ARMY BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

IN THE CASE OF:

BOARD DATE: 6 September 2024

DOCKET NUMBER: AR20230013963

<u>APPLICANT REQUESTS:</u> an upgrade of his bad conduct discharge (BCD) to under honorable conditions (General) or honorable.

<u>APPLICANT'S SUPPORTING DOCUMENT(S) CONSIDERED BY THE BOARD:</u> DD Form 149 (Application for Correction of Military Record)

FACTS:

1. The applicant did not file within the 3-year time frame provided in Title 10, U.S. Code, Section 1552(b); however, the Army Board for Correction of Military Records (ABCMR) conducted a substantive review of this case and determined it is in the interest of justice to excuse the applicant's failure to timely file.

2. The applicant states he served 90 percent of his tour honorably without incident. He is trying to be eligible for Veteran Affairs benefits. It has been over 30 years since his discharge.

3. The applicant enlisted in the Regular Army on 30 August 1988, for 3 years. Upon completion of training, he was awarded military occupational specialty 88M (Motor Transport Operator). The highest grade he attained was E-3.

4. Before a general court-martial on 29 August 1990, at Camp Casey, Republic of Korea, the applicant was found guilty of four specifications of larceny of U.S. currency on multiple occasions between March 1990 and July 1990; one specification of forgery with the intent to defraud, between 6 March 1990 and 11 May 1990; and one specification of making a false statement, on 12 July 1990.

5. The court sentenced the applicant to reduction to E-1, forfeiture of all pay and allowances, confinement for 2 years, and to be discharged from the service with a BCD. The sentence was approved on 4 October 1990, and the record of trial was forwarded for appellate review.

6. The U.S. Army Court of Military Review affirmed the findings and sentence on 6 December 1990.

7. On 17 May 1991, the applicant underwent a mental status evaluation. He was psychiatrically cleared to participate in any administrative action deemed appropriate by the command.

8. On 17 May 1991, the applicant underwent a medical examination. He was deemed medically qualified for administrative separation.

9. General Court-Martial Order Number 201, issued by Headquarters, U.S. Army Correctional Brigade, Fort Riley, KS, on 2 May 1991, noted the applicant's sentence had been affirmed and ordered the BCD to be duly executed.

10. The applicant was discharged on 9 September 1991. His DD Form 214 (Certificate of Release or Discharge from Active Duty) confirms he was discharged under the provisions of Army Regulation 635-200 (Personnel Separations – Enlisted Personnel), Chapter 3, Section IV, as a result of court-martial. His service was characterized as bad conduct. He was assigned Separation Code JJD and Reentry Code 4. He was credited with 1 year, 11 months, and 22 days of active service, with 376 days of lost time.

11. Additionally his DD Form 214 shows he was awarded or authorized the Army Service Ribbon, Army Achievement Medal, Air Assault Badge, Marksman Badge Rifle M-16, Sharpshooter Badge Hand Grenade.

12. Court-martial convictions stand as adjudged or modified by appeal through the judicial process. In accordance with Title 10, U.S. Code, Section 1552, the authority under which this Board acts, the ABCMR is not empowered to set aside a conviction. Rather, it is only empowered to change the severity of the sentence imposed in the court-martial process and then only if clemency is determined to be appropriate. Clemency is an act of mercy or instance of leniency to moderate the severity of the punishment imposed.

13. In reaching its determination, the Board can consider the applicant's petition, arguments and assertions, and service record in accordance with the published equity, injustice, or clemency guidance.

BOARD DISCUSSION:

1. After reviewing the application, all supporting documents, and the evidence found within the military record, the Board found that relief was not warranted. The Board carefully considered the applicant's request, supporting documents, evidence in the records, and published Department of Defense guidance for liberal consideration of discharge upgrade requests. The Board considered the applicant's statement and record of service, the frequency and nature of the applicant's misconduct and the

ABCMR Record of Proceedings (cont)

reason for separation. The applicant was separated for conviction by court-martial for larceny, intent to defraud, and making a false official statement. The Board found no error or injustice in the separation proceedings. Based on a preponderance of the evidence, the Board concluded that the characterization of service the applicant received upon separation was appropriate.

2. The applicant was given a bad conduct discharge pursuant to an approved sentence of a court-martial. The appellate review was completed and the affirmed sentence was ordered duly executed. All requirements of law and regulation were met with respect to the conduct of the court-martial and the appellate review process and the rights of the applicant were fully protected.

BOARD VOTE:

Mbr 1	Mbr 2	Mbr 3	
:	:	:	GRANT FULL RELIEF
		_	
:	:	:	GRANT PARTIAL RELIEF
			GRANT FORMAL HEARING
•	•		
			DENY APPLICATION

BOARD DETERMINATION/RECOMMENDATION:

The evidence presented does not demonstrate the existence of a probable error or injustice. Therefore, the Board determined the overall merits of this case are insufficient as a basis for correction of the records of the individual concerned.



I certify that herein is recorded the true and complete record of the proceedings of the Army Board for Correction of Military Records in this case.

REFERENCES:

1. Title 10, U.S. Code, Section 1552(b), provides that applications for correction of military records must be filed within 3 years after discovery of the alleged error or injustice. This provision of law also allows the ABCMR to excuse an applicant's failure to timely file within the 3-year statute of limitations if the ABCMR determines it would be in the interest of justice to do so.

2. Army Regulation 635-200 (Personnel Separations – Enlisted Personnel) sets forth the basic authority for the separation of enlisted personnel.

a. An honorable discharge is a separation with honor and entitles the recipient to benefits provided by law. The honorable characterization is appropriate when the quality of the member's service generally has met the standards of acceptable conduct and performance of duty for Army personnel or is otherwise so meritorious that any other characterization would be clearly inappropriate.

b. A general discharge is a separation from the Army under honorable conditions. When authorized, it is issued to a Soldier whose military record is satisfactory but not sufficiently meritorious to warrant an honorable discharge.

c. Chapter 3, Section IV provided that a member would be given a BCD pursuant only to an approved sentence of a general or special court-martial, after completion of appellate review, and after such affirmed sentence has been ordered duly executed.

3. Court-martial convictions stand as adjudged or modified by appeal through the judicial process. In accordance with Title 10, U.S. Code, Section 1552, the authority under which this Board acts, the ABCMR is not empowered to set aside a conviction. Rather, it is only empowered to change the severity of the sentence imposed in the court-martial process and then only if clemency is determined to be appropriate. Clemency is an act of mercy or instance of leniency to moderate the severity of the punishment imposed.

4. On 25 July 2018, the Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness issued guidance to Military Discharge Review Boards and Boards for Correction of Military/Naval Records (BCM/NR) regarding equity, injustice, or clemency determinations. Clemency generally refers to relief specifically granted from a criminal sentence. BCM/NRs may grant clemency regardless of the type of court-martial. However, the guidance applies to more than clemency from a sentencing in a court-martial; it also applies to other corrections, including changes in a discharge, which may be warranted based on equity or relief from injustice.

//NOTHING FOLLOWS//