right from wrong, and possessed sufficient mental capacity to participate in administrative or judicial proceedings. The applicant was cleared for any administrative action deemed appropriate by his chain of command.
- e. On 10 December 2001, the applicant's immediate commander notified the applicant of his intent to separate him under the provisions of Chapter 14, paragraph 14-12c, Army Regulation (AR) 635-200 (Personnel Separations Enlisted Personnel) for commission of a serious offense. The specific reason for his proposed recommendation was the applicant tested positive for THC during a command directed urinalysis dated 16 July 2001. He acknowledged receipt on the same day.
  - f. On 12 December 2001, after consulting with legal counsel, he acknowledged:
    - the rights available to him and the effect of waiving said rights
    - he may encounter substantial prejudice in civilian life if a general discharge under honorable conditions is issued to him
    - he may be ineligible for many or all benefits as a Veteran under both Federal and State laws
    - he may apply to the ADRB or the ABCMR for upgrading
    - he will be ineligible to apply for enlistment in the U.S. Army for a period of 2 years following discharge
    - he elected not to submit matters
- g. The immediate commander initiated separation action against the applicant for commission of a serious offense. He recommended that his period of service be characterized as general, under honorable conditions. No additional misconduct was listed. The intermediate commander recommended approval.

- h. On 10 January 2002, consistent with the chain of command recommendations, the separation authority approved the discharge recommendation for immediate separation, under the provisions of Chapter 14, AR 635-200, paragraph 14-12c for commission of a serious offense. He would be issued a General Discharge Certificate.
- i. On 21 February 2002, he was discharged from active duty with an under honorable conditions (general) characterization of service. His DD Form 214 (Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty) shows he completed 1 year, 3 months, and 28 days of active service. The narrative reason for separation is listed as "Misconduct."
- 5. By regulation (AR 635-200), action will be taken to separate a Soldier for misconduct when it is clearly established that despite attempts to rehabilitate or develop him or her as a satisfactory Soldier, further effort is unlikely to succeed. Paragraph 14-12c states Soldiers are subject to action per this section for commission of a serious military or civil offense, if the specific circumstances of the offense warrant separation and a punitive discharge is, or would be, authorized for the same or a closely related offense under the Manual for Courts-Martial.
- 6. In reaching its determination, the Board can consider the applicant's petition and his service record in accordance with the published equity, injustice, or clemency determination guidance.

#### **BOARD DISCUSSION:**

The Board carefully considered the applicant's request, supporting document, evidence in the records, and published Department of Defense guidance for consideration of discharge upgrade requests. The Board considered the applicant's statement, his record of service, the frequency and nature of his misconduct, the reason for his separation, and whether to apply clemency. The Board found insufficient evidence of inservice mitigating factors and the Board found the letter of support the applicant provided insufficient in support of a clemency determination. Based on a preponderance of the evidence, the Board determined the character of service the applicant received upon separation was not in error or unjust.

## **BOARD VOTE:**

Mbr 1 Mbr 2 Mbr 3

: : GRANT FULL RELIEF

: : GRANT PARTIAL RELIEF

: : GRANT FORMAL HEARING

DENY APPLICATION

## BOARD DETERMINATION/RECOMMENDATION:

The evidence presented does not demonstrate the existence of a probable error or injustice. Therefore, the Board determined the overall merits of this case are insufficient as a basis for correction of the records of the individual concerned.

12/26/2024



I certify that herein is recorded the true and complete record of the proceedings of the Army Board for Correction of Military Records in this case.

## **REFERENCES:**

- 1. Title 10, U.S. Code, section 1552(b), provides that applications for correction of military records must be filed within 3 years after discovery of the alleged error or injustice. This provision of law also allows the ABCMR to excuse an applicant's failure to timely file within the 3-year statute of limitations if the ABCMR determines it would be in the interest of justice to do so.
- 2. Army Regulation 635-200 (Personnel Separations Enlisted Personnel), in effect at the time, sets forth the basic authority for the separation of enlisted personnel.
- a. Paragraph 3-7a (Honorable Discharge) states an honorable discharge is a separation with honor. The honorable characterization is appropriate when the quality of the member's service generally has met, the standards of acceptable conduct and

performance of duty for Army personnel, or is otherwise so meritorious that any other characterization would be clearly inappropriate.

- b. Paragraph 3-7b (General Discharge) states a general discharge is a separation from the Army under honorable conditions. When authorized, it is issued to a member whose military record is satisfactory but not sufficiently meritorious to warrant an honorable discharge.
- c. Chapter 14 of the regulation states action will be taken to separate a Soldier for misconduct when it is clearly established that despite attempts to rehabilitate or develop him or her as a satisfactory Soldier, further effort is unlikely to succeed. Paragraph 14-12c states Soldiers are subject to action per this section for commission of a serious military or civil offense, if the specific circumstances of the offense warrant separation and a punitive discharge is, or would be, authorized for the same or a closely related offense under the Manual for Courts-Martial.
- 3. On 25 July 2018, the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness issued guidance to Military Discharge Review Boards and Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records (BCM/NRs) regarding equity, injustice, or clemency determinations. Clemency generally refers to relief specifically granted from a criminal sentence. BCM/NRs may grant clemency regardless of the type of court-martial. However, the guidance applies to more than clemency from a sentencing in a court-martial; it also applies to other corrections, including changes in a discharge, which may be warranted based on equity or relief from injustice.
- a. This guidance does not mandate relief, but rather provides standards and principles to guide Boards in application of their equitable relief authority. In determining whether to grant relief based on equity, injustice, or clemency grounds, BCM/NRs shall consider the prospect for rehabilitation, external evidence, sworn testimony, policy changes, relative severity of misconduct, mental and behavioral health conditions, official governmental acknowledgement that a relevant error or injustice was committed, and uniformity of punishment.
- b. Changes to the narrative reason for discharge and/or an upgraded character of service granted solely on equity, injustice, or clemency grounds normally should not result in separation pay, retroactive promotions, and payment of past medical expenses or similar benefits that might have been received if the original discharge had been for the revised reason or had the upgraded service characterization.

//NOTHING FOLLOWS//