IN THE CASE OF:

BOARD DATE: 6 June 2024

DOCKET NUMBER: AR20230012325

<u>APPLICANT REQUESTS</u>: an upgrade of his under other than honorable conditions (UOTHC) characterization of service.

APPLICANT'S SUPPORTING DOCUMENT(S) CONSIDERED BY THE BOARD:

DD Form 149 (Application for Correction of Military Record)

FACTS:

1. The applicant did not file within the 3-year time frame provided in Title 10, U.S. Code, Section 1552(b); however, the Army Board for Correction of Military Records (ABCMR) conducted a substantive review of this case and determined it is in the interest of justice to excuse the applicant's failure to timely file.

2. The applicant states the person he has become today is not the same person who was discharged from the Army 26 years ago. He believes many people only believe a veteran is someone who was honorably discharged from the military. He asks the Board to grant him relief so he can have pride in knowing his military record reflects his willingness to serve his country. On his DD Form 149, the applicant indicates mental health issues are related to his request.

3. The applicant enlisted in the Regular Army on 4 May 1994 for 3 years. The highest rank/grade he held was specialist/E-4.

4. The complete facts and circumstances surrounding the applicant's discharge are not available for review. However, his record contains a dully constituted DD Form 214 (Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty) and an Army Discharge Review Board Case Report and Directive that shows the following:

a. The applicant was discharged on 14 August 1997, under the provisions of Army Regulation 635-200 (Personnel Separations-Enlisted Personnel), Chapter 14 (Separation for Misconduct), by reason of misconduct, with a UOTHC characterization of service in the grade of E-1.

ABCMR Record of Proceedings (cont)

b. He completed 2 years, 6 months, and 15 days of net active service with 1 year and 11 months and 27 days of foreign service during the period covered.

c. Block 26 (Separation Code), shows the entry JKB (Civil Court Conviction).

d. Block 13 (Decorations, Medals, Badges, Citations and Campaign Ribbons Awarded or Authorized) shows the entries:

- Army Good Conduct Medal
- National Defense Service Medal
- Army Service Ribbon
- Overseas Service Ribbon

f. Block 18 (Remarks) the entry "SOLDIER HAS NOT COMPLETED FIRST FULL TERM OF SERVICE."

g. Block 29 (Dates of Time Lost During This Period), the entry 22 November 1996 thru 14 August 1997.

5. The applicant petitioned the Army Discharge Review Board for upgrade of his service characterization. On 23 February 2005, after careful consideration the Board determined he was properly and equitably discharged.

6. In reaching its determination, the Board can consider the applicant's petition, arguments and assertions, and service record in accordance with the published equity, injustice, or clemency guidance.

7. MEDICAL REVIEW:

a. The applicant is applying to the ABCMR requesting an upgrade of his under other than honorable conditions (UOTHC) characterization of service. He contends he experienced Other Mental Health Issues that mitigates his misconduct. The specific facts and circumstances of the case can be found in the ABCMR Record of Proceedings (ROP). Pertinent to this advisory are the following: 1) the applicant enlisted in the Regular Army on 04 May 1994, 2) The complete facts and circumstances of the applicant's discharge are not available for review, 3) the applicant's DD 214 shows he was discharged on 14 August 1997 under the provisions of Army Regulation (AR) 635-200, Chapter 14 by reason of misconduct, with a separation code of civil court conviction, 4) during his time in service the applicant earned the Army Good Conduct Medal, 5) the applicant previously petitioned the Army Discharge Review Board and on 23 February 2005 the Board determined the applicant was properly and equitably discharged.

b. The Army Review Boards Agency (ARBA) Behavioral Health Advisor reviewed the ROP and casefiles, supporting documents and the applicant's military service and available medical records. The VA's Joint Legacy Viewer (JLV) was also examined. The electronic military medical record (AHLTA) was not reviewed as it was not in use during the applicant's time in service. No BH-related military or civilian records were provided for review. Lack of citation or discussion in this section should not be interpreted as lack of consideration.

c. The applicant requests an upgrade of his UOTHC discharge. He contends his misconduct was related to Other Mental Health Issues. A review of records was void of any BH diagnosis or treatment history for the applicant during or after service and he provided no medical documentation supporting his assertion of Other Mental Health Issues. Furthermore, the circumstances regarding his discharge are not available for review. In absence of documentation supporting his assertion and circumstances related to his discharge, there is insufficient evidence to establish his misconduct was related to or mitigated by Other Mental Health Issues and insufficient evidence to support an upgrade based on BH medical mitigation.

d. Based on the available information, it is the opinion of the Agency Behavioral Health Advisor that there is insufficient evidence that the applicant had a condition or experience during his time in service that mitigated his misconduct. However, he contends his misconduct was related to Other Mental Health Issues, and, per liberal guidance, his assertion is sufficient to warrant the Board's consideration.

e. Kurta Questions:

(1) Did the applicant have a condition or experience that may excuse or mitigate the discharge? Yes, the applicant contends his misconduct was related to Other Mental Health Issues.

(2) Did the condition exist or experience occur during military service? Yes, per the applicant's assertion.

(3) Does the condition experience actually excuse or mitigate the discharge? No. A review of records was void of any BH diagnosis or treatment history for the applicant during or after service and he provided no medical documentation supporting his assertion of Other Mental Health Issues. Furthermore, the complete circumstances surrounding his discharge are unavailable for review. In absence of documentation supporting his assertion there is insufficient evidence to support an upgrade based on BH medical mitigation.

BOARD DISCUSSION:

The Board carefully considered the applicant's request, evidence in the records, a medical review, and published Department of Defense guidance for liberal consideration of discharge upgrade requests. The Board considered the applicant's statement, his record of service, and the reason for his separation. The Board considered the applicant's mental health claim and the review and conclusions of the ARBA Behavioral Health Advisor. The applicant provided no evidence of post-service achievements or letters of reference in support of a clemency determination. The Board found insufficient evidence of in-service mitigating factors and concurred with the conclusion of the medical advising official regarding there being insufficient evidence to support a conclusion that his misconduct was mitigated by a mental health condition. Based on a preponderance of the evidence, the Board determined the character of service the applicant received upon separation was not in error or unjust.

BOARD VOTE:

<u>Mbr 1</u>	Mbr 2	<u>Mbr 3</u>	
:	:	:	GRANT FULL RELIEF
:	:	:	GRANT PARTIAL RELIEF
:	:	:	GRANT FORMAL HEARING
			DENY APPLICATION

ABCMR Record of Proceedings (cont)

BOARD DETERMINATION/RECOMMENDATION:

The evidence presented does not demonstrate the existence of a probable error or injustice. Therefore, the Board determined the overall merits of this case are insufficient as a basis for correction of the records of the individual concerned.

11/1/2024



I certify that herein is recorded the true and complete record of the proceedings of the Army Board for Correction of Military Records in this case.

REFERENCES:

1. Title 10, U.S. Code, Section 1552(b), provides that applications for correction of military records must be filed within 3 years after discovery of the alleged error or injustice. This provision of law also allows the ABCMR to excuse an applicant's failure to timely file within the 3-year statute of limitations if the ABCMR determines it would be in the interest of justice to do so.

2. Title 10, U.S. Code, Section 1556, requires the Secretary of the Army to ensure that an applicant seeking corrective action by ARBA be provided with a copy of any correspondence and communications (including summaries of verbal communications) to or from the Agency with anyone outside the Agency that directly pertains to or has material effect on the applicant's case, except as authorized by statute.

3. Army Regulation 601-210 (Active and Reserve Components Enlistment Program) covers eligibility criteria, policies, and procedures for enlistment and processing into the Regular Army, U.S. Army Reserve, and Army National Guard. Table 3-1 provides a list of RE codes.

- RE code "1" applies to Soldiers completing their term of active service, who are considered qualified for enlistment if all other criteria are met
- RE code "2" is no longer in use but applied to Soldiers separated for the convenience of the government, when reenlistment is not contemplated, who are fully qualified for enlistment/reenlistment
- RE code "3" applies to Soldiers who are not considered fully qualified for reentry or continuous service at time of separation, whose disqualification is waivable – they are ineligible unless a waiver is granted

ABCMR Record of Proceedings (cont)

• RE code "4" applies to Soldiers separated from their last period of service with a non-waivable disqualification

4. Army Regulation 635-5 (Separation Program Designator (SPD)/Reentry (RE) Code Cross Reference Table), revised table 2-3, in effect at the time, provides a list of SPD codes and coinciding RE codes. The table shows the RE code assigned to SPD code JKB was RE code "3."

5. Army Regulation 635-5-1 (Separation Program Designator (SPD) Codes), in effect at the time, provides the specific authorities (regulatory or directive), reasons for separating Soldiers from active duty, and the separation codes to be entered on the DD Form 214. It states that the separation code "JKB" is the appropriate code to assign to Soldiers separated under the provisions of Army Regulation 635-200, Chapter 14.

6. Army Regulation 635-200, sets forth the basic authority for the separation of enlisted personnel. The version in effect at the time provided that:

a. Chapter 14 established policy and prescribed procedures for separating members for misconduct. Specific categories included minor disciplinary infractions, a pattern of misconduct, commission of a serious offense, conviction by civil authorities, desertion, or absences without leave. Action would be taken to separate a member for misconduct when it was clearly established that rehabilitation was impracticable or was unlikely to succeed. A discharge under other than honorable conditions is normally appropriate for a Soldier discharged under this chapter; however, the separation authority may direct a general discharge if merited by the Soldier's overall record.

b. An honorable discharge is a separation with honor and entitles the recipient to benefits provided by law. The honorable characterization is appropriate when the quality of the member's service generally has met the standards of acceptable conduct and performance of duty for Army personnel or is otherwise so meritorious that any other characterization would be clearly inappropriate.

c. A general discharge is a separation from the Army under honorable conditions. When authorized, it is issued to a Soldier whose military record is satisfactory but not sufficiently meritorious to warrant an honorable discharge.

7. On 25 August 2017, the Office of the Undersecretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness issued clarifying guidance for the Secretary of Defense Directive to Discharge Review Boards (DRB) and Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records (BCM/NR) when considering requests by Veterans for modification of their discharges due in whole or in part to: mental health conditions, including post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD); Traumatic Brain Injury; sexual assault; or sexual harassment. Boards are to give liberal consideration to Veterans petitioning for discharge relief when the application for relief is based in whole or in part to those conditions or experiences. The guidance further describes evidence sources and criteria and requires Boards to consider the conditions or experiences presented in evidence as potential mitigation for misconduct that led to the discharge.

8. On 25 July 2018, the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness issued guidance to Military DRBs and BCM/NRs regarding equity, injustice, or clemency determinations. Clemency generally refers to relief specifically granted from a criminal sentence. BCM/NRs may grant clemency regardless of the type of court-martial. However, the guidance applies to more than clemency from a sentencing in a court-martial; it also applies to other corrections, including changes in a discharge, which may be warranted based on equity or relief from injustice.

a. This guidance does not mandate relief, but rather provides standards and principles to guide Boards in application of their equitable relief authority. In determining whether to grant relief on the basis of equity, injustice, or clemency grounds, BCM/NRs shall consider the prospect for rehabilitation, external evidence, sworn testimony, policy changes, relative severity of misconduct, mental and behavioral health conditions, official governmental acknowledgement that a relevant error or injustice was committed, and uniformity of punishment.

b. Changes to the narrative reason for discharge and/or an upgraded character of service granted solely on equity, injustice, or clemency grounds normally should not result in separation pay, retroactive promotions, and payment of past medical expenses or similar benefits that might have been received if the original discharge had been for the revised reason or had the upgraded service characterization.

//NOTHING FOLLOWS//